

IRISH NEWS

Deaths soar since vaccine rollout



PAGE 2

PLANET

Study shows electric cars more dangerous for environment



PAGE 9

BIG PHARMA

Stop feeding industry that is hurting us



PAGE 21

HEALTH

More than 60 studies show masks cause harm



PAGE 22

THE IRISH LIGHT

Issue 7

People-Funded Paper

FREE



Holohan's Reign Of Terror

NO other bureaucrat has presided over as many corruption scandals and health cover-ups as Tony Holohan, long before his Covid regime of tyranny came into being.

Given his grotesque track record over decades, it has been galling to watch so many fawn over his every word and surrender their lives and freedom to his absurd pronouncements.

Two days after Christmas Day, the Evening Herald carried what would, two years before, have seemed a ludicrous headline: 'NO VISITING AND NO SHOPPING - CMO'. Just above it was another: 'Fresh restrictions fears.'

It was not a joke, no Wrenboj prank this.

The 'CMO' is the Chief Medical Officer of the HSE and chairman

A long career of corruption scandals and cover-ups

of NPHE, and what he had to say, far from amounting to a piece of seasonal jocularity, was couched in the language of the most extreme despotism.

The report began: 'There are fresh fears that more restrictions could be on the cards after a stark warning from the chief medical officer. Dr Tony Holohan yesterday urged people not to meet indoors and to avoid the shops.'

'Please do not socialise or meet indoors with people from other households. Avoid crowded places,

including retail environments,' he said.

Most people are by now so battered after two years of the fascistic decrees from insane politicians and unelected health tsars that their eyes may have no more than panned across this headline. Two years of being the boiled frog in a mendacious and tyrannical experiment has inured them to insult and menace.

But let us stop and consider how this headline reads in objective democratic terms. Holohan is, as stated, unelected. He has never once

in his life placed himself or any of his thoughts or proposals before the people for their consideration, never received as much as a solitary vote in a democratic election.

And yet, within twenty-four hours of the most glorious feast day in our Christian calendar, he was on his feet issuing dark menaces and orders to the Irish people without recourse to even the fig leaf of political mediation. Using emotional blackmail, he was behaving - as he had done for 22 months - like a dictator, telling the

Irish people that they should not meet their friends or extended families in the own homes, and should not go to the shops. If they did not immediately obey him, the message was quite clear: they would suffer 'fresh restrictions'.

By now the public would know what this meant: they might be locked in their homes, allowed to go outdoors only for specific purposes, confined to move only within five or two kilometres of their homes, required to wear highly oppressive face masks in additional or extended circumstances.

Here again was an unauthorised bureaucratic dogsbody telling the sovereign Irish people, in the days after Christmas, that they should not mix with one other in their own homes, go to the shops, pick up some bargains in the annual post-Christmas

Continued on page 2 >>>

Vaccine Carnage: 2021 Deaths Up 9.5%

THE number of people who died in Ireland in 2021 is almost 10% higher than the previous year of the so-called pandemic. This alarming death wave erupted almost immediately at the beginning of last January coinciding with the rollout of the 'Covid-19 vaccines'.

The spike in excess mortality - the metric used to measure the existence of a pandemic - is higher in 2021 than the previous five years, with 3,130 extra deaths last year.

There were no excess deaths in 2020. In December, the Government's fraudulent method of counting Covid deaths was exposed when the HPSC (Health Protection Surveillance Centre) admitted that 85% of those who died from Covid were already very ill and likely to die that year anyway.

Six out of seven people listed as dying from Covid had grave underlying medical conditions including chronic heart disease, liver disease or terminal cancer.

As predicted by this newspaper, death rates began to jump in 2021 with the final month of the year showing a surge of 12% on 2020.

From December 1st to 22nd of 2021, 2,573 died compared to 2,120 in the same period in 2020.

Overall, deaths have been increasing in Ireland for the past decade, due to falling life expectancy and rapidly

the provisional total is 36,986. This represents a dramatic increase in a single year, an additional 3,130 on the previous

various phases of the vaccine programme.

This preliminary analysis of death notices carried on the website RIP.ie data shows that the dramatic increase in deaths described in notices as 'unexpected', 'suddenly' or 'after a short illness' came on top of an earlier wave in January and February when there were approximately 1,169 excess deaths, almost entirely among elderly residents of nursing homes.

Many of those died within hours or days of receiving the Covid injection.

Subsequent peaks, starting from April, correspond to the rollout among younger people. This correlation is supported by the evident increase in unforeseen deaths, conveyed in death notices by the terms 'suddenly', 'unexpectedly' and 'after a short illness'.

Interestingly, no attempt has been made by the state to claim these deaths as arising from Covid. Overall, there is an increase of approximately 15% in such deaths over the full year. The graph rises dramatically through July, normally one of the lowest months for deaths, peaking towards the end of that month.


An analysis of mortality figures in October indicated that there were 18 extra deaths per day on average during the month, amounting to approximately 540 people, a 20 per cent increase in the death rate for that time of year.

Analysis of death notices at that time also indicated that, whereas the alleged 'pandemic' mortality peak of April 2020 was predominantly among older people, the 2021 statistics depicted a pattern of deaths of very much younger adults than in recent years — including 2020, the presumed 'pandemic' year. There is clear circumstantial evidence that these mortality spikes are closely related to the Covid-19 'vaccine' rollout.

To assuage growing public concern about the alarming rise in sudden deaths in young people, advertising campaigns and support groups have been established seeking to normalise the deceitful notion that teenagers and 20-somethings suffer strokes and heart disease.

An examination of 'vaccine' rollout data sourced from Covidvax.live indicates a strong correlation between mortality and the administration of the injections to the various age-groupings.

These figures would appear to suggest that the 'sudden' deaths are occurring within two or three weeks of receiving the shot. This would render even more sinister the rule whereby those who die less than 14 days after vaccination are deemed to be, and classified as, 'unvaccinated'. This trick would ensure that the official 'vaccines deaths' figures would not manifest the spikes clearly visible in the RIP .ie figures.



Latest data exposes vaccine carnage

growing population levels caused by mass immigration.

In 2011, there were 26,625 deaths recorded on RIP.ie; in 2018 the total was 32,928; in 2019, 33,111; in 2020, the figure reached 33,856; in 2021,

'pandemic' year representing a rise of approximately 9.5% overall.

The increase can be observed not as a steady increase spread over the entire year, but as a series of peaks, each of which correlates with the rollout of

<<< Continued from page 1

after two years of economic hardship. Moreover, this injunction was conveyed to the public by a newspaper in the familiar tones of the journalism of the past two years as though it were the most nondescript, ordinary thing.

This, surely, is the lowest point yet of the tyranny we have descended into.

That this intervention did not immediately provoke the Irish people - as one person - to move on to the streets and demand the prosecution of this bureaucrat on charges of usurpation or treason is a function of the subterfuge that has pertained for nearly two years: that all this arbitrary authoritarianism arises from a 'health emergency'. In only the most craven areas of public opinion, utterly devoid of any sustenance other than via the corrupt mainstream media, does this come across as anything other than outright deception. There is no health emergency. There never has been.

If there were, it would be darkly ironic if a man like Tony Holohan, CMO since 2008, were to be regarded as a suitable individual to be interceding with the Irish public to achieve their cooperation in mitigating it. Rewind to 2019. Remember the chaos synonymous with the 'health service'. Creaking hospitals, trolley

deaths, waiting lists that broke disastrous new records every week, heading for a million as we write.

Holohan has one of the biggest health budgets on the planet but he is the key reason the HSE provides a third world hospital system with appalling standards of care.

Don't you recall the cervical smear scandal of 2018 which he actively sought to cover up denying hundreds of Irish women the knowledge that their cancer had been missed due to corruption and incompetence? Holohan advised the government against an investigation into the matter. He refused to apologise. There were widespread demands for his resignation as dozens of young women lost their lives leaving young families behind. He should have been jailed but was promoted.

His reign of malfeasance goes much further back to the 1990s when he assisted in another cover-up: the alleged poisoning of people and livestock on the Shannon Estuary in Askeaton, Co Limerick, home to the notorious Aughinish Alumina plant.

Since the late 1980s, dozens of farmers in the area had been plagued by poor health, children had developed cancer and thousands of animals died inexplicably. Locals were certain that toxic emissions from the plant located 8km away from the affected farms

were causing their health problems. A five-year whitewash inquiry by the state headed by another NPHE crony Kevin Kelleher ensued in 1995 costing taxpayers millions and concluding predictably that the pollution was not linked to the sickness in the area.

Critical samples of hair, blood and urine belonging to those affected allegedly went missing and were not included in the investigation. The families demanded a public inquiry, but Holohan, who was deputy CMO at the time, colluded with the then Minister for Health Micheal Martin to rule one out. The injured parties are still seeking justice to this day.

Then there was the Swine Flu debacle of 2008/2009 which has resulted in dozens of claims against the state for damage done by the Pandemrix vaccine. At the time, Holohan insisted the rollout of the experimental jab should go ahead despite serious safety concerns about it in continental Europe. Holohan knew about the grave adverse events associated with the vaccine but continued its distribution in Ireland. His actions led to the ruination of countless lives and has cost the taxpayers millions in court payouts.

Given his sordid past, only some of which has been outlined above, anyone who still believes Holohan should be anywhere else but in a prison cell is

deluded.

Where we have arrived, after two years of allowing our democratic structures to be trampled upon and dismantled, is a situation where our supposed elected representatives have themselves sub-contracted the role of government to a dangerously incompetent functionary who in a safe and sane country would have been locked up years ago for his crimes against public health and human well-being.

But this situation conveys something more than mere disregard or contempt for the self-governing entitlements of the Irish people. It is also a device that suits our political establishment in the event that they will need to find a scapegoat for the tyrannical, callous, pitiless and often sadistic conduct of the past two years, in which they have in effect 'farmed

out' the role of de facto governance to Holohan and the politburo known as NPHE in the hope that they themselves will be able to evade the righteous consequences when the day of reckoning arrives.

Tony Holohan has no rightful, legal or democratic authority. At first sight, it might seem that he has been lawfully delegated the authority of the Government because he is nominally in charge of overseeing the 'health emergency'. Such a construction would be misplaced and untenable, since there is no constitutional basis for it. In effect, what has happened is that the Government has usurped the authority reposed in it by the people, and used it to imprison and dispossess the people, using Holohan as their stooge and scapegoat. When the public eventually rumble what has been happening, we would not want to be in his shoes.

THE IRISH LIGHT FEB 2022

Editor: **Gemma O'Doherty** - www.gemmaodoherty.com

Associate Editor **John Waters** - www.johnwaters.substack.com

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free from the establishment we seek to hold to account.

Enquiries: www.irishlightpaper.com

Time to blow the whistle on sudden sporting deaths

We need to get to the heart of why so many super-fit athletes are collapsing **AS highly discriminatory 'vaccine passports' are preventing many football fans from watching elite sport, a hat trick of former Premier League stars are pointing to VAR.**

With tragic irony, as the government and the football authorities continue to preach the line that the 'covid vaccine' keeps players and spectators alike safe, the astonishing rise of super-fit footballers being taken ill on the pitch has prompted Matt Le Tissier, Trevor Sinclair and Kevin Gage to call for an official investigation into possible vaccine adverse reactions.

Supporters, still reeling from being kept out of most major football grounds for a full season as part of the regime's lockdown measures, have now been told they must prove they are 'fully vaccinated' or produce a negative test result to gain entry into stadia holding at least 10,000 spectators.

Yet the issue of vaccination is far from a done deal among the players, a significant minority of whom have so far decided not to be jabbed and instead take the 99.9 per cent plus chance that they will not become seriously ill.

Perhaps the loophole of a negative test is designed to prevent the embarrassment of obscenely-paid superstar footballers joining the fans on the scrapheap?

Their laughably described 'vaccine hesitancy' is unlikely to be eased with reports regularly flooding in of events that pre-vaccine were rarer than England tournament victories.

Even before there was time to put disgraced Prime Minister Boris Johnson's announcement into action just before Christmas, Manchester United star Victor Lindelof - vaccinated or otherwise - suffered breathing difficulties during a televised Premier League clash at Norwich City.

With the silence of the football authorities and the vast majority of the pundits still deafening, Le Tissier tweeted: "Amazing that another footballer comes off complaining about his heart and yet the media refuse to mention even the slightest hint that it just 'could' be the thing that can't be named". #lotsofcoincidences

by **JOHN BRINDLEY**

Le Tissier, Sinclair and Gage also took to social media earlier following a shocking week in which five players were taken ill inside 48 hours, including two from the EFL (English Football League).



Photo: Waldemar Brandt

Best known of the rebels, Le Tissier, a former Southampton legend and England international, asked: "How many more sports people need to collapse on the pitch before an investigation takes place?"

This common-sense question immediately landed him in hot water with the national media, with ridiculous claims he was putting players' lives in danger.

Le Tissier's own media career was already in tatters after he was kicked off his popular role on Sky Sports, leading him to explain he had refused to wear a Black Lives Matter badge on TV.

But the stances taken by Gage and Sinclair are even braver, considering both have current media roles.

Sinclair, another former England international, was cut off on popular radio station TalkSport when referring to a health scare involving Sheffield United's John Fleck. "I think everyone wants to know if he (Fleck) has had the covid vaccine", he said.

The station then censored their own pundit's honest question from their archives, prompting the 48-year-old to turn to Twitter: "Everyone I speak to about these heart problems suffered by footballers (which worryingly seem to be happening more regularly) are asking if they linked to covid vaccines or not?"

As with Le Tissier, his comments sparked a huge row, with some accusing him of being "irresponsible".

The Fleck incident, which national media have distanced from the vaccine, was even closer to home for Gage, a former Blades player and now a

Sheffield United TV commentator.

This is his sensational and worrying Tweet in full:

"Bitten my tongue for long enough & out of respect for 'one of our own' on Tuesday, I've kept quiet.

But I've now seen reports of another FIVE players who've collapsed/died in past two days. One of them, Adama

Traore did so in a CHAMPIONS LEAGUE game v Real Madrid watched all around the world by millions & apparently there have been 108 FIFA registered pro footballers who've died in last 6 months (a 500% increase) & nearly 200 of them have collapsed... what the f**k is going on?

In my 19 years as a pro footballer & then my 20+ years watching & commentating, I've never seen ANY players collapse, pass out, etc either live or during any of the thousands of training sessions & matches I've taken part in.

Something isn't right... In fact something is happening that is very VERY wrong. Ignore it & keep your head buried in the sand if you wish, but it's not going away.

We need answers, but who's gonna be brave enough to ask the questions?"

VAR is not, of course, limited to the so-called 'beautiful game'. Le Tissier was in social media correspondence with former England badminton star Gail Emms, aged 44, who posted: "I remember 2hrs after vaccine, my HR going through the roof. Then my blood pressure soared. I don't remember the next few hrs as I was rushed to hospital with suspected stroke. And I've been told that this has happened lots with very fit people and the vaccine".

Whether Fleck or Traore took and were affected by the covid vaccine is yet to be determined. The bigger picture is the sheer number of apparently super-fit athletes who have either collapsed or died in 2021.

■ <https://brinderswakeup.blog>

SPORTS DEATHS, INJURIES

Two West Indies players, Chinelle Henry and Chedean Nation collapse on field

Dembele, 29, Atletico Madrid striker collapses in training, requires medical attention

Alex Apolinario, 24, Brazilian soccer player dies after collapsing on pitch during match

Britain's Jack Draper, 19, collapses at Miami Open

Mirko Kido, 36, Olympic gold medallist dies of heart attack during game

Ghanaian referee collapses during AFCON Match

Referee Bert Smith collapses on court due to blood clot during Gonzaga-USC men's Elite Eight game

Josh Downie, 24, cricketer dies after heart attack at practice

Giuseppe Perrino, 29, ex-Parma footballer dies at memorial match after collapsing

Raymond van Barneveld collapses and receives paramedic attention during PDC Championship

Devaraj Anchan, 33, volleyball player collapses during game and dies

Garissone Innocent, 20, fell unconscious due to abnormal electrical impulse in heart during game

Ethan Jovani Trejo, 16-year-old soccer player, collapsed on the field during training

Samuel Kalu, 24, Bordeaux star collapses minutes into football game

Vinny Curry, 33, out for season due to blood clots

Cameron Dale, 29, Australian sailor dies after catastrophic stroke

Two young Columbia High School footballers die

Bollywood's star, 40, dies following heart attack

Tom Felton, 34, collapses

during golf game

Francis Perron, 25, Ottawa footballer dies after game

Parys Haralson, 37, former Saints line backer, dies

Jimmy Hayes, 31, former Bruins player unexpectedly dies

Kjeld Nuis, 31, Dutch professional skater admitted to hospital with inflamed heart

John Stokes, 21, athlete at Tennessee Uni hospitalised with heart inflammation

Jake Ehlinger, 20, found dead - cause unknown

Jeremy Chardy, 34, tennis pro cannot train or play after vaccine

Francesca Marcon, 38, volleyball professional can't play, has pericarditis post vaccine

Yusuke Kinoshita, 27, baseball pro dies seven weeks post vaccine

Alex Stalock, 34, NHL Oilers goalie out for the season due to heart condition

Ceylin Alvarado, 23, pro cyclist out for season due to blood complications

Greg van Aevermat, 36, pro cyclist, loss of form since vaccine

Three young Belgian cyclists suffer heart issues following race

Kamila Label-Farrel, 19, University basketball star died unexpectedly

Jacob Downey, 19, Queens University hockey player passes away after medical emergency

Christian Eriksen, 29, Collapses at Euros due to heart issue

Josh Archibald, 28, Oilers hockey forward out indefinitely due to myocarditis

Jen Gouveia, 38, suffered cardiac arrest during a run

Kyle Warner, pro BMX cyclist has pericarditis post vaccine

16-year-old youth

suffered cardiac arrest after weightlifting

Brandon Goodwin, 26, Atlanta Hawks star, career over due to blood clots

Ewan Fraser, 30, Glasgow hockey player suffered cardiac arrest, passes away

David Jenkins, 31, Olympic silver medallist diver, unexpectedly passes away

Paul Zisper, 27, Munich basketball pro, has emergency surgery after brain hemorrhage related to J and J

Filip Ingebrigsten, 28, Norwegian runner suffers loss of form post vaccine

Avi Barot, 29, Saurashtra cricketer suffers cardiac arrest, passes away

Abou Ali, 22, professional footballer collapses on pitch during game

Fabrice NSakala, 31, Besiktas defender collapses on pitch during game

Jens De Smet, 27, cyclist collapses on field, passes away of heart attack

Jente van Genechten, 25, footballer collapses on field due to heart attack

Frederic Lartillot, French footballer collapses in changing room, passes away due to heart attack after game

Benjamin Taft, 31, German footballer collapses after game, passes away due to heart attack

Rune Coghe, 18, Belgian footballer suffers cardiac arrest on pitch

Helen Edwards, referee taken off court during World Cup qualifier due to heart issues

Dimitri Lienard, 33, FC Strasbourg midfielder collapses during game

Sergio Agüero, 33, Barcelona star striker admitted to hospital for cardiac exam after match.

