

NEWS

'There is no climate emergency' - 1,100 scientists declare



PAGE 3

OPINION

John Waters on creating Soviet Ireland



PAGE 13

INTERNATIONAL


French farmer wins case over phone tower



PAGE 17

HEALTH

Contagion and infection - what's really going on



PAGE 20

THE IRISH LIGHT

Issue 13

The Uncensored Truth

FREE




Vaccine Genocide:

Covid Shots Permanently Alter DNA, page 6

Beware the future

Digital weapons for a silent war



> > > > > See Centre Pages < < < < <

Another Irish Genocide

FEW races have been subjected to cycles of ethnic cleansing throughout history as much as the Irish, yet most of our people are naive enough to think it could never happen again. Not in the progressive paradise they have created where mothers kill their unborn babies, children can have their reproductive organs surgically removed, schools teach pornography and paedophiles in drag do 'story time' with toddlers.

In every suburb, village and town of Ireland, we are slowly but surely becoming a minority as virtue-signalling Paddies fawn over the multicultural cesspit our country has become. Deep inside they know that 'cultural diversity' only ever brings only what it has brought to the rest of the West: violent crime, rampant sexual violence, and social catastrophe. Import the Third World. Create the Third World.

Multiculturalism has failed everywhere it has been tried and in its current guise is nothing more than the implementation of the JewN Kalergi Plan devised by the founders of the EU to eliminate the nation states of Christian Europe with their strong national identities and borders.

Most Irish people are so stupefied by drink, Pharma drugs, sexual degeneracy, RTE propaganda and a pathetic need to belong, they have lost their ability to think critically. Little do they know that our very existence as a unique and ancient race is on the brink of extinction.

The planned destruction of our people throughout the ages has not happened by chance but because the enemy has always felt particularly threatened by us because of our devotion to Jesus Christ and His values, once the essence of our culture and identity.

Our passion for freedom, nationhood and independence from colonial tyranny always shone around the world as a beacon of hope and strength, a resilience despised by those who have been planning this 'new normal' of authoritarian global governance and depopulation for decades.

That is why they have been so determined to break us.

The enemy has always had

by **GEMMA O'DOHERTY**

this special loathing for the Irish because no other nation brought the Word of God to so many, from the monks of the early Christian era to our nuns and priests who brought the love of Jesus to the darkest corners of the Earth while establishing the most prestigious Catholic schools and hospitals.

Throughout our history, our people have been genocided by foreign invaders determined to break our spirit and annihilate the force of good we represented around the world. During the holocaust of the 1840s, millions were exterminated or forced off this island because they refused to 'take the soup': reject the Holy Mass: the Eucharist - and adopt Protestantism.

How very ashamed those people would be to see how far the Irish have fallen, spitting on the enormous sacrifice their ancestors made for their freedom.

The rejection of Catholicism has resulted in the modern Irish lining up in their millions to take a vaccine that could kill them, paralyse them and will probably shorten their lives.

The enemy's social engineering of the Irish over the last two decades in particular has produced a generation of materialistic debt slaves with no purpose in life who worship at the altar of corrupt government which they actually believe has their best interests at heart.


By putting their trust in a ruling elite that despises them, our people have chosen to take the hardest path. It is fair to say that they deserve the pain that is coming to them because they continue to support the evil forces that have ruined our country, blinded by the blatant lies of the pharma-funded whore media.

But in the end, truth and justice will prevail, and the Irish will turn back to God, realising the error of their foolish decisions.

In the meantime, those of you who walk in the light must keep the flame of resistance burning so that when they come out of the darkness, they will be inspired to join us in our fight for freedom, which must continue until we draw our last breaths.

Monthly Excess Mortality in June 2022

(% difference versus average monthly deaths in 2016-2019)



SPIKES

279% spike in **Miscarriages**

487% spike in **Breast Cancer**

551% spike in **Guillain-Barre Syndrom**

269% spike in **Myocardial Infection**

468% spike in **Pulmonary Embolism**

291% spike in **Bell's Palsy**

437% spike in **Ovarian Dysfunction**

680% spike in **Multiple Sclerosis**



THE IRISH LIGHT SEPT 2022

Editor: **Gemma O'Doherty** - www.gemmaodoherty.com

Associate Editor **John Waters** - johnwaters.substack.com

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free from the establishment we seek to hold to account.

Enquiries: www.irishlightpaper.com

Hundreds of eminent scientists declare: ‘There is NO climate emergency’

by **CHRIS MORRISON**
The Daily Sceptic

THE political fiction that humans cause most or all climate change and the claim that the science behind this notion is ‘settled’, has been dealt a savage blow by the publication of a ‘World Climate Declaration (WCD)’ signed by over 1,100 scientists and professionals.

There is no climate emergency, say the authors, who are drawn from across the world and led by the Norwegian physics Nobel Prize laureate Professor Ivar Giaever. Climate science is said to have degenerated into a discussion based on beliefs, not on sound self-critical science.


The scale of the opposition to modern day ‘settled’ climate science is remarkable, given how difficult it is in academia to raise grants for any climate research that departs from the political orthodoxy.

Another lead author of the declaration, Professor Richard Lindzen, has called the current climate narrative “absurd”, but acknowledged that trillions of dollars and the relentless propaganda from grant-dependent academics and agenda-driven journalists currently says it is not absurd.

Particular ire in the WCD is reserved for climate models. To believe in the outcome of a climate model is to believe what the model makers have put in. Climate models are now central to today’s climate discussion and the scientists see this as a problem. “We should free ourselves from the naïve belief in immature climate models,” says the WCD. “In future, climate research must give significantly more emphasis to empirical science.”

Since emerging from the ‘Little Ice Age’ in around 1850, the world has warmed significantly less than predicted by the IPCC on the basis of modelled human influences. “The gap between the real world and the modelled world tells us that we are far from understanding climate change,” the WCD notes.

The Declaration is an event of enormous importance, although it will be ignored by the mainstream



media. But it is not the first time distinguished scientists have petitioned for more realism in climate science. In Italy, the discoverer of nuclear anti-matter Emeritus Professor Antonino Zichichi recently led 48 local science professors in stating that human responsibility for climate change is “unjustifiably exaggerated and catastrophic predictions are not realistic”. In their scientific view, “natural variation