Doctor wins massive victory for free speech

NHS whistleblower Dr Sam White has won his High Court appeal against the General Medical Council's unlawful 18-month social media ban following his pandemic-related whistle blow in June.

The victory is a triumph for free speech and sets a precedent for other cases. Responding to the news on Twitter, Dr Teck Khong commented that, "freedom to challenge and criticise flawed covid policies is now assured."

The High Court ruled that the GMC gag violated human rights laws and could not stand. It was said that guidelines relied on by the GMC tribunal made no reference to the human rights of doctors registered with them.

The GMC imposed conditions on Dr White's registration that prevented him from sharing his professional opinions about covid on social media after he questioned the NHS response to the pandemic in an online video and criticised the government for withholding treatments and failing to provide adequate information to the public about vaccine safety.

The eight-minute video racked up one million views before its removal three days later. In it, Dr Sam asks how the public could truly give informed consent to vaccination when the dangers and lack of effectiveness were hidden from them and there are no medium- to long-term data available.

Though the legal case centred on White's freedom of expression rather than the veracity of his claims, he nevertheless provided 106 paragraphs that addressed the allegations made in his video and was able to support each one of them with scientific and medical evidence.

The tribunal - without even investigating his claims - called his views 'misinformation' that could pose a risk to the public because they didn't align with official pronouncements. They said that public confidence in the (medical) profession could be seriously undermined if White was 'permitted to remain in unrestricted clinical practice'.

NHS England South East suspended Sam, but he fought back and joined forces with barrister Francis Hoar and solicitors PJH Law to assert his right to engage in medical, scientific and political debate and discussion.

The team wrote to NHS CEO Sir Simon Stevens on the 2nd of July to query Sam's suspension and raise allegations of criminal conduct by

by **LOUIZE SMALL**

way of gross negligence by the NHS, government and regulatory agencies. His suspension was revoked on the 21st of July, but Stevens didn't reply and has since vacated the role.

Hoar affirms that large bodies of scientific and medical opinion support White's views and that his comments were statements of fact and opinions about pharmaceutical and non-pharmaceutical interventions in response to the pandemic.

White insists covid is more treatable than influenza, urging, "We have the treatments, we're just not allowed to use them. They are being deliberately withheld. Ivermectin is good because it prevents the binding of the spike protein to the cells' receptors and also acts as an anti-coagulant", which counters the coagulant effects of the mRNA vaccines. He also mentions Hydroxychloroquine, zinc, and inhalant medication Budesonide as effective treatments.

Sam has grave concerns about the experimental jab and says, "The vaccine is genetic manipulation and is not like other vaccines. It neither reduces transmission nor provides immunity." He says that VAERS and Yellow Card reporting systems probably only give 1% of the actual figures of adverse events and that the true number of injuries and deaths is likely 100 times higher than reported.

He is also strongly against vaccine passports and says that people have the right to decline any medical treatment without being penalised. The public are being coerced with the lure of being able to do the things they want - which they should be free to do anyway - and are not being reminded of the 99% recovery rate or the ability of the immune system to resolve illness. He calls for vaccine passports to be declared unlawful and the rollout of the jab to be stopped until a review on adverse effects has been carried out.

White qualified as a doctor in 2004 and was a partner in a rural GP practice. When the lies surrounding the pandemic became too much, he tried to raise concerns with colleagues but none listened. His frustration resulted in his resignation from the practice, but he continued to work as a doctor in other capacities until he was tipped over the edge upon hearing they wanted to test the covid jab on children.

In a recent video celebrating the win he says, "What we've lacked lately is tolerance for diversity of

thought and opinion. What we've had instead is scientism, with no debate being allowed about science. It's time to return to medical ethics, which have been long-established and yet recently overlooked."

PJH Law, representing Sam, says the government formulated public health policy based on a flawed diagnostic test, and is causing so much harm by doing so. Principal solicitor Philip Hyland sent a fact-laden letter to the new CEO of the NHS, Amanda Pritchard, accusing the organisation of dereliction of duty and collusion with the government to steer the public towards vaccination.

The lengthy letter states how the UK government and SAGE failed to act in the public's best interests by denying patients proven safe and effective early intervention medication, and provides damning evidence that Pfizer tampered with the safety data. Hyland says that some jabs in use in the UK have already been withdrawn elsewhere on safety grounds; yet the government and the NHS so far refuse to accept that there's a pattern that has been emerging all year.

The legal team, along with White, has agreed to assist the UK police in any investigation into alleged criminality regarding the government's and others' response to covid-19. They are also in touch with those who lodged the International Criminal Court referral in September 2021.

A predictable smear campaign is already under way, though White's lawyers say they 'will not be distracted by trolls and bots but will take legal action against anyone who harasses or makes defamatory statements that cause serious harm.'

Despite the lifting of the ban, Dr Sam's Twitter account still appears to be restricted. Follow @pjhlaw for updates on his story.

■ **Read the judgment here:** <https://www.covid19assembly.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/White-v-GMC-judgment.pdf>

■ **Read PJH Law's letter to NHS CEO Amanda Pritchard here:** <https://pjhlaw.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/lettertoamandapritchard.pdf>

■ **Read the BMJ article about Pfizer tampering with safety data here:** <https://www.bmj.com/content/375/bmj.n2635>

■ **Read my blog at:** www.onelittlewarrior.life

One in three in England remain jab free



Photo: Markus Anthony Nicholls aka Markus Truther Freeman

ACCORDING to a recent vaccine surveillance report by the UK government's Orwellian-named Health Security Agency (HSA), around one in three among the eligible population of England have rejected the incessant push to get a covid shot.

This despite Sir Patrick Vallance stating in a telescreen briefing back in September that, "there are five million or so people who are eligible for vaccines now who haven't been vaccinated".

The HSA publishes a weekly vaccine surveillance report, within which there is a section on 'population impact' of the covid-19 shots, and it clearly states that 'by 12th December 2021, the overall vaccine uptake in England for dose 1 was 67.9% and for dose 2 was 62.2%.' The report also says that uptake for dose 3 was 31.4%.

According to the ONS, the population of England is roughly 56 million, with around 8 million children under the age of 12, thus there are around 48 million people eligible for a shot.

67.9% of 48 million equals 32.6 million, and 48 minus 32.6 is 15.4 million people who have so far resisted the relentless and ubiquitous propaganda aimed at convincing them that taking part in the experimental mRNA trials for a disease with a 99.9+% recovery rate is a noble and healthy thing to do.

The technique of 'sheep factoring' is well known among marketeers and salespeople - everyone else is doing it so you should too.

by **DARREN SMITH**

Advertising throughout the pandemic campaign has often reflected this idea of community spirit, herd immunity through vaccines rather than nature, and don't be the only one not allowed to go places because you don't have a vaccine passport, now introduced for larger venues in England.

Anyone with even a vague recollection of recent history will realise that what starts out in one sector and for certain things soon expands to include almost everything. First they mandated drug trial injections for care home workers, many of whom have now lost their jobs. Now they're coming for NHS workers, and soon it will be for every sector.

Using deceptive techniques like manipulating statistics to tell a story that supports the fear narrative, is a long-time technique of the rulers to keep populations in line. Once people lose their fear, you cannot control them.

In recent weeks, it seems their grip is most definitely loosening, as around half of people are in masks, and almost none where not required.

However, there is still a majority who are Pavlovian-trained to go into a helpless state of compliance and shock, evidenced by the queues of people lining up outside covid shot centres in the cold and rain to get protected from what they have been trained to believe is a deadly disease, and which seems to the rest of us very much like the flu.

The good ship NHS faces being sunk without trace

by **THE PARADIGMEDIC**

ON November 9 of last year, England's Secretary of State for Health and Social Care announced that all Care Quality Commission-regulated staff will have to be fully vaccinated by April 1 of this year.

For many staff working within the NHS, this meant very little, but for a steadily growing number of free-thinking and discerning staff members, an alarm bell was sounded.

Now, as is often the case in emergency medical situations, the Ambulance Service - who know a 'Code Brown' when they see one, or perhaps more to the point, smell one from a distance - duly responded.

In no time at all, a Telegram group made up of ambulance staff, with help from a certain Dr 'Ads', created the 'NHS100k'. It quickly identified an urgent requirement to find a way to muster all NHS staff in acute readiness for what is to come.

The NHS100k is specifically aimed at joining everyone across all existing NHS staff groups and in both clinical and non-clinical specialities, creating a show of strength of NHS and care-staff who have exercised their right to decline this experimental medical intervention.

In less than a month, NHS100k has grown rapidly with close to 1k members on Telegram, an 8k following on Twitter and more than 8.4k on Instagram.

The number 100k relates directly to the NHS Providers analysis which found that around 103,000 NHS workers are officially unvaccinated for covid-19 in the UK. This 103k figure of course does not include those NHS staff who consequently do not wish to receive booster 3 and beyond.

The NHS100k's primary aim is to raise awareness and unite colleagues across the NHS and the wider health-care sector to stand together in favour of bodily autonomy and freedom of choice.

NHS100k is essentially challenging the mandate of any health intervention, including vaccination, and advocating for freedom of choice. Ironically, it is the exact same choice each of them must respectfully give to their patients before any procedure



or intervention is conducted, or before any medication or treatment is administered.

NHS100k consists of NHS staff, including non-clinical and non-frontline colleagues, and extends across other services.

If you work for the NHS and feel that it is time to challenge what is rapidly becoming a serious threat to personal freedoms and careers, here is how you can access NHS100k:

#ThisHill relates to a military saying that 'this is a hill we are prepared to die on' - in war, hills have always held strategic importance, so much so soldiers have been prepared to 'die on the hill' to help ensure their side has held the ground. Such is the passion and strength of feeling inside

the hearts of each of those who have already shown their support.

NHS100k are healthcare professionals who understand that they are perhaps the last line of defence in a narrative that began with 'two-weeks-to-flatten-the-curve' but has since turned out to be the worst box-set of all time.

NHS100k is a call-to-action, a clarion call to all who wish to remain living in a society where choice over bodily autonomy is possible, and where freedom of speech is permitted.

To be clear, this is about freedom of choice; it is not an 'anti-vax' group. It is about standing in one's power, talking the talk and challenging people to consider where this narrative is really trying to lead us.

right to choose? If not you, then who? If not now, then when? Maybe it is time to help hold the line and turn this madness around?

The NHMess is a ship that hit an iceberg and sank years ago. Yet its staff and managers dutifully swim around on its deck rearranging the deckchairs day and night, all year round. What else can they do? They have people whom they are entrusted to care for, and teams around them, many of which are made up of extremely dedicated people.

With right action, this mighty ship can rise again of course, but with around 103,000 fewer shipmates from April 1, the passageway looks bleak.

To terminate contracts or undertake redeployment programmes would surely be one of the biggest disaster-strokes in history and would write off an already sunken vessel into the abyss. This does not need to happen and together we can prevent it.

If you know anyone who is employed by the NHS please ask them to search 'NHS100k' and support in any way they can.

- **NHS100k Website:**
<http://nhs100k.com/>
- **Telegram Channel:**
<https://t.me/NHS100kChat>
- **NHS100k - Instagram Page**
<https://instagram.com/nhs100k>
- **NHS100k - Twitter Page**
<https://twitter.com/NHS100K>
- **NHS100k - Telegram Chat**
<https://t.me/NHS100kChat>
- **NHS100k - Telegram Channel**
<https://t.me/NHS100k>
- **Facebook**
<https://www.facebook.com/NHS100k/>
- **NHS Workers for Choice 2.0 - Facebook Group**
<https://www.facebook.com/groups/298076465468857/>
(not affiliated)
- **Twitter: @NHS100k**
#NHSMandates
#ThisHill
#IWILLNOTCOMPLY
- <https://nhs100k.com>

Never has ‘No’ been so positive or powerful

Just say No...
and we win

I WENT to meet a friend in Siena Italy, where I live. We found a restaurant with outdoor seating, heaters, and Christmas music playing (only outdoor dining is allowed for the unvaccinated in Italy).

We felt so welcomed by the owner. We talked about the whole drama that is going on. We laughed and shared plans for if things get more difficult.

On the way back to the train station a thought popped into my mind: ‘We are strong.’ The last few weeks

I had felt fear creeping up on me, as more restrictions and mandates were announced in Europe.

But there was a simple power in meeting a like-minded friend, and everything shifted. We are strong. We are stronger than we have ever been. We have lived in this abusive power structure for our entire lives,

by **KATE ORSON**

and now we have found a line that we will not cross.

This is something that the ‘powers that were’ do not know what to do with. They know how to bribe and coerce. They have moved on from ice-cream rewards, to work mandates and barring us from transport and libraries.

Do they know what to do, with us the strong ones? This is our strength in this pivotal moment in our society. Finding the line. Recognising the line, and holding the line.

They could use force. They have the means to use force. But as soon as they do that, then the darkness that drives them reveals itself. The civilised veneer of society crumbles. So they keep churning out the propaganda, and the gene therapy, hoping that they can push and manipulate and cajole enough without being seen.

We see you.

We know your force; we might fear it and yet, we are stronger than it.

All of us who know that there is

nothing, absolutely nothing in this world, that would make us cross that line.

What an amazing thing it is to find this strength inside of ourselves: To recognise that our own sovereignty is stronger than any rule or abusive decree that any authority can try and control us with.

We have lived our entire lives under this system. And now we have the exit plan. It starts with saying No. And their determination to push, and push and push will be matched with our sovereign ‘No’.

Those of us who hold this No so strongly will never change our minds.

And yet there will be others who are saying ‘yes’ now, who will change their minds later.

Our numbers can only grow.

So Ursula von der Leyen, president of the European Commission, wife of Heiko Echter von der Leyen, medical director of a company which specialises in gene therapies. We see you. We, with our 150-million-people strong collective of No.

You can try with your mandates and

decrees while breaking every informed consent law, and human rights treaty there is.

There is nothing you can do against a No that will never shift.

I feel pity for the desperation, and self-destructiveness of a system like the narcissistic charmer who tries to appear nice, but is one step away from revealing the true nature of their character.

Just try it.

Fines will be thrown out of the court. People will learn the difference between ‘following orders’ from the government, and acting in accordance with the law.

Italian Archbishop Vignano, explained in a recent interview, how laws were originally created to be God’s law, to ensure life on Earth was fair and just, and in accordance with God. Whether you believe in God, a higher power, or are an atheist, these laws were intended to maintain a civilised society.

There are people who support the government, and their authority, without realising that they have

abandoned the laws their authority was founded upon.

Now everyone is given the choice: follow orders from the government, or learn what is legal and right.

It is a scary time, it is wild out there, and our minds may be full of thoughts of what might happen.

But this is what I believe, that every No is heard, by God, by a higher power. Every No will be granted a safe path. We will emerge through the forest of dangers, and we will find our way. Just remember no matter how scary their threats are, the No aligns us with what is right, and just, with God, with a higher power, with the universe. This is our protection and it is so much stronger than any of their games.

Ultimately, they do not have the laws of nature on their side. In their arrogance and superiority they thought they could cheat them. But now, we are at the endgame and their power is desperate and crumbling.

Out of the ruins a new Earth is born, where we are truly free.

First they came for Australia...

Does evil happen through people tuning out and focusing on their trivial little lives instead?

“HOW did the people allow that to happen?” I used to ask myself, dazing out of the window, half paying attention in my history lessons at school - my beloved grandmother’s Jewishness getting the better of me.

This question would plague me while travelling Southeast Asia a decade later. Visiting the notorious S21 jail site in Phnom Penh would leave my 24-year-old self feeling sucker-punched. Once again asking “how?” How did this horrific atrocity - at the hands of the

by **RENEE DAVIS**

Khmer Rouge - come to be?

How?

Perhaps, in both of these cases, it began with something akin to “two weeks to flatten the curve”? Preceded by four solid years of “get Brexit done” and “make America great again”? Maybe it began with a mantra similar to “trans women are women” and those who disagreed were viciously torn to pieces and raked over the coals for being abhorrent bigots?

Or maybe it began with supposedly grassroots movements wanting to disrupt society - and yes I am looking directly at you, XR and BLM.

Did it begin with $2+2=5$ and any other answer would get you sent to the Gulag? Or were those unthinkable, unimaginable atrocities started with injecting a deep-seated hatred of the self into society, which was preyed upon by the most psychopathic minds?

Perhaps the most plausible - and

depressing - answer was simply because all the ‘nice, kind, honest, decent folks’ chose to stay in their bubbles, tuning out what was clearly happening under their noses and focusing on their trivial little lives and mediocre problems instead?

I have asked myself, time and again, when their lightbulb moments must have occurred. Was it when they were coerced into medical treatments they did not want or need? Or when they started witnessing acquaintances they previously socialised with being dragged away?

Maybe their red line was when they started seeing their loved ones dying with no plausible explanation?

Or were they so far gone, under the spells of totalitarianism, that they never realised? Perhaps some only had their awakening in a chamber of noxious smells or a rice paddy full of skulls?

Australia’s shameful past, for the way they have treated their indigenous population, is evident and has been for many, many years. So it should come as absolutely no surprise that the vast

majority of Aborigines have a deep mistrust of their authorities.

Just two years ago it would have been unconscionable to imagine communities being rounded up, herded into camps and forced to have an experimental medical intervention their bodies did not need and they did not want, but that was deemed “for the good of society” by those same authorities.

We must only open our eyes to see what is happening, in real time. Clear as the rainbow that penetrates a dull sky after a massive downpour.

We will never force the evil puppeteers to stop what they are doing by continuing to comply. This will only be achieved by resisting the insanity at every available opportunity.

I am under no illusion about how unbearable our lives might be made, for a short time. But if we can hold the line and stand in our power for long enough, there might just be treasure waiting for us, on the other side of that rainbow.



Photo: Jaime Reimer

Let us stop poisoning ourselves

AFTER World War Two, chemical warfare was no longer acceptable.

Chemical companies like Monsanto, recently taken over by Pharmaceutical giant Bayer, realised they had to find new markets, and a big new market would become our food supply via weed control.

The primary ingredient of most weed killers is glyphosate, which originally functioned as a pipe or boiler cleaner, was previously patented as an antibiotic, and Monsanto scientists discovered that glyphosate pretty much killed anything it touched.

In the 1970s, Monsanto started selling it commercially as a weed killer.

Around 2012, it got approved as a drying agent, meaning farmers could spray the entire crop of wheat, barley and corn to allow the crop to dry quickly and uniformly before harvest.

Farmers loved the convenience: they could harvest an entire crop at once, saving them days of works.

Sadly, they did not realise they were exposing themselves, their

by **A LIGHT CONTRIBUTOR**

family, children, animals, waterways, soil and customers to what the World Health Organisation recognised in 2015 as “a probable carcinogen”.

Unfortunately it is not just weeds that glyphosate kills, and it has been linked to many serious human and animal health issues like cancer, asthma, dementia, neurological disorders, autoimmune problems and epileptic seizures.

High profile successful lawsuits like Dwayne Johnson’s, a school groundskeeper who was awarded millions in compensation after developing non-Hodgkin lymphoma, has awoken many to the dangers of this chemical.

Bayer has around 200,000 lawsuits pending, and damages running into the billions, for use of this chemical.

Levels of glyphosate use have rocketed, with 73% of that ever used just in the past 12 years. This is primarily because of its increased use as a drying agent, and many councils in the UK still use pesticides containing glyphosate to treat weeds



outside our homes.

Many counties and communities worldwide have successfully petitioned and campaigned for the use of pesticides as weed control to stop and be replaced by safe alternatives.

Former Monsanto CEO Hugh Grant made a salary of about £44 million each year. That is more than £5,000 a day. All to put poison on our plates.

Even though the UK has never commercially grown GMOs, we can still be exposed to it indirectly. Large quantities of GM soya are imported into Europe and Britain as animal

feed. Meat and dairy products fed on GM animal feed are not labelled as GM-fed in British supermarkets.

Germany, Luxembourg and Austria have a government scheme labelling non-GM-fed meat, milk and eggs so consumers can choose to buy GMO free.

In Sweden, GM feed is no longer used at all, due to consumer pressure.

In 2013 Marks & Spencer, Tesco and Co-Op dropped their ban on GM feed for poultry.

It is known that GM is mostly grown in the U.S., but recently approval has been given for a GMO

field trial in the UK at St Albans.

If you do not already eat organic, you must make the switch for you and your family. It is an investment in yourself you will not regret.

We live in a world that treats illness with pills rather than preventing it and questioning the norms. By switching to organic we have the knowledge of fuelling our bodies with food absent of chemicals.

Further resources

- <http://www.genewatch.org/sub-568547>
- <https://live.childrenshealthdefense.org/shows/the-empower-hour-with-zen-honeycutt/HVKW810U7x>
- <https://www.pan-uk.org/pesticide-free-towns-success-stories/>
- <https://www.pesticidefreebalerno.com>

We cannot justify gambling with our children’s lives

LOOKING out for children ought to be instinctive. With more experience of life, adults are chaperones until the day that children learn to weigh risks for themselves.

Some trades of risk and reward are easy. Only adults, however, are able to make informed decisions that go beyond perceptions, intuition and fear, to weigh the required action in the face of an uncertain threat and an equally uncertain outcome.

It is more complex, and economists call it opportunity cost: what you might gain or lose if you do or do not do something.

The most basic risk calculation should raise a blood-red flag of warning over the covid shots. For doctors it is simple: first do no harm. When you take a decision, ask if it is yours to take. Where do you stand in the chain between pusher or proponent and recipient or target?

There is an uncomfortable

By **MARK MONEYCIRCUS**



Photo: Markus Anthony Nicholls aka Markus Truther Freeman

corollary to this: humans do not love their children unreservedly. As a psychotherapist told me in marriage therapy, some parents do not love their children at all, but see them as rivals.

In an ideal world even such a parent would set aside their emotions and

Here is your multiple choice on the reason for covid, lockdown and the job mandate:

1. Another plague - so predictable!
2. It’s Russia (or China).
3. Bill Gates was trying to help, but someone dropped a vial of the lurgy.
4. Incompetence.
5. Coronavirus was patented and mRNA was ready in 2019 - good call!

seek to take a decision in the child’s interest. Unfortunately this is not so and we must accept that some parents - and thus some professionals - seek to complicate or even damage children’s lives.

To help in the decision, you might

ask in Latin, cui bono? Who stands to gain or lose from an outcome? It is clear that the pharmaceutical companies are in it for the money - Pfizer took \$19 billion in revenues in the second quarter of 2021- selling a drug against an illness with a fatality risk of 0.0002% for children.

Can children infect adults? No more than the other way around. And the shot does not prevent transmission anyway.

Any decision reflects our values, our personality, and our propensity to take risk. There is often a tension between these influences and the consequences of our decision, especially when it affects others. That is dissonance.

We encounter it when we face a decision we do not want to take - or one that we later regret. Dissonance takes the form of finding or distorting information to support the decision, downgrading the alternative choices and exaggerating the advantages of our selection.

Fear is an impulse less-often acknowledged. The only way to

dismantle it is to confront it.

Children play sports to learn the connection between input, output and outcome. They learn that it is not enough to know what works. To make it concrete you have to yoke the physical to the mental. Even then, you are only going through the motions until you harness intangibles like effort, confidence, self-belief and overcoming fear. None of this is information.

People watch sports to follow this link between precursor and outcome, while nation-states and corporations dominate sports to ensure that individual prowess never overshadows obedience and team play.

All actions and events have precursors. Pick whatever you like. But pick one. Because things do not just happen in sequence or coordination, especially where nation states and corporations are involved.

■ **Telegram - Archived Captured History 2020 onwards**

Where this all could end

We have maybe one or two years to prevent the total takeover of our lives

IMAGINE a global communist dictatorship, immovable and ruthless in its authority and expectation of compliance from all citizens; enabled by a superfast internet-of-everything to surveil and restrict your every movement from control of electricity to your home and car, and cameras on every main road where there is no longer much traffic due to the total world economic collapse after the insane, raving mad 2020s.

I could go on describing it, but we have all seen enough dystopian films and know of the history of many tyrants to know this world will not be pleasant or free for the vast majority of mankind, unable to exist without the universal income credits in our central bank blockchain wallet, and those only gained through total compliance with every edict, for ever, for all of us.

It is still shocking how many people still scoff, snort or reject what is described here as 'conspiracy theory' - exactly as they have been trained to do - but let us look at what might be needed for those who own and control almost everything to achieve their psychopathic aim:

- A population reliant on the authority of government, military, police, banks, corporations, education, health-care and law which they completely dominate and direct.
- Most still watching the six o'clock news and believing it is impartial and doing its best to properly inform them about the important events of the world. Here again, the trust in the authority of the suits on the telescreen is a big advantage in behavioural manipulation, or propaganda, as people will act on their beliefs: if they believe there is a deadly contagious disease they can catch because they are repeatedly told so, they are going to act accordingly, and let governments do pretty much what they want while they cower and wait to be told it is safe.
- The continued digitisation of most areas of people's lives - from communication, socialising and entertainment to finance, education,

by **DARREN SMITH**

work, shopping, government services and nearly all information.

- Everyone carrying a personal surveillance device at all times which can watch and listen in at any time.
- Censorship of all voices that go against the narrative and discrimination against those who don't comply.
- Laws in place which outlaw protest, allow state assassinations, allow forced medical intervention and detention, legalise total citizen surveillance plus secret courts for 'terrorism' and many other abnegations of peaceful, natural law.
- A banking and monetary system that most do not understand, but enriches the rulers more every second, as your earnings and assets are siphoned off in the name of 'the greater good'.
- A multi-decade indoctrination of higher education institutions, thus influencing many of those in senior positions across all sectors to believe in 'the greater good' and the right of the state to keep ruling people's lives and expanding indefinitely.
- Total control over all mass media, all main social media, all radio, press and outdoor advertising.
- The ability to influence all national governments' policies at once.

We are but a few short steps from the completion of their plans. One day you will be free to go anywhere; the next you will be geo-restricted, social credit-scored, and made to comply to get the privileges which were previously available to all whenever they so chose.

This is not hyperbole or fantasy - one read of the literature of the World Economic Forum, the UN, the Bilderberg Group, the Trilateral Commission and the Rockefeller Foundation shows any serious mind it is the truth of things, and the very reason there seems to be so much chaos, confusion and fear right now.

They are manipulating perception and psychologically conditioning people to become slaves - hence the masks.

Many are going along with much of this under the illusion it will 'save the planet' - having an advanced civilisation is somehow costing the Earth and we are going to end life if we carry on going on holiday or opening businesses that provide useful




Photo: Stella Jacob

products and services to each other. All part of the manipulation of course.

Libraries of books have and could be written on any aspect of this, but perhaps we should focus right now on the one thing that unites every single man, woman and child that does not belong to what George Carlin famously called the 'Big Club' - those who own everything and make all the important decisions: the preservation of freedom through the rejection of all current government (and therefore worldwide) policies and campaigns to get us to accept the increasing removal of our freedoms in the name of some greater noble cause.

No one wants to be restricted to the one city where they live. Nor have their employment, leisure, association, speech, belief or anything else restricted if it does not cause harm, injury or loss to another

(Natural Law).

The state has simply become too big, but it is the corporations, huge banks and world NGOs that ultimately give the orders, carried out through technocratic control and 'philanthropists' funding mass messaging.

This is not democracy, because one small group of people makes all the decisions for everyone, and they will not be particularly generous when it comes to doling out the basic necessities, knowing it will help keep us down forever.

The door is closing fast - we have maybe one or two years before an economic collapse is engineered and we get a 'great reset' where the Central Bank Digital Currency - a blockchain ledger everyone will have an account on - becomes what most think of as money, and it will mean the end of privacy and independent

decision-making for everyone forced to use it. This simply cannot be allowed to happen.

We can reject the digital and artificial in favour of the natural and traditional. Moving our lives online is fraught with danger - there is nothing wrong with being connected to people and being able to shop online, but if our entire lives are lived through the screen then those who own the pipes and platforms will have ultimate control over us.

We can choose to use cash, shop locally in person, save outside of the banking system, educate our own children and all work together to help and encourage each other to live better and more useful, fulfilling lives, and there are millions of us.

It is still our choice, for now, and it is the most important one you may ever make.

Journalists call for truce to stop division turning into war

SOCIETY has become polarised around the issue of covid vaccines and it is worrying to imagine where this schism could be pushing normally right-thinking people.

There are two camps drawing battle lines in the digital world, those who are injected and demand everyone else be injected, and those who want to remain uninjected for now or permanently while keeping their freedoms.

In the non-digital world there is another group, which outnumbers both the other two groups put together, that simply wants the vaccinated and unvaccinated to be able to coexist in peace.

Let's move back to the first group for a moment, though, as it seeks to influence the largest of the three groups. This group is small in number but sometimes has the platforms to shout loudest.

Without naming names, as we understand that hostility against journalists and commentators is rising in parallel with hostility against the unvaccinated, here are some headlines from this month:

'The unvaccinated have become a lethal liability we can ill-afford'.

'Make the unjabbed face their own lockdown so we can live our lives'.

'It's time to punish Britain's five million vaccine refuseniks: they put us all at risk of more restrictions. So why shouldn't we curb some of their freedoms?'

There have been several precursors to the robust views above that have also been topped by equally strong headlines in national newspapers this year, including: 'No job. No entry. No NHS access; It is only a matter of time before we turn on the unvaccinated'.

The language is stark and unambiguous, and appears to be written by people who believe the unvaccinated are a huge problem for society, a problem great enough to warrant the loss of freedoms and punishment.

The power of these headlines should not be underestimated;

by **HOLDING THE LINE**

indeed many readers only ever read the headlines of a story and perhaps the first two or three paragraphs if the author is lucky.

So how will those headlines make the third group feel, the one that wants to live in peace with their unvaccinated/vaccinated brothers and sisters?

If they are unvaccinated they are possibly going to be feeling anxious and this could lead to fear and even anger against the vaccinated. Perhaps they will be led into thinking many injected people share the opinions expressed in those headlines.

If they are vaccinated, they are also possibly going to be feeling anxious and this could lead to fear and even anger against the unvaccinated. Perhaps they will be led into thinking the uninjected could be disrupting everyone's lives or, worse still, causing the death of others.

And so we now have a split in this third group, many of whom will join with either of the other two groups, depending on their vaccine status.

Is the language used in those headlines something we should be worried about? Are polarised splits in opinion a fact of life, something we just have to deal with? Or is there a way we can encourage respectful debate and perhaps even move aside the debate altogether and allow for peaceful coexistence between opposing groups?

Perhaps a New Year truce is needed. Even if it is temporary, let us for today try and live with each other, injected and uninjected, accepting and respecting other points of view.

If we can then, perhaps, hold on to that feeling of mutual respect, it can lead to understanding, and from there to coexistence in peace.

We emailed four major UK newspapers for a right of reply to this article but none responded within the specified time frame of three working days.

■ holding-the-line.com

How unchecked ambition is killing our world

Our once high and noble aspirations have been replaced by madness

IN years to come, when this epoch of collective madness has come to an end, people will - once again - wonder what went wrong. How did we place unchecked and unlimited power in the hands of a few?

Why did we not stop them sooner? Why on earth did we fail to learn from recent history? Millions will have died for no reason and already have.

Perhaps parallels will be drawn with Nero and Caligula, Hitler and Stalin. A new generation of young leaders - Johnson, Arden, Macron, Trudeau and Dan Andrews - will have been firmly linked with a shadowy global elite, desperately pushing an agenda intent on depopulation and dominance.

Hiding behind NGOs and other unelected bodies, the robber barons are manipulating, perhaps even bribing and blackmailing, our now weary-looking leaders to do their bidding.

Time is running out. Not to save the planet (certainly not in the way they claim), but for a brainwashed humanity to arise from its slumber and act to save itself from impending disaster.


Some of us have been writing and talking about the mass psychosis now engulfing the planet, often called mass formation, that deliberately casts a spell on the unwitting populace through constant lies and repetitive television announcements, and the employment of behavioural psychology to terrify.

In a recent interview, the departing peer Sir David Puttnam warns Britons about the rise of populism, even comparing its methodology to the Nazi playbook:

"I believe we are sleepwalking towards a form of unaccountable power the like of which, in the modern era, the UK has never previously experienced."

He goes on to exhort Britons to wake up or walk into self-inflicted disaster.

Early in his career, he had met one of the architects of the Third Reich, Albert Speer, and discovered an ambitious man who had lost his



moral compass.

How easy it is to be seduced, get sucked in, says the film-maker, even become someone you never intended to be. That is not just a warning for this age, but any other, travelling the human story like a poisoned vein.

Yet as damaging as it can be, ambition which is built into the very fabric of our existence of course, becomes a god in the psyche just like Eros. It is only when it falls into shadow and places service to self way ahead of service to others that it becomes problematic.

I always remember the moment in the film *Gandhi* when Martin Sheen's reporter suggests the titular protagonist is a "very ambitious man". Gandhi takes a long pause before answering with "I hope not".

Why? Because he knew the dangers inherent in ambition and ego and steered himself away from them. For as Puttnam says, we are all vulnerable. Anyone can sell their soul.

Jacinda Arden, once a bright and breezy new mother, now looks and sounds more like Lady Macbeth, grinning gleefully in an interview about the creation of a two-tier society. Power corrupts and absolute power corrupts absolutely.

We can learn much from the astrological wheel, with the first house archetype (Aries) coming from the darkness of the unmanifest (Pisces) into the dawn of birth, as yet invested with little light.

Small wonder the Aries energy is connected with the task of overcoming fear, its warrior energy often acting on impulse - for the native has not yet developed enough inner light to be self-reflective.

Examine the chart of the ambitious and successful and you will probably see this archetype features strongly along with the nimble mountaineering Capricorn. At first glance, this is about the

by **SIMON HEATHCOTE**

drive into form - how the manifest world is built - although at a deeper level it is always about the inculcating of more light.

As we progress through the wheel, more and more light is available until the drive of 'I' becomes the peace of 'we', the realisation that all is one, not just the illusory 'me' that can think only of itself, its plans and ambitions.

And woe betide anyone who gets in the way - they will surely be smashed into non-existence. I believe we are witnessing this now, although most do not recognise what they are seeing, again because of a lack of light or, more accurately, their gaze being deliberately focused on false light.

In short, humanity has been betrayed and led by a cohort of seductive Pied Pipers who only care for themselves and will - if we let them - destroy everybody else, apart from those they can exploit towards a terrifying future.

Young cultures are particularly vulnerable to the same energies, for what applies to the individual applies to them as well.

Colonists and founding fathers have to create worlds, build railroads and cities, perfect ground for any ambitious man, or woman.

Yet blind ambition without a spiritual focus - the cause of a higher power - soon becomes a killing machine, wiping out indigenous societies, ruining nature and trashing the idea of community.

We have lost sight of the age-old question: whom do you serve? a central motif of literature from the old story of the Fisher King to Schaffer's *Equus*, and the pertinent question of astrology's eighth house.

The choice is always between ego and soul. The erosion of our values has thrown out this central and vital dilemma; we are now hostage to rampant individualism, answering to no-one.

As the rabbi Jonathan Cahn writes in his bestseller *The Harbinger*, 9/11 was a warning for a nation to turn back to its founding principles. That warning was ignored.

The concept of the servant leader, the knightly traditions of old lie in tatters. Our once high and noble aspirations have been replaced by madness.

God has left the building and everything is in peril.

Pollution study takes the charge out of electric vehicles

Politicians may have taken a wrong turn in the drive away from petrol and diesel

VOLVO has published a study that shows the manufacture of electric vehicles (EVs) creates seventy per cent more emissions than conventional internal combustion engines (ICEs).

Those working in the automotive industry, especially at an engineering and university level, know that the production of EVs creates more pollution than the production of conventional cars.

Contrary to what some people think, conventional and electric vehicles are built in the same plants, using the same materials and components, the only difference being the engine. The Volvo XC40 with conventional engine and the Volvo C40 with electric engine for instance are basically the same car, only that the production of the latter causes a lot more pollution, seventy per cent to be precise.

The chemicals and minerals used in manufacture and supply chain do not sit on top of the ground waiting to be scooped up or picked off trees. The whole process of accessing them involves huge earth works, so deep in the ground they can be seen from space.

It also takes vast numbers of heavy plant and equipment, which are powered by fossil fuels and spew out tonnes of nitrous oxide.

Once chemicals and minerals are extracted, they are then transported by vehicles on road, rail or sea to countries with production capabilities, to be processed further into EV batteries. This takes a huge amount of energy and creates huge amounts of pollution as well as toxic waste, which in most cases is dumped.

All this information has to be accounted for in the manufacture of batteries and EVs. You cannot dismiss the effects of the production and transport processes, which should be calculated as a total against that of fossil fuels manufacture.

To properly assess the costs and benefits of ICEs and EVs, both their manufacture and lifetime need to

by **GEORGE PATRICK**

be taken into consideration. I was glad to hear a politician saying that "maybe we didn't give these very low emission diesel cars a chance before we stopped them".

What chemicals and minerals are required to produce an EV battery?

How much stock of chemicals and minerals is still in the ground and how long will it last?

What equipment is required to dig these out of the ground and how much pollution is made by manufacture of the equipment?

What pollution and waste are they creating in digging these out of the ground or transporting them to countries like China?

There is a simple equation - it takes pollution for the creation of vehicles which are in turn polluting the Earth, but how much pollution do we consider safe?

Also remember, an ICE engine is mainly made of aluminium and most of the components are recycled and reused. However, there is no recycling of EV batteries. Indeed it is the biggest headache for all countries.

Like asbestos, it could turn out to be a very big problem.

EVs remind me of 1950s thinking on nuclear-powered cars: a great idea but a few minor problems... radiation, dealing with car crashes, clearing up waste and recycling. Leap forward 70 years on and is it any different?

The future is likely hydrogen engines rather than EVs. If we switched all cars over to electric in the next year, we could not provide the power required to charge them up.

The UK does not have enough power stations to produce enough electricity, and warnings have been given on the forthcoming price increases from utility companies.

Chile is powering forklift trucks with hydrogen while we as a nation are still chasing electric, even with up to three nuclear power stations' short of requirement.

So where are we? A UK-based global manufacturer of ICE vehicles is again in delay of major manufacture of EVs until June 2022, and the plant is nowhere near ready to produce such vehicles. This will only leave 3 years to get everything in place, both at a production and supply level, for the UK's 2025 deadline.

There is a major problem with the global supply of computing chips and



Photo: Ernest Ojeh

EV batteries, which is causing loss of production and a big knock on to the suppliers in the chain.

It is interesting that Johnson Matthey pulled the plug, so to speak, on battery production. Besides, the Chinese have capitalised the market and some of the main minerals are running low.

At this point it is worth stating what I was told about shipping and the global supply chain. In one American port there are usually only two container ships waiting to be offloaded at any point in time, and now there are 55.

Also, the price of a shipping container has gone from £2,500 to £11,500 and the more you pay the less to delay. Who is going to pick up the extra cost?

As for Europe and fossil fuel cars, it is amazing how all the high

end performance manufacturers - Ferrari, Lamborghini, Maserati, BMW, etc. - are asking the EU for concessions, allowing them to still build ICE cars.

They know that the batteries are a waste of time and that only petrol or diesel will achieve high performance and long distance.

As told to me, a woman was looking at buying a new car and was keen on buying an EV but wanted to test one out.

The car dealer gave her a full-charged EV Mercedes to try for the weekend. The woman and daughter were very happy and looked forward to it.

The car was driven not far from the dealership to home and the next day from home to town and back home. They noticed that the car had a near-empty charge left in it and the gauge

showed one per cent. They could not drive the car back to the dealership, so the dealership sent a recovery vehicle to collect it from the customer's driveway. The total drive distance was no more than 56 miles, so she has opted for a hybrid engine vehicle. Smart woman in my book.

So is it 'Back to the Future' or 'Back to the Past'?

Letting politicians loose on engineering was like watching a monkey crack a nut with a hard rock, a lump of wood and plenty of head-scratching.

But do not worry, Boris has it fully under control living in Peppa Pig world, driving a Peppa Pig EV. Oink Oink!

Lord help us.

Rapid rise has primed us for a fall

The truth will set you free, after shocking you

by **STUART FARR**

IN his book *The Fate of Empires*, John Glubb described the common periods that all empires go through: From The Age of Pioneers to Conquest through The Age of Commerce to Affluence, ending with the inevitable Invasion, Conquest and Fall.

We can observe the decline of all civilisation shortly after The Age of Affluence.

The Age of Intellectualism is defined by debates, assembly committees and expert panels discussing bottomless issues distributed through press, TV, radio, podcasts - rarely leading to any agreement.

Constantly undulating analysis and the pontificating of the intellectually vulnerable: those educated in cataloguing the minutia of one area of knowledge, yet unable to see propaganda they agree with or what wisdom might lie beyond their own knowledge.

Possessed of a self-belief to which years of dedication ought to make them expert, yet they study in the universities built by other (corruptible) men.

The arrogance of the problem solvers and do-gooders with their ignorant insistence that the human mind can conceive of the whole world and resolve its inefficiencies.

With endless and incessant chatter, public affairs drift from bad to worse, a constant dedication to discussion which seems to destroy the power of action.

A pessimism seeps throughout the whole public conscience and with pessimism comes frivolity. Eat, drink and be merry, for tomorrow we die.

And so The Age of Decadence bares its arse, characterised by the apotheosis of the trivial: over-attachment to sports results. Obsessive interest in the private lives of entertainers. Adult

preoccupation with toys and games and irrelevant competitions of sex and cakes. Distraction by culture, music, movies: entertainment.

I'm not a killjoy. I have spent my life in the pursuit of the arts. As with entertainment, sports, leisure and other pleasurable distractions from a painful or mediocre life, they are a valid component to the human experience. Fun and games are important but they come after the work is done.

Is it sensible to devote our free time and even throw hard-earned cash at millionaires who sing and play ball games for us? Are we really that privileged? Or are we primed for conquest?

We are encouraged to squabble. Hating each other for every minor difference. Bickering over petty micro-aggressions. Excessive polarisation of politics.

Are we being steered into oblivion while we scream 'left' and 'right' at each other? Hating your neighbours for voting while your very property, liberty and freedom are being absorbed by the new kings - the corporate globalists and their big tech feudalism.

Our conquerors are not barbarian hordes with terrifying weapons. They are cold intellectuals with billion-dollar corporations and a sophisticated understanding of behaviourism. They use psychological marketing to manufacture acquiescence. We are surrendering piecemeal to the new servitude. The currency is data and you are the product. They own everything but you may borrow it if you are a good citizen.

Listen to your conscience the next time you are consuming a performance in an empty stadium through a screen. Is your goal pleasure and paper tokens, or the security of your own life and your children's future? Be honest. How long would you last if the lights really did go out?

■ <https://wecanlivebetter.org>



Jam for Freedom- from a park in London to a worldwide music revolution in one year!

by **CAMBEL MCLAUGHLIN**

IT'S our one-year anniversary! Thank you to all that have participated and supported our international music project. The best is yet to come!

Started in Hampstead Heath, London by musician and educator, Cambel McLaughlin on December 20th 2020 as a response to government tyranny, his aim was to bring the world's musicians together in a day of solidarity, called the 'Jam for Freedom'.

After several months of tireless touring around the UK and Ireland with pro-freedom musicians, the project received international recognition from rock and roll great Eric Clapton.

Van Morrison's Rhythm and Blues Foundation also supported our cause, giving us funds to upgrade our modest busking rig, but what propelled the project to international awareness was

Eric Clapton's featuring us in his music video for 'This Has Gotta Stop'.

I instantly received emails from across the world from people wanting to join in! We went from having two chapters in Ireland and the UK that I set up, to having 12 and growing.

We then featured in a *Rolling Stone* hit piece, one on MSNBC, and blew up in the United States after appearing twice on Steve Bannon's *War Room* show.

One year down the line and over 150 jams later, we are forever grateful for all the support we have received across the world, from the families that have put us up in their homes, lent us equipment and to the countless musicians that showed up to perform and support freedom.

We are now preparing USA, European and Australian tours, and so much more in the UK and Ireland to help with mental health. There are so many of us out there! In the words of the Daz Band: 'Together we

are mighty!'

We are currently seeking venues in the UK, Ireland and around the world including pubs, barns and living rooms, to host pro-freedom music shows and festivals. Get in touch at contact@jamforfreedom.com

Find our updated tour diary of shows across the world and support our independent movement at www.jamforfreedom.com and join our telegram group at www.t.me/jamforfreedom



Be aware: the hypnotised may not hear

Critical thinkers must continue to speak out to try and break the spell over others

WHY do some people steadfastly believe all the data coming from the mainstream media sources even though they know it is absurd, while others appear to be switched-on to the deceit and subterfuge of everything that is happening in the world and ready to call it out?

Mass psychosis can only happen when the conditions that induce mass group formation are in place. Social isolation creates a lack of social bonding which in turn restricts the intimacy of vulnerability that comes from shared experiences.

People can either turn to social media to replicate that experience or just accept their detachment from the rest of the community.

Homo sapiens are a social species, and a lack of social bonding and community spirit, meaningless jobs of work and a lack of purpose in life, foster the right conditions for mass formation.

There are millions of people across the world - clerical workers, administrators, consultants, telemarketers, corporate lawyers, service personnel, and many others - who are toiling away in meaningless, unnecessary jobs, and they know it.

People are so disengaged at work that they are sleepwalking their way through their working days with no meaningful purpose in life except to look forward to a monthly squirt of income which mainly goes towards paying bills.

In David Graeber's book *Bullshit Jobs* he describes jobs which cannot be justified, even by the people doing them, who have to pretend that there is some reason for them to exist. That is the bullshit element. A lot of people confuse bullshit jobs and bad jobs but they are not the same thing.

Bad jobs are bad because they are hard. They have terrible conditions, long hours and the pay is low, but often these jobs are very useful. In fact, in our society, often the more useful the work is, the less they pay you (key workers).

Bullshit jobs are often highly respected and pay well but are completely pointless and the people doing them know this. Most corporate lawyers secretly believe that if there were no longer any corporate lawyers, the world would probably be a better

by NEIL BAILEY



place. The same is true of public relations consultants, telemarketers, brand managers, and countless administrative specialists who are paid to sit around, answer phones, and pretend to be useful.

Antidepressant usage has risen markedly in the past decade all over Europe.

In a country like Belgium with a population of 11 million, 300 million doses of antidepressants were dispensed in one year.

According to Professor Mattias Desmet, for mass formation (group psychosis) to emerge there should be free-floating anxiety and free-flowing psychological discontent connected to anxiety.

He says four basic conditions have to be met:

1. Social isolation where the bond between the individual and other people has deteriorated.
2. A lack of sense-making in life.
3. A lot of free-flowing anxiety in individuals unable to connect their anxiety to any form of representation.
4. Lots of frustration and anger.

Under such conditions a story is presented through the mass media indicating an object or focus and presenting a strategy for dealing with this object of anxiety which makes people start to connect to it.

Ninety-five per cent of the entire global media is now controlled and run by just six U.S. companies which themselves are controlled by one entity.

Whatever story appears in *The London Times* appears in the *Jakarta Post*, the *Singapore Strait*, the *Johannesburg Star*, *LA Times*, *Bangkok Post*, *Le Monde*, *Moscow Times* etc. Investigative journalism is dead.

It could have been the Jews in Nazi Germany, the aristocracy in the Soviet Union - or a virus, including those people who do not want to go along with the strategy and who get called out as 'anti-vaxxers'. This is how they connect and control their anxiety.

Altogether they can don their

Superman outfits and participate in a heroic battle to save mankind, and the social bond is restored, which is so strong because it exists in a mass or crowd. They go from a negative mental state to a positive state and experience a mental intoxication - a type of hypnosis.

They long for a solidarity, and people not joining the battle are blamed for showing a lack of citizenship. Their attention is focused on the narrative which means that they are not able to consider anything that goes against the official story.

Subconsciously, they know that social distancing, washing hands, the stand-up-sit down rule in pubs and mask-wearing in certain shops are nonsense, but they have made a conscious decision to override their subconscious to comply. They are having a battle inside their own head.

Cognitively and emotionally, they are no longer aware of what happens outside of their small field of attention and outside of the covid narrative. They prefer dogma rather than debate and yet good scientific facts come from years of robust debate.

When I set up a stall once a month to help dispense *The Light* papers, not one person has come forward with any rational argument to counter anything I say.

It is all shouting, profanity, metaphorical middle finger waving and a quick exit from people you would otherwise consider middle class and educated.

One person I did engage with for 20 minutes was an epidemiologist who enjoyed dispensing his obvious knowledge on the benefits of the mRNA 'vaccines'.

When I asked him who he worked for, he was tight-lipped and did not answer simply because he knew that I knew Bill Gates was paying his salary.

When he walked off, he told me that he was now a vicar before he saw the smirk on my face. The Church of England is worth around £15 billion in England alone and well-connected within the established circles. They encourage their congregations to 'get the jab'.

Another, slightly different example are street evangelists who have an unwavering belief in a biblical figure and inform us all (through amplification) that we are all damned into the hellfire unless we repent.

They steadfastly believe that they have connected to a higher power and will not consider rational argument or debate. A belief can be the enemy of knowledge.

In Gustave Le Bon's 1895 book *Psychology Of Crowds* he noted that 'intelligent people are as intelligent as people who are unintelligent who

lose all capacity to think critically and rationalise'.

When they are in this state of mass formation (hypnosis) they do not notice that they can lose all things that are important to them - wealth, health, connection to parents, children, siblings, friends etc.

In the 19th century, Le Bon warned that the masses are able to take over the power in society which could give rise to a new type of state - totalitarianism as opposed to a dictatorship.

In a dictatorship, people are just scared of a very small group of powerful people who can impose a unilateral social contract upon them. In a totalitarian state, events start differently, in a mass formation, and after years or decades the regime can use this growing narrative to impose power over the people.

The mainstream media are crucial in continuing this process of mass hypnosis. If a certain narrative is pumped out and repeated over and over, the mass formation becomes deeper and stronger when people are disconnected and socially isolated. Being disengaged from nature also emphasises their sensitivity.

Why does not everybody form part of the hypnotic crowd and buy into the covid narrative? The biological and epidemiological discourse treats people very much like biological beings rather than human beings.

The major ideology that is playing out in this crisis is transhumanism and if you look at it deeper from a human perspective, millions of people do not want to accept this.

Crowd formation makes those people extremely intolerant of alternative, dissonant voices and if someone speaks up, he or she threatens to wake others up including themselves and the formation process can be halted.

This is why they act aggressively when someone tries to confront them to explain how the corporate media is wrong. If they allow themselves to begin to understand that there is something more than the virus, there is a good chance that they may wake up and an equally good chance that they just would not be able to cope.

They have invested their trust and faith in the government and politicians who they previously have called liars and charlatans all their lives - Stockholm Syndrome!

People have this mental representation of a deadly pathogen with vaccines as the cure. The free-flowing anxiety connects this train of thought and in order to disconnect the two, you have to turn up the heat and create even more anxiety.

As long as they focus on the virus

they will never be able to engage in critical thinking, most of which has been discouraged and eradicated in the schools and universities anyway.

It is imperative that people continue to speak out when they can - shops, families, work etc. - but of course it is difficult if you want to maintain relationships and careers.

From a psychological perspective, someone is seized by the resonance of the voice while they are under the influence, which is why totalitarian states start the day with a certain amount of propaganda.

The contrary is also true and the hypnosis will become less deep if there is a contrary voice in the public arena, which is why talk radio stations cut people off immediately if they start to dismiss the official narrative.

If people who think differently continue to speak out, it will help ensure that the hypnosis does not get any deeper.

Around 1930, the opposition to the Soviet Union stopped completely and inside five years Stalin murdered millions.

By 1938, it was somewhere around 20 million, not including combatants, and 50 percent of his supporters were liquidated. Stalin's extremely brutal, 30-year rule as absolute ruler of the Soviet Union featured so many atrocities, including purges, expulsions, forced displacements, imprisonment in labour camps, manufactured famines, torture and good old-fashioned acts of mass murder and massacres (not to mention World War II), that the complete toll of bloodshed will likely never be known.

In Nazi Germany, it was 1935 when people threw in the towel and gave up.

Hannah Arendt's *The Origins Of Totalitarianism* described how in the beginning the original narrative was logical and rationally structured but as mass formation gathered momentum, the narrative became more absurd, illogical and irrational.

Both covid and the climate change rhetoric use pseudo-scientific claims to appear scientific because it actually does not matter what is real or fake to all those on board.

Even if the story is ludicrous or the requests they are being asked to fulfil are farcical, it leads into this social bond which leads to this mental intoxication, and that in turn leads into crowd formation.

We are all one bullet away from utopia.

Covid for beginners

We may have a long wait for the truth

THE so-called Omicron variant has exposed fundamental differences between covid-19 sceptics.

There are basically three stances: first, that covid does not exist, therefore variants are void; second, that covid is real but grossly exaggerated, with hyped but actually mild variants; and third, that virulent new strains have been facilitated by mass vaccination.

Common to our church is a belief that covid-19, whatever its veracity, has been exploited for purposes beyond public health.

This is a political pandemic, as demonstrated by blatant censorship, brutal policing of freedom protests, and scapegoating of anyone who refuses to follow the official narrative.

Eschewing social pariah status, some well-known sceptics have distanced themselves from perceived extremes by emphasising 'I am not an anti-vaxxer' or 'covid-denier'.

However, an insistent minority continue to assert that covid-19 is an elaborate hoax. Among them are American physician Tom Cowan, psychiatrist Andrew Kaufman, New Zealand general practitioner Sam Bailey, Irish microbiologist Dolores Cahill, commentator Patrick Henningsen of UK Column News, contrarian website OffGuardian, and self-styled conspiracy theorists Jeff Berwick (Dollar Vigilante) and David Icke.

I have tended to believe that covid-19 was a deliberate release from the Wuhan Institute of Virology: a mild virus detectable by swab test, as an accessory to the goals of the UN Agenda 21 and the World Economic Forum's 'Great Reset'.

Have I been duped? Arguably, both the lab-leak story and new variant scares have sucked critics into accepting that the virus exists. Outright covid denial seems far-fetched, so does it stand up to scrutiny?

Question 1: The overwhelming majority of doctors, including those critical of lockdown, accept that covid is real. Surely they cannot all be wrong?

Sadly, the medical profession has been docile on the covid narrative. Departing from the Hippocratic Oath, doctors are following orders from the state and Big Pharma rather than exercising the patient-centred judgment for which they are trusted. Healthcare practitioners have been warned by employers and professional bodies against dissent; indeed, the courageous

by NIALL McCRAE

Departing from the Hippocratic Oath, doctors are following orders from the state and Big Pharma rather than exercising the patient-centred judgment for which they are trusted.

few who raise their heads above the parapet have been sanctioned. Consequently, policies such as mask mandates are not challenged by doctors who should know that this is not evidence-based practice.

Question 2: Covid has affected the whole world similarly, so surely the pandemic is real?

Although mortality in the pandemic appears fairly uniform, with first waves



followed by subsequent peaks and troughs in most countries, there are outliers. Countries with leaders who rejected the global covid regime, such as Tanzania and Belarus, have remarkably low rates.

Most relevant here is China. How can the original source of the virus, a country of 1.3 billion, have escaped so lightly? While Europe and the Americas have recorded mortality rates of about two per 1,000, China's is 0.003. Despite living cheek-by-jowl in vast cities shrouded



by smog in the autumn and winter, conditions ripe for a respiratory virus, nobody has died of covid in China for months. Why is this not queried?

Question 3: How do you explain the surge in deaths in spring 2020?

Whereas reports from communist China may be suspect, arrival of the virus in Italy made the situation more transparent. A startling fact was the average age of covid death: 81 years. Tens of thousands of older people die of flu and pneumonia annually, and as the symptoms of covid are similar, perhaps these deaths were simply a reclassification - particularly as co-morbid conditions were trumped on death certificates by a positive PCR result (a very dubious method of screening).

In the UK, overall mortality rose by more than 10% over the year 2020. However, the graphic spike of March to May may not have been caused by covid, but by lockdown: hospitals and GPs became inaccessible, people were afraid to seek help, and there was a massive shift from deaths in hospital to home.

Government policy evicted sick older patients from wards into care homes, where a high proportion died soon after transfer. 'Do not resuscitate' labels were widely applied without consent. Health Secretary Matt Hancock ordered huge supplies of Midazolam, a drug used in terminal care that suppresses breathing. In intensive care units patients were put

on ventilators, with only a lucky few surviving this mechanical ordeal.

The authorities needed to show a sharp increase in deaths to prove the lethality of covid and justify lockdown. Freedom of information requests to councils around England, however, consistently showed no increase in burials and cremations.

Corroborating this, funeral director John O'Looney said that business was relatively quiet in 2020, but greatly increased after the vaccine rollout last year. If we accept the ONS data, age-standardised mortality for 2020 was merely the ninth highest in this century.

Question 4: Does Omicron not expose the impotence of the covid regime?

Vaccine expert Geert Vanden Bossche warned that mass vaccination at the height of the pandemic was a catastrophic error because it would cause the virus to develop resistant and lethal strains.

In almost every country, vaccine rollout was followed by a sharp increase in deaths, probably due to a temporary weakening of the immune system. This was most apparent in India, where vaccinated people became more vulnerable to the plethora of germs in overpopulated cities. Perhaps the increase in cases is caused not by variants of covid but by more virile strains of related viruses.

Question 5: I have had covid, and it

knocked me out. How can you deny that?

This is a common assertion made by many sceptics. We are into the third flu season since covid began, so inevitably we will suffer from a bad cold or flu in that time. Loss of taste and smell was described as a special sign of covid, despite this occurring with influenza. We do not like to think that our minds are swayed by propaganda, but if we weren't then there would be no advertising industry.




From the covid denial stance, Omicron is simply another computer code representing a fake virus; the latest tactic to keep citizens in a state of fear, to prolong the pandemic while the globalist cabal pursues its agenda.

I am unsure of the whole hoax thesis though. Some readers may have already taken this leap of imagination; others may think of covid denial as a gift to the opposition. We may have a long wait for the truth.

■ **Dr Niall McCrae is a senior lecturer in mental health at King's College London; Author of books *The Moon and Madness*, *The Story of Nursing in British Mental Hospitals: Echoes from the Corridors*, and *Moralitis: a Cultural Virus* (with Robert Oulds).**

■ **Follow him on GAB: Dr_Niall_McCrae@gab.com**

What we expected:



1950s
1960s
1970s
1980s
1990s
2000s
2010s
2020s

What we got:

THE FUTURE



Fauci emails reveal science is a matter of opinion

FOI request shows a concerted effort to rubbish the Great Barrington Declaration

FROM October 2-4, 2020, the American Institute for Economic Research (AIER) hosted a small conference for scientists to discuss the Covid-19 lockdowns.

Just four days later, Dr Francis Collins, the retiring director of the National Institutes of Health (NIH), would call the three of the scientists in attendance “fringe epidemiologists” in a directive he sent to Anthony Fauci and other senior staff of his agency.

They were “fringe epidemiologists” because they had the temerity to ask whether the lockdowns of 2020 were effective.

Those three, Martin Kulldorff of Harvard, Sunetra Gupta of Oxford, and Jay Bhattacharya of Stanford were simply doing what any good scientist would do: they were following the evidence.

As they parted company at AIER they wrote the Great Barrington Declaration [GBD] which opposed the use of lockdowns, posting it for all to see.

So why was Dr Collins so intent on impugning these three scientists?

It is hard to know exactly, mostly because any scientist worth his salt should have been happy to see further research being done. That is, after all, how ignorance is replaced by knowledge.

However, Collins was clearly in no mood to replace his own possible ignorance with any kind of knowledge. He was pretty sure he knew all he had to know; and this is one of the most dangerous positions a scientist can take.

In an email obtained by AIER through a Freedom of Information Act request, Collins told Anthony Fauci, copying in (CC) Lawrence Tabak, deputy ethics counsellor at NIH, that he wanted “a quick and devastating published take down (sic)” of the Great Barrington Declaration’s premises.

One wonders why he would CC the deputy ethics counsellor on this,

by **PHILLIP W MAGNESS**

given the trouble these people seem to have with ethics, but here they were in October of 2020. Fauci wrote that same night to let Collins know that there was already a devastating take down of the Great Barrington Declaration... in that august scientific publication *Wired*.

“Francis,” Fauci wrote, “I am pasting in below a piece from *Wired* that debunks [the GBD].” There, science reporter Matt Reynolds told us there was no “scientific divide” over herd immunity, but that is not the funny part. The funny part came when Reynolds declared quite confidently that we no longer had anything to worry about, as lockdowns were, as of October 2020, a thing of the past.

“The problem [with the GBD] is that we aren’t in lockdown,” Reynolds explained. “It’s hard to find people who are advocating for a return to the lockdown we saw in March. When the Great Barrington Declaration authors declare their opposition to lockdowns, they are quite literally arguing with the past.”

This Fauci-endorsed passage may be one of the worst takes of the entire pandemic. Less than a month later, lockdowns came roaring back with a vengeance.

Fauci wrote to Collins again the next day, this time referencing a breathless opinion and editorial (op-ed) by Gregg Gonsalves, a public health professor at Yale, in *The Nation*. And here we arrive at yet another funny part. Gonsalves’ article was not exactly a critique of the Great Barrington Declaration.

Instead, Gonsalves went after Martin Kulldorff, who in an interview with the leftist magazine *Jacobin* quite reasonably pointed out that the lockdowns hurt the poor more than most talking heads were willing to admit. Gonsalves’s grievance was that by interviewing Kulldorff, *Jacobin* had broken the lockdown “solidarity” of other far-left websites including *The Nation* and the *Boston Review*.

By October 10, the lines were well drawn, and Fauci thrust himself into the middle of the media hootenanny that was clearly emerging.

Collins emailed again to boast about calling the three scientists “fringe” in the *Washington Post*, although he told Fauci that their



ongoing campaign to take down the GBD “will not be appreciated in the White House”. The White House, Fauci retorted, was “too busy with other things to worry about” the GBD. There was an election to deal with, after all.

As the bedfellows became more strange, Gregg Gonsalves wrote directly to Collins, thanking him for his undiplomatic approach. For his part, Gonsalves became ever more hostile and profane, in his remarks on the GBD. “This f*****g Great Barrington Declaration is like a bad rash that won’t go away,” Gonsalves tweeted, shortly before reaching out to Collins.

A day earlier, the Yale professor also began promoting unhinged conspiracy theories about the GBD and AIER that traced to the blog of a former ‘9/11 Truther’ activist.

Some of the emails between Collins and Fauci, sent in response to AIER’s FOIA request, have been redacted, but

surrounding context makes it pretty clear that they were looking for a way to impugn the GBD further if it came up at the White House Covid Task Force meeting on October 16.

That morning, Fauci emailed Deborah Birx, the White House coronavirus response coordinator. He pressed the need for her to oppose the GBD, and set the stage for an attack on Scott Atlas, who was the most friendly champion of the GBD on the task force.

Fauci, it turns out, had to miss the October 16 task force meeting, though he likely breathed a sigh of relief when Collins emailed him two days later. “Atlas did not take part in the [task force] meeting on Friday,” Collins wrote, “and the Great Barrington Declaration did not come up.”

Another partially-redacted email hints that Fauci celebrated this outcome. Atlas’s opposition to the lockdown faction on the task force “is driving Deb [Birx]

crazy,” he continued.

Fauci and Collins were not done, though, in their campaign to “take down” the GBD scientists.

Our story picks up again in earnest on November 2, when Fauci’s chief of staff, Greg Folkers, replied to an email that was not made public in pursuance to AIER’s FOIA request.

It seems pretty clear, though, that Fauci asked Folkers for a list of sources that would allow him to argue effectively against the GBD. The email’s subject line references a previous correspondence from Fauci, “as discussed”, noting that Folkers had “highlighted the three I found most useful” (sic).

Multiple sources, and particularly Scott Atlas’s recently published account of his time on the task force, have noted that Fauci often relies on aides to curate lists of sources in advance of his many media appearances.

He seldom reads the scientific literature on covid-19 himself, and instead arrives at meetings with staff-prepared talking points. It appears that Folkers’ email was an answer to one such request for talking points to attack the GBD scientists.

Note that Fauci frequently portrays himself as a staunch defender of science who stays above the political divide and remains outside of partisan debates.

In light of that, you might expect that Folkers’ response to Fauci’s request would yield a small sample of scientific analysis on the logic behind lockdowns, even if only in a format bullet pointed by his staff. But you would be wrong. Folkers sent Fauci a list of seven political op-eds and articles opposing the GBD from popular media outlets.

So yeah. Science.

Story published with permission from the American Institute for Economic Research.

■ **Phillip W. Magness is Senior Research Faculty and Interim Research and Education Director at the American Institute for Economic Research. He holds a PhD and MPP from George Mason University’s School of Public Policy, and a BA from the University of St. Thomas (Houston).**

■ www.aier.com

Japan labels covid shots with myocarditis warnings: informed consent required

JAPAN announced last month that public and private sectors can not discriminate against those who refuse the experimental mRNA gene therapy injections.

Japan is now labelling covid 'vaccines' to warn of dangerous and potentially deadly side effects such as myocarditis. In addition, the country is reaffirming its commitment to adverse event reporting requirements to ensure all possible side effects are documented.

These efforts from Japan's health authority are in stark contrast to the deceptive measures taken by other countries to coerce citizens into taking the injection, downplaying side effects and discouraging proper adverse event reporting.

Additionally, Japan is emphasising informed consent and bodily autonomy. Until the coronavirus pandemic, the concept of 'informed consent' was considered sacred to healthcare professionals in the West.

Japan is particularly raising concerns about the risks of myocarditis in young men injected with either Pfizer's or Moderna's 'gene-therapy' treatment. The country is enforcing a strict legal reporting requirement of side effects that must take place within 28 days of the injections.

Three covid-19 'gene-therapy' treatments are offered in Japan.

by **AMY MEK**

They include the Pfizer/BioNTech (Comirnaty) and Takeda's Moderna formulation. The product descriptions state that "this product contains an additive that has never been used in a vaccine before".

Furthermore, the pharmaceutical companies are urging people to consult their doctors about the additive if they plan to be injected with it.

In addition to the Pfizer and Moderna gene-therapies, Vaxzevria (formerly AstraZeneca) is also administered in Japan. However, Japan only recommends it to people aged 40 and over. Reference is also made to the new type of additive in the Vaxzevria injections.

Japan's Ministry of Health website encourages citizens to receive the 'vaccine'; however, they stress it is not mandatory:

"Although we encourage all citizens to receive the covid-19 vaccination, it is not compulsory or mandatory. Vaccination will be given only with the consent of the person to be vaccinated after the information provided".

In addition, the government recommends those who are considering taking the shot carefully consider both its effectiveness and side effects:

"Please get vaccinated of your own decision, understanding both

the effectiveness in preventing infectious diseases and the risk of side effects. No vaccination will be given without consent".

Furthermore, they stress that businesses should not force employees to receive the experimental gene therapy. Nor should employees discriminate against those who refuse the injections.

The government even links to Human Rights Advice, including instructions for handling any complaints if individuals face 'vaccine' discrimination at work.

Doctors worldwide have echoed Japan's health authority warnings about the gene-therapy's side effects. However, this kind of proper informed consent has cost many doctors in Western nations their licenses to practice medicine. The government has accused these doctors of spreading 'vaccine hesitancy'.

Furthermore, while Japan allows its citizens to choose whether to be injected with the experimental gene-therapies, other countries are forcing citizens to receive the jab. For example, in February 2022, Austria will mandate the injections. Citizens who refuse will face heavy fines and up to one year in prison.

■ <https://rairfoundation.com/alert-japan-places-myocarditis-warning-on-vaccines-requires-informed-consent/>

CCTV to identify maskless university students in Ireland

ONE of Ireland's top universities is planning to spy on students who breach covid guidelines.

The National University of Ireland, Galway (NUIG) is now encouraging staff to approach maskless students and insist they wear a mask. Failure to comply with the coercive directive may result in disciplinary action.

Management at the University have issued threats to brave mask-free students, and said that they will also hunt them down by using CCTV.

John Gill, NUIG's chief

by **PAUL BENNETT**

maskless students, providing details such as the date, time and location seen, so that the individuals can be identified via CCTV footage.

This is not the first time that NUIG have shown their bullish and discriminatory side towards free-thinking students during covid. In January of last year, 48 students who breached covid guidelines on university campuses were required, as punishment, to write a reflective 2,000-word essay about their 'inexcusable' actions.

The unlucky students were also




Photo: Danny Lines

operating officer, shamefully justified the bullying and scaremongering tactics by stating: "Our experience has been if people are challenged, if somebody asks them to replace their face covering, they do so."

If you find that you get a response that is not what you'd expect, or people don't return to wearing a face covering, please report it."

Snitch culture within the university is welcomed by Mr Gill. He added: "If we have CCTV coverage, then we can pick up on the individuals and approach them directly, or if you know the identity of the individual, let us know and we can act on that."

Staff are requested to report

required/forced to watch a state-televised documentary.

Professor Michelle Millar, Dean of Students at NUIG, patronised the students by saying: "We all make mistakes in life - the key is what have we learned from that."

To add further insult and public humiliation for the students, extracts and quotes from their essays were displayed on a wall at the University.

That fee-paying students are to be penalised for breaking absurd rules and punished with an adult version of the 'naughty step' is another grotesque example that politically correct, left-leaning ideological universities are not to be trusted with our children's welfare.

**ORDER THE IRISH LIGHT
FOR FAMILY AND FRIENDS AT
WWW.IRISHLIGHTPAPER.COM**



Deaths and hospitalisations cut in half after Brazilian city offers Ivermectin to everyone

EARLY on in the pandemic, before the vaccines were available the southern Brazilian city of Itajai offered Ivermectin as a prophylaxis against the disease.

Between July and December of 2020, roughly 220,000 people were offered a dose of 0.2mg/kg/day (roughly 18mg for a 200lb person) as an optional treatment for two days, once every two weeks.

133,051 people took them up on it, while 87,466 did not.

After analysing the data, a team of researchers spanning several Brazilian institutes, the University of Toronto, and Columbia's EAFIT concluded in a December pre-print study that hospitalisation and mortality rates were cut in half over the seven month period among the Ivermectin group.

The authors adjusted for relevant confounding variables, including age, sex, medical history, previous diseases and other conditions.

The analysis contradicts an October report by Business Insider which claims, based on a Brazilian ICU doctor's anecdotal evidence, that the experiment was a failure.

The authors note, "Being a retrospective observational analysis, it is uncertain whether results would be reproducible in a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind clinical trial, but likely, since groups of Ivermectin users and non-users had similar demographic characteristics, and rates were adjusted for the relevant confounding variables".

We're sure the 'fact checkers' are already hard at work trying to debunk the pre-print, however they may also want to take a look at ivmmeta.com - a real-time meta analysis of 70 studies which found that Ivermectin works as a prophylaxis 83% of the time. In peer-reviewed studies, it was found effective 70% of the time as an early treatment, and 39% of the time as a late treatment.

As we noted during the whole 'horse paste' controversy:

Ivermectin

This widely prescribed

by TYLER DURDEN

antiparasitic which is also used in horses has shown meaningful efficacy worldwide in the treatment of mild and moderate cases of covid-19, plus as a prophylactic. India's Uttar Pradesh province, with a population of more than 200 million, says that widespread early use of Ivermectin 'helped keep positivity and deaths low'.

This is even more impressive when you learn the IVM users were older on average, with 30% >50 years old versus 20% for non-IVM users. The mortality reduction is even higher looking at different age groups. 85% for 31-49 years old and 59% for >50 years old.

Separately, there have been several studies funded by the Indian government, primarily conducted through their largest government public medical university (AIIMS).

- Role of Ivermectin in the prevention of SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare workers in India: A matched case-control study.

Conclusion: Two-dose Ivermectin prophylaxis at a dose of 300 µg/kg with a gap of 72 hours was associated with a 73% reduction of SARS-CoV-2 infection among healthcare workers for the following month.

- Ivermectin as a potential treatment for mild to moderate covid-19 - a double blind randomised placebo-controlled trial.

Conclusion: There was no difference in the primary outcome i.e., negative RT-PCR status on

	Overall	Ivermectin users	Non-IVM users	Relative risk ratio (95%CI) p-value
Overall population (n)	220,517	133,051 (60.3%)	87,466 (39.7%)	
COVID-19 infection				
Infected population (n)	7,345	4,311 (58.7%)	3,034 (41.3%)	
Infection rate (%)	3.3%	3.2%	3.5%	0.93 (0.89-0.98) p = 0.003
COVID-19 hospitalization				
Hospitalization due to COVID-19	232	105	127	
Hospitalization rate (in case of COVID-19) (%)	3.16%	2.43%	4.18%	0.58 (0.45-0.75) p<0.0001
Risk of hospitalization due to COVID-19	0.11%	0.08%	0.15%	0.54 (0.42-0.70) p<0.0001
COVID-19 death				
COVID-19 deaths (n)	141	62	79	
Risk of dying from COVID-19 (%)	0.06%	0.05%	0.09%	0.52 (0.37-0.72) p = 0.0001
Mortality rate (among infected subjects) (%)	1.9%	1.4%	2.6%	0.55 (0.40-0.77) p = 0.0004

CI = Confidence interval;

Variable	Ivermectin users (n = 4311)				Non-Ivermectin users (n = 3034)				Users versus non-users COVID-19 Death risk ratio comparing Ivermectin users versus non-users
	N	Death among Ivermectin users (%)	Risk ratio (95%CI) and unadjusted p-value	Adjusted p-value	N	Death among non-Ivermectin users (%)	Risk ratio (95%CI) and unadjusted p-value	Adjusted p-value	
Age									
< 30 y/o	886	0 (0.0%)	-	<0.0001	844	1 (0.1%)	-	<0.0001	0.32 (0.01 - 7.78) p = 0.48
31-49 y/o	2119	2 (0.1%)			1572	10 (0.6%)			0.15 (0.03 - 0.68) p = 0.014
> 50 y/o	1304	60 (4.6%)			608	68 (11.2%)			0.41 (0.30 - 0.57) p < 0.0001
Sex									
Female	2359	26 (1.1%)	p = 0.044	0.14	1624	36 (2.2%)	p = 0.15	0.012	0.50 (0.30 - 0.82) p = 0.006
Male	1952	36 (1.8%)			1410	43 (3.1%)			0.60 (0.39 - 0.94) p = 0.024
Race									
Caucasians	3245	48 (1.5%)	-	0.079	2192	62 (2.8%)	-	0.74	0.52 (0.36 - 0.76) p = 0.0007
Afro-Brazilians	109	3 (2.7%)			100	4 (4.0%)			0.69 (0.16 - 3.00) p = 0.62
Mixed	901	10 (1.1%)			682	12 (1.8%)			0.63 (0.27 - 1.45) p = 0.28
Asian-Brazilians	56	1 (1.8%)			60	1 (1.7%)			1.07 (0.07 - 16.7) p = 0.96

day 6 of admission with the use of Ivermectin. However, a significantly higher proportion of patients were discharged alive from the hospital when they received Ivermectin.

- Clinical Research Report: Ivermectin in combination with Doxycycline for treating covid-19 symptoms: a randomized trial.

Discussion: In the present study, patients with mild or moderate covid-19 infection treated with Ivermectin in combination with Doxycycline generally recovered two days earlier than those treated with placebo. The proportion of patients responding within seven days of treatment was significantly higher in the treatment group than in the placebo group. The proportions of patients who remained symptomatic after 12 days of illness and who experienced disease progression were significantly lower in the treatment

group than in the placebo group.

Here are more human studies from other countries on the 'horse dewormer':

Peru:

- Sharp Reductions in Covid-19 Case Fatalities and Excess Deaths in Peru in Close Time Conjunction, State by State, with Ivermectin Treatments.

For the 24 states with early IVM treatment (and Lima), excess deaths dropped 59% (25%) at +30 days and 75% (25%) at +45 days after day of peak deaths. Case fatalities likewise dropped sharply in all states but Lima.

Spain:

- The effect of early treatment with Ivermectin on viral load, symptoms and humoral response in patients with non-severe covid-19: A pilot, double-blind,

placebo-controlled, randomised clinical trial.

Findings: Patients in the Ivermectin group recovered earlier from hyposmia/anosmia (76 vs 158 patient-days; p < 0.001).

Bangladesh:

- A Comparative Study on Ivermectin-Doxycycline and Hydroxychloroquine-Azithromycin Therapy on Covid-19 Patients.

Conclusion: According to our study, the Ivermectin-Doxycycline combination therapy has better symptomatic relief, shortened recovery duration, fewer adverse effects, and superior patient compliance compared to the Hydroxychloroquine-Azithromycin combination. Based on this study's outcomes, the Ivermectin-Doxycycline combination is a superior choice for treating patients with mild to moderate covid-19 disease.

- A five day course of Ivermectin for the treatment of covid-19 may reduce the duration of illness.

Discussion: A five day course of Ivermectin resulted in an earlier clearance of the virus compared to placebo (p = 0.005), thus indicating that early intervention with this agent may limit viral replication within the host. In the five day Ivermectin group, there was a significant drop in CRP and LDH by day 7, which are indicators of disease severity.

Why does Ivermectin, a 'horse dewormer' work? For starters, it's a protease inhibitor. Interestingly, Pfizer's 2x/day covid-19 prophylactic they're trialling right now is also a protease inhibitor.

Perhaps the most damning evidence in favor of Ivermectin is the medical establishment position that it's essentially snake oil, despite the fact that it's had a glowing safety profile for decades, until now.

- <https://zerohedge.com>

Bollywood star promotes natural immunity over covid 'vaccines'



Pooja Bedi tells her fans about the importance of personal choice

POPULAR Indian actress, columnist and TV host Pooja Bedi has recently spoken about the importance of a healthy 'body and mind' after contracting covid.

In a Twitter message, she promoted positive self-talk and common sense by saying, "We all have to get the virus at some point given how contagious it is. Immunity is key to recovery. Positive headspace. Happy thoughts. Healthy food".

On her popular Instagram page, the actress posted the following statement:

"COVID POSITIVE!!!! I have finally been diagnosed as covid positive. I chose/choose to stay unvaccinated as it's my personal decision to allow my own natural immunity, alternative healing and wellness practices to

by **PAUL BENNETT**

accelerate my healing".

The outspoken Indian woman also spoke to her fans about the importance of personal choice regarding taking the covid vaccine by stating, "You do what's right for you. Each to their own".

The actress went down the healthy supplement recovery route after getting covid. She said, "Having 17 herbs kadha. It's a phenomenal blend. Fresh fruits, sugarcane juice, coconut water, protein, easy-to-digest food, saltwater gargles, steam inhalation, balm on chest".

Many faux feminists across the world who normally shout from the hilltops about 'my body, my choice' have strangely been quiet regarding bodily autonomy for women and pregnant women who feel pressurised into getting the experimental jab. They could learn a lot from listening to a determined, intelligent woman like Pooja Bedi.

Aussie Rules star retires after refusing covid jab

by **PAUL BENNETT**

MODERN elite sport can be a cut-throat world of excessive fat salaries and a 'win-at-all-costs' mentality. However, one honourable Australian Football League (AFL) player has turned down a new \$450,000 contract and added bonuses for the upcoming season and instead has opted to retire due to his stance on covid mandate policies.

Thirty-year-old Liam Jones, who played for Melbourne based Carlton Blues, announced his retirement in November for personal reasons.

Officials on the AFL website reported: "It is understood Jones's decision to retire is related to the vaccination policy implemented by the AFL in preparation for the 2022 season."

On announcing his retirement, the principled sports star stated: "I want to take this opportunity to thank all who have supported me throughout my journey, both personally and professionally. I love the game and I'll miss my teammates. I hope that people respect my decision and privacy."

The Australian State of Victoria under the tyrannical dictatorship of Daniel (Dan) Andrews required all 'authorised workers' including professional athletes to be fully jabbed before November 26.

AFL football executive Andrew Dillon expected a "small handful of players" to stand down because of the discriminatory policy.

Brisbane Lions captain Dayne



Retired Liam Jones

Zorko expects more retirements in the coming months due to the mandated policy across the country. Speaking about the premature retirement of Liam Jones, he said: "Something tells me that he might not be the last."

Deni Varnhagen, who plays for the Adelaide Crows in the AFLW top tier, is another Aussie Rules player objecting to covid vaccine mandates in the women's league. The premiership player and registered nurse has refused the vaccine and has questioned the AFL's vaccination policy by saying

that "coercion is not consent".

Varnhagen stated: "I've always stood up for what I believe in, and I will continue to do that, no matter how difficult the journey may seem, there will be light and joy at the end of the road." Due to her stance, she is on an 'inactive roster' list at the Adelaide club.

In a world where true role models are few and far between, Liam Jones and Deni Varnhagen are shining lights in a country that is surrounded by darkness.



Men's & Women's T-Shirts & Hoodies

in any colour you like, as long as it's black or white.

www.thelightpaperwear.co.uk



Vaccines have never been safe or effective

ONE of the many unfortunate side effects of the attention which has been given to the covid-19 jabs is the fact that more traditional vaccinations (including the dozens routinely given to children) have been forgotten and are now largely administered without protest, controversy or a second thought.

There was always a danger that the justifiable outrage and fear engendered by the covid-19 jabs would push the wider issue of traditional vaccination into the background.

The experimental and notably toxic covid-19 jabs have understandably and rightly captured the attention of those who aren't prepared to accept the lies, the deceptions and the manipulations at face value.

But it is important that we don't forget the ever-growing hailstorm of vaccinations, aimed particularly at children, which have seemingly become an integral part of our relationship with health care in general and doctors in particular.

We all know that the establishment and the mainstream media refuse to debate the mRNA jabs.

But it is, I suspect, less widely known that there has for a long time been a blackout on any discussion of the more traditional vaccines or that the reputation of vaccines is built on a toxic mixture of myths, fallacies and plain vanilla lies.

I've been writing about vaccines for more than 50 years, but rather to my surprise, it was 2011 before I wrote a book entirely devoted to vaccines and vaccination.

I wrote the book *Anyone who tells you vaccines are safe and effective is lying. Here's the proof* partly to provide evidence proving that vaccines are often dangerous and don't work but also to destroy the outrageous, manufactured myth that vaccination has extended life expectancy and eradicated diseases such as smallpox and whooping cough.

I'll get back to that theme in a moment, but first I think it is worth using the history of that book to illustrate the extent of the media blackout which exists to protect vaccines and vaccination.

Knowing that none of my usual publishers would touch a book about vaccines, I published it myself.

We sent out 600 review copies, hoping that one or two reviewers might

by **Dr VERNON COLEMAN**
MB ChB DSc

Everywhere you look the evidence is the same: vaccination doesn't work. Moreover, it is not difficult to sustain the argument that it does more harm than good.

be brave enough to consider the book on its merit. However, to the best of my knowledge, not one reviewed it. People I'd known for years wouldn't discuss it. Moreover, quite a number of reviewers returned the book. It is usual practice for reviewers to sell books they don't want to keep on their shelves. But journalists actually spent time and money returning the book!

I knew there were risks in writing a whole book about vaccines. It has always been a topic which has aroused anger within the medical establishment. In the Preface I wrote: "Experience tells me this book will bring me much trouble, a great deal of abuse, a number of threats and considerable professional and personal inconvenience".

But even I was surprised at how quickly I became *persona non grata*. My questioning of official establishment policies had, for a long time, made me unpopular with the establishment. It quickly became apparent that vaccination is a taboo subject and that vaccines are protected from criticism in the way that film stars were protected in the 1930s.

Since its publication there have been concerted efforts to ban the book and have it removed from sale.

No one has ever questioned the science, the statistics or the conclusions in the book. They were, however, upset by my criticism of "the establishment's unquestioned enthusiasm for a practice which is of such doubtful value and which offers such potential for disaster", my warning that vaccination is "a massive confidence trick" and my prediction (in 2011 remember) that "vaccination will become compulsory in the West".

It seems that the mainstream media

has devoted itself to promoting vaccines and never allowing any aspect of vaccination to be questioned. The BBC actually has a policy of excluding all vaccine criticism from its programmes. Merely questioning the validity of vaccination draws a torrent of abuse down upon the questioner's head.

The inevitable result is that vaccination programmes continue pretty well unhindered and millions of children are now regularly jabbed with products which have never been properly tested or evaluated either for safety or efficacy.

The most potent claim for vaccines is, as I've already mentioned, that they have saved millions of lives. (This claim is now being falsely applied to the covid-19 jab which cannot be proven to have saved any lives at all). The fraud is maintained by pointing to average life expectation but this is a false argument for the figures are skewed by the vast number of babies and infants who used to die of disease or malnutrition. Every infant death drags down life expectation dramatically.

All around the world, infants and children are now subjected to a seemingly endless series of assaults on their immune systems. The vaccines used have never been adequately tested to see how they might interact or how they might affect other medications. Very few long-term trials have been done, though the few available confirm my scepticism.

For example, in 2017, the Danish Government and a Danish vaccine maker, funded a study of the DTP vaccine. The WHO and the medical establishment claim that the DTP vaccine saves millions of lives but, after looking at 30 years of data, the scientists concluded that the DTP vaccine was probably killing more children than those who died from diphtheria, pertussis and tetanus prior to the vaccines introduction. The vaccine had ruined the immune systems of children rendering them susceptible to death from pneumonia, leukaemia, bilharzia, malaria and dysentery.

The results of that trial changed nothing. The vaccination continued unhindered.

The vaccines most often described as having changed the world are those for polio, whooping cough and smallpox. But look at the evidence.

With polio the truth is, that as with other infectious diseases, the significance of polio dropped as better sanitation,

better housing, cleaner water and more food were made available in the second half of the 19th century. Look at the evidence and it shows that the number of polio victims went up, not down, as a result of vaccination. In Tennessee, USA (chosen at random) the number of polio victims before vaccination became compulsory was 119. The year after vaccination was introduced the figure rose to 386. In America as a whole the number of deaths from polio had fallen dramatically before the first polio vaccine was introduced but the incidence of polio increased by around 50% after the introduction of mass immunisation.

Of even more significance (which I revealed in my book *Why Animal Experiments Must Stop* in 1991), 17 million people who were given polio vaccines as children in the 1950s and 1960s are now at risk of developing

The BBC actually has a policy of excluding all vaccine criticism from its programmes. Merely questioning the validity of vaccination draws a torrent of abuse down upon the questioner's head.

cancer. This is because the first practical vaccine used monkey kidney tissue - which contains a carcinogenic virus. Moreover, the virus can be passed on to the children of those who were given the contaminated vaccine. Could this explain the ever rising number of people with cancer? We'll never know.

The doctor who first warned of this risk was ignored and her laboratory was closed down. The documents showing who had received the dangerous vaccine were destroyed by the Department of Health in 1987, though it seems likely that millions of doses of the polio vaccine were used despite the risk.

The smallpox story is equally startling.

The myth that smallpox was eradicated through a mass vaccination programme is just that - a myth. Smallpox was eradicated through identifying and isolating patients with

the disease. One of the worst smallpox epidemics of all time took place in England between 1870 and 1872 - nearly two decades after compulsory vaccination had been introduced. The people of Leicester refused the vaccine and there was only one death. In contrast there were massive numbers of deaths in towns where people had been vaccinated.

German doctors are taught that it was the Reich Vaccination Law (making vaccination compulsory) which halted smallpox in their country. But the incidence of smallpox had dropped before the law came into action. Once again, a legally enforced national vaccination programme did not eradicate the disease.

Look at history and it is clear that the number of cases of smallpox has gone up each time there has been a mass vaccination programme.

It's worth remembering too that Dr Jenner, a hero for pro-vaccine folk, refused to have his second child vaccinated after he'd tried his smallpox vaccination on his own son. Tragically, the boy remained mentally retarded until his death at the age of 21.

Everywhere you look the evidence is the same: vaccination doesn't work. Moreover, it is not difficult to sustain the argument that it does more harm than good.

Sadly, the medical establishment and the media have for years conspired to suppress the truth and to demonise the truth tellers.

The suppression of the truth about the covid-19 jab is nothing new.

■ Vernon Coleman's book about vaccines is called: *Anyone Who Tells You Vaccines Are Safe and Effective is Lying: Here's the Proof.*

**DISTRUST THE
GOVERNMENT
AVOID MASS
MEDIA
FIGHT THE LIES**

www.vernoncoleman.com

Stirring the sleepy bear in Brecon

WITH ever-dwindling numbers, our Brecon 'Stand in the Park' group was about to give up and close down. Then God or the universe, call it what you will, decided to lend a hand.

For many months we maintained a core group of four people who regularly turned up rain or shine. Then less than two months ago, a small group from Merthyr Tydfil SITP joined us.

Quickly they saw our predicament. Regardless of our sporadic leafleting with few resources between us and our commitment to delivering The Light paper to a small number of outlets, the town's citizens are still almost 100% masked. Sleepy does not describe our town. A comatose hibernating bear in December is a more apt description.

That was until Jon from Merthyr got stuck in and created our very own website: <https://www.wakeup-brecon.com> - and what a website it is!

Next he produced 5,000 calling cards/leaflets to promote it. We called it our 'Brecon Blitz Truth with Love Campaign'.

Before we set off from Llanfaes car park at the beginning of our blitz, a lady approached us (unbeknown to us she had already taken a photo of us sorting the leaflets from the boot of my car). She handed us the card we had already placed on her car saying,

"I find this deeply offensive; I am a nurse in a hospital and have seen hundreds of patients die of covid."

I empathised, thanked her and accepted the card saying "bless you" before she walked off.

Our card's heading was 'Imagine covid was one big lie?', inviting people to our website.

We two ladies in our seventies tackled the local independent businesses with info packs, engaging many of the owners in conversation and learning much from them.

The packs were issued free to Darren and me from Unity News (there are some amazing people-funded campaigns all over the country).

Jon and Darren tackled four large housing estates. We ladies managed about 10% of the businesses and two

by **TOWN FREEDOM WARRIOR**

car parks.

By 2:30pm, exhausted, we met for coffee and a debrief, and agreed that, apart from an older lady chasing Jon, waving the card around, shouting "wanker", our initiative had gone well.

Nothing prepared us for what was about to unfold though.

Less than 48 hours later, Darren revealed that photographs of Chrissie and me were all over Facebook with labels like 'anti-vaxxer scum' and worse. Some wonderful, kind people also affiliated us with a group of local troublemakers in town, whose antics led to the Co-op being closed and police being called.

This is the kind of thing that can happen when a fearless group of four break cover from the shadows and present themselves with their full battle armour shining brightly.

OK deep breath, never mind, onwards and upwards.

Some weeks later our website received a contact message from a reporter from the local rag, Brecon and Radnorshire, asking for a statement explaining why we were doing what we were doing.

It said: "I'm writing a story following complaints in Brecon about this website and associated leaflets being put through letterboxes/on car windscreens.

"I was hoping to get a statement from the group on why the group has been set up and what your aims are please?"

"I was also hoping to get a response from the group to claims that the anti-vax movement - including in Brecon - is 'dangerous' and 'mindless' In your view, why shouldn't people rely on information from their GP surgeries and the NHS?"

We have yet to see whether they print their article with our response. One thing's for sure: three activists from Brecon Freedom Warriors, together with Jon from Merthyr SITP, have now impacted our sleeping community. It remains to be seen if it awakens and roars like a disturbed and angry hibernating bear.

■ <https://wakeup-brecon.com>

Speaking out breaks the spell of mass hypnosis

by **DR MATTIAS DESMET**

IT is not easy to realise what has happened to our once intelligent and balanced, even conscious friends, but there is a hope and a solution. To work on the solution, it helps to understand the problem.

Below is a summary from Professor Desmet, a leading psychological expert on tyranny and totalitarianism and how a crowd hypnosis forms.

Parents who are vaccinating their kids so eagerly are stepping into the crowd consciousness called 'mass formation'. This social change happens when there is or has been a period of free floating anxiety, and it is a step on the road to a totalitarian state.

Totalitarianism always starts with a mass formation inside the population. It is not the same as dictatorship.

In a dictatorship people obey out of basic fear of the dictator at the top.

Totalitarianism is the opposite. People are hypnotised into obedience 'for the good of the collective'.

We see this mass formation finding a new solidarity together as a collective. It helps to provide a solution for their anxiety.

The narrative and ideology around the pandemic and vaccine has become 'the object of anxiety', where the free floating fear has found a target, an object. All the people know is their anxiety has been resolved, they've been reassured of a solution and developed a sense of solidarity.

They are changed when this happens. They are not rational anymore and not thinking critically like they once did. This is why we all know once intelligent and compassionate friends, that are now dumbed down and will not listen to any different voices. They are intolerant and even cruel.

In hypnotism, the focus narrows and narrows until the subject cannot



see outside their very limited view of what is real.

The anxiety and lonely isolation many felt before and during the pandemic found their perfect 'object of anxiety' and is solidly locked on to the coronavirus and vaccine narrative. They cannot be tolerant or allow dissent or different voices and do not want to even hear any questioning. If they wake up their 'terrible anxiety' will return.

The leaders of this mass formation will not allow them to wake up either, because if they do and see the true damage and loss, anger will be the result.

This is currently a social crisis, and we do have a part to play. Professor Desmet explains:

Thirty per cent of people are deeply hypnotised.

Forty per cent are not, but go along with the crowd. If this 40 per cent stop hearing dissenting voices of reason, they will unite with the hypnotised to go along with the plan.

We are the last section, the 30 per cent that cannot be hypnotised.

We must keep speaking out.

In totalitarianism when the last voices of dissent give up and become silent - the mass then starts committing atrocities for the

sake of the solidarity and of the collective.

The un hypnotised 30 per cent are a mixed band of different groups and varied religion and politics.

If we don't find common ground to unite we lose.

And without the brave and continued voices of dissent those 40 per cent fall.

Professor Desmet says the hypnotism and mass formation he now sees is a condition clearly leading to totalitarianism.

In a totalitarian state, once-normal people commit atrocities thinking they are righteous.

If we unite the 30 per cent who are awake and we stand together, speaking every day to everyone we meet against the mass formation - against the narrative - the mass formation dissolves and the crisis is ended.

You don't have to say a lot, just little things like: 'None of this makes sense.'

'The figures tell a different story.'

Say it to the cashier, say it at the petrol station, say it to the repair man, say it to a policeman, say it to a nurse.

Go out of your way to say it.

We are feeding an industry that profits from our ill health

NOWADAYS our bodies are overwhelmed with an onslaught of chemicals not only from our outdoor environment, but from everyday indoor products that most of us use without a second thought. Indoor air pollution is many times more toxic than outdoor pollution - but rarely mentioned.

The solution to household pollution is an easy one, but is not publicised or is simply dismissed, because it would dent the profits of those manufacturing toxic products.

The simple removal of harmful indoor chemicals costs you next to nothing and pays you back with improved health, supports you and your loved ones, builds stronger immunity and genuinely helps the environment at the same time.

These chemicals, many of them untested for safety, can combine with others to make a toxic invisible soup and can accumulate, overwhelm and be hard for our bodies to deal with.

Breathing issues such as asthma, or persistent coughs, rashes, runny nose, itchy watery eyes, migraine and headaches have improved dramatically or been resolved completely, simply by avoiding fragranced products and replacing them with less harmful substitutes.

World Health Organisation has put migraine on the list of 10 leading causes of disability, affecting one in seven adults.

A strong immune system is critical for good health and although there are countless dietary and other changes we can make, some of the simplest, quickest and most effective methods are literally right under our nose.

Like Big Pharma, the chemical industry is very lucrative. Over the past 80 years there have been 100,000 synthetic chemicals added into our world.

Unless you are taking conscious steps to avoid these products, you are likely living in a toxic soup of chemically 'fragrant' air.

Fragrance or parfum is not only found in the beautiful aspirational bottles of perfume and aftershave so glamorously marketed, but it also surrounds us invisibly daily, coming from a multitude of surprising places.

We often inhale fragrance from the minute we wake up in our freshly laundered bed sheets, shower in a



by **ANNIE MACDONALD**

multitude of fragranced personal care products, dry ourselves off in our fragranced towels laced with chemical fabric conditioner, lather on another layer of fragranced lotions before jumping into our freshly washed fragranced clothes which we wear next to our skin all day.

A splash of fragranced deodorant, perhaps some cosmetics which can also have fragrance added, perhaps some perfume and some fragranced hairspray or hair gel, then off into a freshly 'cleaned' fragrant car or taxi, complete with the constant release of chemical air freshener (just in case we managed to sneak in a molecule of actual fresh air!)

I think you can see where I'm going with this... a constant steady, discreet drip of fragrance into our everyday lives, so subtle, and in so many everyday items, has been, over time - normalised. We now all pay for the privilege of breathing in this toxic mix unaware of what, and how harmful, the ingredients are.

Is it any wonder our bodies have succumbed to allergies, viruses and chronic illness when even our attempts to detoxify at night are thwarted by our heavily-fragranced bedsheets and nightclothes?

Why are we inhaling and absorbing so many artificial chemicals via so many of our everyday items?

From dishes, cleaning sprays, bin liners to toilet paper, 'air fresheners' baby wipes... the list goes on and on and there seems to be new additions to the list constantly - now even including the pet market.

But what exactly is wrong with fragrance and is it really causing

serious health issues?

Fragrance or parfum sounds comparatively safe on the ingredients list, especially compared to the other long scientific, unpronounceable, names listed. Fragrance sounds familiar and comfortingly reassuring... what clever marketing!

Unfortunately 'fragrances' are not made from the simple, natural ingredients of days gone by, e.g., from rose petals or flowers. Fragrances in household products are usually a mixture of many synthetic chemicals.

These chemicals are untested for safety, some are known to be harmful and thus are only allowed in small restricted amounts and many do not have to be revealed at all and remain secret and undisclosed to the customer 'for proprietary reasons'.

So this accumulative chemical soup we choose to breathe in daily - whilst we sleep, exercise, eat, work and play - is our choice - yes, but with this heavily funded industry, mass marketing and the misleading minimum disclosure - is it truly a fully informed one?

We are lured and manipulated into purchasing these everyday products without considering that they may contain ingredients which could have short- or long-term harmful consequences for our health.

Since some of the fragrance ingredients are not divulged by manufacturers, we aren't able to research the possible negative effects of those ingredients on our health.

Fragrance makers are exempt from telling us what ingredients are in the perfume/parfum/fragrance part of their products. With many of the ingredients not listed or disclosed due to 'proprietary reasons', there is no way to determine what's in their

products and no warnings to alert us to ingredients that we may wish to avoid.

If we don't know exactly what's in our body lotion or air freshener how can we make an informed decision about whether we would like to continue inhaling or absorbing?

A study from EWG 2011

25 scented products including air fresheners were examined and it was found that 24 had chemicals that were classified as toxic or hazardous by US regulations - none were actually individually listed on the label but all came under the word 'fragrance'

Some people sense straight away that certain products are toxic and unhealthy and stop using them.

However, because many do not experience immediate negative health effects, they fail to understand the risk of long-term effects, which can be much worse.

People can continue to use products for years, allowing the toxic chemicals to accumulate in their body tissues, which can then be a contributing causal factor in serious diseases such as cancer and Parkinson's.

Is it any wonder our children are born today with hundreds of toxic chemicals in their umbilical cords and that toxic chemicals have been found in the breast milk of the mothers of newborns?

A study was done by EWG in 2004, testing the cord blood of newborn babies in US hospital for toxicants.

The results came back with an average of 287 chemicals:

- 180 of them known to cause cancer.
- 117 are toxic to the brain and nervous system.
- 208 are known to cause birth defects or - abnormal development.

This is a reflection of the amount of chemicals that you may have in you right now.

Allergies are the norm now - When did it become 'normal' to have huge bags of inhalers at school receptions! Medication often can lower our natural immunity which is the last thing we all need.

If you or anyone in your household has any autoimmune

issues like cancer, asthma, allergies or neurological issues like ADHD, autism, anxiety or depression, it's really worth removing these products even temporarily and assessing the difference - it could be the easiest and most effective move you make.

A study of children in Bristol linked air fresheners to diarrhoea, ear aches and headaches suffered by their mothers.

Many harmful indoor chemicals can exasperate or be the cause of many chronic conditions. The elderly, babies, children, those who are pregnant, and nursing mothers should be particularly careful.

Children today are bombarded with artificial chemicals before their immune system has even been formed. Adults can change this toxic environment for them.

Teens spray on their chemical products with one hand and puff away on steroid inhalers with the other.

Convenience sells, we like it, we buy it, we want to continue to benefit from it, but there's a price - it could contribute to irreversible damage.

Should we continue to feed an industry that profits from our pain?

Fragrance-free products are readily available and many products which accomplish the same goal have no fragrance added at all. Their raw ingredients smell fine and there is no need to mask with 'fragrance'.

The human body can perform miracles in detoxifying itself, but it has to work a lot harder when faced with all the chemical poisons it is subjected to on a daily basis.

Chronic conditions can all be worsened by toxic indoor air pollution. Great thing is, the reverse is also true. Clean indoor air allows the body to detoxify and repair, particularly as we sleep and repair.

Avoidance of 'fragrance' can especially help a host of neurological issues and from autism to dementia, our children, the elderly, babies, those pregnant and those with underlying vulnerable health issues are all particularly sensitive and deserve a fragrance free holiday.

If you only change one of your toxic products, make it your laundry powders and liquids. Your clothes are next to your skin all day, and absorbed by your skin at night - you are literally 'sleeping with the enemy'.

■ www.medicineofthefuture.co.uk

The Masonic inferno

John Waters on the latest staged event to tarnish 'anti-vaxxers'

There was a remarkable incident at the Masonic headquarters on Molesworth Street in Dublin on New Year's Eve: one of those crimes which the Gestapo not only 'solves' on the spot but is in a position to reveal everything about within hours without any expenditure of sweat or shoe leather. No need for witnesses, statements, evidence, or any of that nonsense.

'Man injured in fire at Freemasons' lodge in Dublin,' announced an early RTÉ online report, further confiding that 'The cause of the fire is not yet known but it is understood one line of inquiry is that it may have been started deliberately.'

That sounds like a multiple-choice answer: (a) 'cause unknown', or (b) 'started deliberately'? Write on both sides of the paper.

The reference to the injured man was contained in the first paragraph, but not elaborated on elsewhere in the report, which went on to reveal that a fire had broken out at the Freemason's Grand Lodge at 'around 5.20pm' on Friday, New Year's Eve. Not 'about 5pm', mind you, not '6ish', not even 5.30pm, but 'around 5.20pm', which is pretty precise. That report went on to relay information clearly obtained from Dublin Fire Brigade, including that a 999 call was received by the Eastern Regional Control Centre 'at 5.40pm.' Three fire appliances, a turntable ladder, district officer and ambulance were dispatched to the scene.

The report continued:

'Firefighters using breathing apparatus fought the fire containing the fire damage to one room. The fire was extinguished shortly after 6pm.'

'A source at Dublin Fire Brigade told RTÉ: "The fire fighters did a good job stopping the spread but there was some damage done to the building all the same." (The report was certified as 'updated at 20.27')

The fire station at Eastern Regional Control Centre is located at Townsend Street, so it would have taken the fire crews something between five and ten minutes to mobilise and get to the scene. Let's say they reached the room where the fire was around 5.50pm,



Masonic headquarters, Molesworth St, Dublin

and put it out by 6.10pm. That seems to fit with the idea that the fire was confined to a single room and also suggests that it was not much of a fire, which would correspond to the idea of 'some damage'.

But where, then, does RTÉ's 5.20pm timeline come from? Where does 'parts of the building have been badly damaged in the blaze' come from?

The following day, the Irish Crimes was reporting that 'Anti-vaccination graffiti has been found on the pavement outside the Freemason's Grand Lodge in Dublin.'

The headline on the report was, 'Anti-vaccination graffiti found after suspected arson at Masonic Hall,' with the sub-heading: 'Freemasons say they have been previously targeted by "ridiculous" campaign.'

This report was accompanied by a very poor photograph of the alleged graffiti, taken by the author, the intrepid Ronan McGreevy. The writing appeared to be painted or chalked on to the pavement directly outside the steps of the Freemason's Lodge, but -

being shot from an acute angle - was illegible to the reader. No account of the text of the graffiti was given, other than: 'The graffiti (sic) is understood to be reference (sic) to mRNA, the technology used in some Covid-19 vaccines.' Surely, if the reporter had taken a photograph of the graffiti he should be able to state more precisely what it said? Why not either picture the graffiti directly from above or supply the reader with a precise text? The report also stated that the man allegedly injured in the incident 'fell from the window into the basement' and 'was taken to St James's Hospital with spinal injuries.'

The colour blue also featured in an interview contained within the report with the Grand Secretary of the Grand Lodge of Freemasons of Ireland, Philip Daley, who described the attack as 'very serious' and 'completely out of the blue.'

However, he said there had been previous demonstrations outside the hall and other Masonic halls in Ireland by 'anti-vaccination campaigners.'

"The view is that we created the

virus and we are part of the new world order and we have to be stopped. It's ridiculous stuff,' he said.

Ridiculous is the word. Mr Daley was not quoted on the identity of the personages he was alluding to, but I doubt very much if anyone has picketed the Freemason's Grand Lodge in Dublin claiming that the occupants 'created the virus'. Whether the Freemasons more globally are 'part of the NWO' is a different matter, on which Mr Daley may well be uninformed, or counting on his audience to be. I understand that things are handled within the complex rankings of the Masons on a need-to-know basis.

Then things got confusing. The report stated that: 'Witnesses said a man was seen climbing through a broken window into the front room of the building which contains the lodge's library at about 5.20pm.' But it also stated: 'Buswell's manager Paul Gallagher said one of his staff heard a window break and went outside to see a man go through the window. The staff member rang 999 and the fire

brigade arrived quickly on the scene.' The staff member is not identified in the report.

This is most odd. Presumably, then, the staff member heard glass breaking, went outside, saw a man go in a window on the Lodge, and rang 999 and asked to be put through to the fire brigade? How did he know what the intruder's intention was?

That would mean that the fire brigade was alerted before or around the time the fire was started, not 20 minutes later as the 5.20pm timeline suggests, which would mean that the break-in had not occurred until coming up to 5.40pm, and the fire set off presumably a short time (a minimum of five minutes, one would imagine) afterwards. And, by the way, why did the Buswell's staff member not try to intercept the intruder or frighten him away?

These timelines would suggest that, had the fire crews arrived around 5.50pm as seems approximately the case from other indicators, the fire

Continued on page 24 >>>

<<< Continued from page 23

would by then have been in train for something like ten minutes. It would be less surprising, then, if the fire crews were to have extinguished the blaze ‘shortly after 6pm’, and if the damage could be summarised with the word ‘some’.

Let’s get this straight. Firstly, the Buswell’s staff member saw a man breaking in through a window and immediately conjectured that he intended to set the place on fire and, instead of trying to intercept him, called the emergency services on 999, conveying that someone was about to start a fire at the Freemason’s Lodge. Perhaps the intruder was carrying a large box of matches or a flaming torch? The fire brigade, then - according to the Irish Crimes, at least - was alerted at around the time the fire was started, at the latest. Within 15 minutes or so, the fire was extinguished. Phew! If, on the other hand, the Buswell’s staff member saw the man entering the window of the building at 5.20pm, why did it take him 20 minutes to call the emergency services? What was happening between 5.20pm and 5.40pm, when the phone call was received by the emergency services?

The possibility of clarity is offered by a Twitter thread with photographs in the name of Paul Gallagher, ‘General manager @ buswellshotel Hotel’, at 5:50 PM · Dec 31, 2021 from Dun Laoghaire-Rathdown, Ireland.’

‘Arson attack on the Masonic lodge on Molesworth street just a few moments ago. @IrishTimes @Independent_ie @thejournal_ie’

Interestingly, this tweet is reproduced in the Irish Crimes report, though minus the location and timeline.

It appears that Mr Gallagher was not at the hotel when this incident occurred, and was tweeting photographs sent to him, possibly by the ‘staff member’ who had raised the alarm. All the photographs relate to the fire; there are none of the break-in. Two of the photographs show what might be a Christmas tree on fire in a downstairs room of the Freemasons’ Lodge. Another shows several fire engines arriving at the scene. It is remarkable that these photographs appear to have been posted just ten minutes after the time Mr Gallagher gave to the Irish Crimes as the time his staff member saw someone climbing in a window of the Masonic building. It seems odd that the staff member who had sounded the alarm would immediately start sending photographs of the scene to his boss ten miles away.

At 5.53, Mr Gallagher tweeted: Quick thinking staff at @ BuswellsHotel prevented a massive fire by alerting the gardai and fire brigade within minutes of the fire starting. 5:53 PM · Dec 31, 2021.

These apparent incongruencies within the Irish Crimes report may be accounted for under sloppy reporting, but the whole thing remains odd on many levels.

It is strange - is it not? - that a would-be arsonist, at 5.20pm on New Year’s Eve, in the centre of Dublin, breaks into a building within the eyeline of a 24-hour Gestapo post at the gates of Leinster House - the Irish Crimes says 200m away from



Graffiti outside the Masonic headquarters in Dublin

Leinster House; more like 50 metres away from its gate - and directly across the road from a large and busy hotel. What might have been the arsonist’s plan for exiting the building? Was the suspect interviewed or charged? It is also odd that a would-be arsonist would pause before breaking into a building - by a front window on a busy street - to leave a written account of his motivation on the footpath outside. Remarkable also are the timelines: arsonist spotted even before he sets to work; fire brigade alerted and at the scene within minutes.

What jumps out of this incident is that its handling - leaving aside the confusing and contradictory eyewitness evidence and timelines

- appears to be entirely directly at creating a media story. It’s quite clear, for example, that the ‘evidence’ of the graffiti on the footpath is now entirely inadmissible as such, since its publication has utterly prejudiced the possibility of a fair trial for the accused person - should he ever be brought before a court, which I have reason to doubt.

It goes without saying that this is not the way a serious police force investigates real crimes. The true crime here, I would say, is a much more complex and quite different one than that reported by the Irish Crimes. It is quite obviously yet another a desperate attempt to smear and scapegoat those who continue to stand up to the ‘vaccine’

tyranny, which is killing people at an unprecedented rate.

This was an attempt by the state’s police force to falsely set up innocent citizens as domestic terrorists, so they could be discriminated against and abused with impunity. It cannot even be called ‘political policing’ - it’s actually a criminal misuse of state power in an attempt to demonise those who seek to raise legitimate questions about extremely dangerous medicines being forced upon the public. But it goes to show how frightened the state is that they have to conjure up such incidents.

It is also, in a word, puerility: treating members of the public as if they were total morons, which in fairness not all of them are.

On the editorial policy of The Light

THOSE who still think in terms of left and right miss the whole charade of two-party politics, and the set-up of a great public divide over a very narrow Overton window.

The bankers own all the major parties, all public discourse and all mass messaging and education.

Anything that goes against the mainstream corporate narrative, when hundreds of billions of dollars are at stake, is labelled a ‘conspiracy theory’, in order to shut down debate and curiosity from those who may feel something isn’t quite right with the story they are being told.

In a blatant bit of Orwellian thought control by language manipulation, all ‘conspiracy theories’ are now further automatically labelled ‘far-right conspiracy theories’ or ‘right-wing extremist’ - terms repeatedly used in the corporate media as pejoratives for

by **DARREN SMITH**



those who question the morals and direction of the military-technological-pharmaceutical-government complex, which makes perfect sense when you realise the same group of people own

all of the media too.

Of course they are going to denigrate those who oppose them, covered by the veneer of ‘respectability’, because the message is coming from captured established institutions which the public has been taught to trust from a very young age.

Even this explanation will be seen as ‘right-wing’ by those who have been blinded by repeated manipulation of their perceptions of the world.

Once someone rejects the telescreen news broadcasts, all puzzlingly in exact agreement on every channel, and the corporate press - all in agreement except for the odd piece in a thousand - they free themselves from the continuous propaganda unknowingly being inflicted on them, and can rebuild their worldview in their way from the ground up, using only evidence and reason in determining what they think and how they want to be in the world.

Does that sound like something to be afraid of? To vilify?

The Light paper exists to provide opposition to the only message the public are hearing over and over from every orifice of the corporate machine. Those with genuine critical thinking skills appreciate its existence, and thousands continue to help it get out every month. If it were so hateful or erroneous, that simply would not happen.

If we’re so objectionable, why do so many well-credentialed scientists, doctors, and journalists want to write for us? Why have our numbers grown so rapidly with no benefactors or major donations? People pay to get hundreds and thousands of copies to distribute, why would they do that?

It’s high time those who react to the very idea of a newspaper that dares to question the giant global corporate narratives take a serious look at

themselves, and ask whether they are in fact becoming the very thing they daydream they are opposing: fascist authoritarians that want to quell any other opinion than that prescribed by the Corporation.

They ban books, censor people with the ‘wrong’ opinions, segregate those who won’t comply.

It’s plain as day, but self-deceit in too many keeps the charade going. All it needs is a change of heart, a sunrise of honesty and the desire to live in absolute truth instead of a world of lies. Most are far too psychologically cowardly of course: what if mummy government really doesn’t have their best interests at heart?

One must ask when people stopped relying on themselves so much, or didn’t they ever want to be in charge of their own lives and make their own decisions?

■ <https://thelightpaper.co.uk>

Following the Science?

Allowed to speak out across all major media



Racing car driver

Formula 1 ex-world champion Lewis Hamilton urges his fans to get the 'vaccine', whilst knowing little-to-nothing about its ingredients or effects. Not a doctor or a scientist, we believe.



TV presenter

Piers Morgan, phone-tapper and friend of Jeffrey Epstein, famous for having an opinion on the telly. No experience in virology, immunology or medicine, as far as we know.



Country singer

'I'm begging of you please don't hesitate,' sings country singer and actress Dolly Parton, whose medical, clinical and biological research experience is zero, according to our best knowledge.



Promoting the never-ending injection of the experimental covid-19 'vaccines'

Censored from all broadcast media and Big Tech social media, with very little coverage in the press



Nobel prize winner

Dr Luc Montagnier won the Nobel Prize for Medicine in 2008, has a doctorate in medicine and has more than 20 top awards in the field, including the French National Order of Merit and the Legion d'Honneur.



Retired Chief Scientist

Dr Michael Yeadon is an allergy and respiratory therapeutic area expert with 23 years in the pharmaceutical industry, including as chief science officer for Pfizer. He has a Ph.D in pharmacology.



Father of mRNA tech

Dr Robert Malone is credited as being one of the fathers of mRNA 'vaccines', but even he is speaking out publicly against this vaccination, especially for children. He has both an MD and an MA in biology.



Experts in the field, all against the mass roll-out of experimental covid-19 'vaccines'

Imagine a world...

In just five tweets, British rapper Zuby nails the current madness - segregation, coerced medical experiments, threats, sanctions, lockdowns, censorship and loss of income - the stuff of dystopian fiction made reality



<https://www.zubymusic.com/>

ZUBY: @ZubyMusic
Imagine releasing a product that doesn't properly do what you promised it would do... and then blaming the malfunction on people who did not purchase said product... whilst telling those who bought it that they must buy it again every few months.

ZUBY: @ZubyMusic
Now imagine you also have to buy the product to keep your current job... Perhaps even to go to a concert, gym, or shop. And if you criticise or even question the efficacy of the product or its rollout, you get insulted, slandered, and perhaps deplatformed.

ZUBY: @ZubyMusic
Imagine that if the product hurts you, then you are not allowed to sue the manufacturer... They have full legal immunity. In fact, even if it hurts you, you are told you must buy it again, or you're a bad person. It's actually taboo to even talk about the fact that it hurt you.

ZUBY: @ZubyMusic
Also, imagine the manufacturer of this product had to pay out one of the biggest lawsuits in history, due to dishonesty and malfeasance. And they don't want to give the public full data about the product and research for another 75 years.

ZUBY: @ZubyMusic
Of course, this is all hypothetical. Nobody would consider this a realistic nor reasonable way to handle any product. Merely working on my fiction writing skills for a future dystopian novel, set in a time when humans have gone mad and nothing makes sense.

Anti-vax means pro-science

Jeremy James Poses Hard Questions For Soft Minds

IN the Ireland of my youth, if a person was known to be a bit simple, or given to believing foolish notions, he was said to be “a bit soft in the head.” Well, today most of the population of Ireland have shown themselves to be “a bit soft in the head.”

Their willingness to believe one foolish notion after another, to the detriment of their health and well-being, not to mention the health and well-being of their children, is simply astounding. For those who are not “a bit soft in the head” – a tiny percentage it would seem – this national display of credulity is very painful to watch.

Lest we appear disrespectful we will set out a series of questions which beg for answers very different from the ones offered by our government and duly accepted by our unwary brethren:

1. *Would you inject yourself with a serum which had never been tested on animals?*

The rational answer, of course, is “No way!” The popular answer, however, is “If my life depended on it, I would.” As we all know, only a small number of people ever exhibit the set of symptoms called “Covid” and, of these, 99.7% recover. What is more, the average age of those who die of “Covid” is 82 and most of these have one or more underlying co-morbidities, meaning they would likely have died within a few months anyway.

A report published by the Health Information and Quality Authority on 3 July 2020 confirmed this. In fact, the authors referred to the temporary increase in deaths in March/April 2020 as an epidemic, not a pandemic. So, those who answered, “If my life depended on it...” were frightened by their own shadow.

2. *Would you inject yourself with a serum which had been made using completely new technology and which had never been tested on humans?*

Once again, the rational answer is “No way!” Alas, unnerved by endless scare-mongering in the media, those



who are “a bit soft in the head” sought an easy way to relieve their anxieties and took the shot (again and again).

3. *Would you inject yourself with a serum that inserted gene-altering material into your cells and forced your body to manufacture proteins which your immune system regarded as injurious to your health?*

Anyone with an ounce of sense would laugh at such a question! Sadly, we have to ask it because, as dumb as it looks, most of the adults in Ireland – who understood the question – gave a positive answer. Alas, in the absence of informed consent, a great many had no idea that the so-called “vaccine” worked like this. This meant they had no appreciation of the risk they were taking. Seemingly they trusted their GPs to do the right thing and failed to conduct a due diligence of their own. As the Book of Proverbs says, “A prudent man foresees the evil and

hides himself, but the simple pass on and are punished.”

4. *Would you inject yourself with an experimental serum which had never been proven to have any significant beneficial effects and which lost most of its supposed efficacy within 6-12 months?*

Mmmm. That’s a hard one. No benefits, you say? But the pharmaceutical industry said it would save my life and let me fly to Benidorm. Well, you should have known better. They knew you were “a bit soft in the head” and would believe anything they said, provided it sounded just a teensy bit scientific.

5. *Would you continue to inject yourself with an experimental serum which was causing tens of thousands of deaths and millions of injuries in both Europe and America?*

Wha?! Are you mad. No-one in his right mind would do that. But

remember, we are talking about people who are “a bit soft in the head.” It never occurred to them that “vaccines” can cause serious injuries or that medical databases exist which record these injuries. But we would have heard about this if it was happening – wouldn’t we? Well, only if the media and the government deemed it appropriate to tell you. And they won’t because, quite frankly, in their view you are fair game. They serve a highly profitable industry and get paid handsomely for their efforts. They are doing what they have always done, exploiting and manipulating the gullibility of the masses on behalf of the ruling elite. The only difference on this occasion is that their crime is an order of magnitude greater than anything they have done before.

6. *But surely it is reasonable to protect oneself against a deadly disease?*


Anyone who asks this question has decided to ignore questions

1-5. They are not being honest with themselves. By asking this question – and it appears to have been the first and only question asked by most people – they are assuming that everything they have been told by their government is true! Even a child will question his parents from time to time, but those who are “a bit soft in the head” will obligingly place the utmost trust in Daddy government. It never occurs to them that Daddy government might be lying.

7. *Surely it’s safe to give this “vaccine” to our children?*

No, it’s not! To do so is high risk, stupid and immoral! These “vaccines” have never been tested on animals, but naïve, uninformed parents are prepared to test them on their children! Are they insane? It is one thing to cause unnecessary harm to oneself, but to deliberately risk harm to a child is a vile criminal act. They must not do it.

■ **For further information visit www.zephaniah.eu**

	A YEAR AGO	NOW
VACCINATED CAN SPREAD COVID	CONSPIRACY	TRUTH
VACCINATED CAN GET VARIANTS	CONSPIRACY	TRUTH
VACCINE CAUSES CLOTS AND SERIOUS SIDE EFFECTS	CONSPIRACY	TRUTH
3RD AND 4TH SHOT	CONSPIRACY	TRUTH
NEW SHOTS EVERY YEAR/SIX MONTHS	CONSPIRACY	TRUTH
SHOTS FOR YOUNG CHILDREN	CONSPIRACY	TRUTH
TOTAL SEGREGATION OF SOCIETY	CONSPIRACY	TRUTH
SHOTS FOR PREGNANT WOMEN	CONSPIRACY	TRUTH
CAMPS FOR UNVAXXED	CONSPIRACY	TRUTH
UNVAXXED UNABLE TO WORK	CONSPIRACY	TRUTH
LIMIT ACCESS TO MEDICAL CARE FOR UNVAXXED	CONSPIRACY	TRUTH
SECRET CONTRACTS BETWEEN PFIZER AND GOVERNMENTS	CONSPIRACY	TRUTH



MASKS

KNOW THE DANGERS



THE IRISH GOVERNMENT, HSE AND MEDIA ARE LYING TO YOU ABOUT THE DANGERS OF WEARING MASKS

HARMFUL TO HEALTH

MORE THAN 60 STUDIES SHOW THAT FACE MASKS CAUSE PHYSICAL HARM. BREATHING THROUGH A MASK REDUCES YOUR OXYGEN INTAKE AND EXPOSES YOU TO INCREASED LEVELS OF CARBON DIOXIDE

THIS WEAKENS YOUR IMMUNE SYSTEM OVER TIME AND CAN CAUSE BREATHLESSNESS, HEADACHES, DIZZINESS AND NEUROLOGICAL PROBLEMS

PLACING MASKS DIRECTLY OVER AIR PASSAGES FOR LONG PERIODS RESULTS IN PLASTIC PARTICLES AND LOOSE FIBRES BEING INHALED INTO THE LUNGS WHICH CAN CAUSE RESPIRATORY DISEASE AND CANCER



MASKS INCREASE VIRUS SPREAD

MASKS ARE A BREEDING GROUND FOR GERMS, BACTERIA, VIRUSES AND FUNGAL GROWTH ESPECIALLY WHEN MOIST FROM BREATHING INTO THEM

WASTE TOXINS WE NORMALLY EXHALE CAN BECOME LODGED IN YOUR MASK AND INHALED BACK INTO THE BODY PUTTING THE IMMUNE SYSTEM UNDER STRESS

HUNDREDS OF DOCTORS HAVE STATED THAT MANDATORY MASKS IN SCHOOLS ARE A GRAVE THREAT TO CHILD HEALTH AND DEVELOPMENT



SCIENTIFICALLY UNPROVEN

NOT ONE SCIENTIFIC STUDY EXISTS TO SHOW FACE MASKS STOP INFECTIONS. THE HSE HAS ADMITTED THEY HAVE NO EVIDENCE EITHER

MOST MASKS HAVE PORES MANY TIMES LARGER THAN VIRUS PARTICLES. THIS IS LIKE USING CHICKEN WIRE TO KEEP MOSQUITOS AWAY

MASKS FROM CHINA ARE OFTEN MADE IN FORCED LABOUR CAMPS



THE REAL AGENDA

MASKS ARE NOTHING MORE THAN A CONDITIONING TOOL TO KEEP PEOPLE FEARFUL AND OBEDIENT

THE IRISH GOVERNMENT, OWNED BY THE GLOBALIST BANKING/BIG PHARMA CARTEL, ARE MANIPULATING A FRIGHTENED PUBLIC TO BRING ABOUT A TOTALITARIAN 'ONE WORLD' SURVEILLANCE STATE

THEY CALL IT 'THE GREAT RESET' WHERE EVERYTHING YOU DO IS CONTROLLED BY THE STATE AND YOU WILL OWN NOTHING



IT'S TIME TO RESIST, SAY NO AND TAKE BACK YOUR FREEDOM TODAY

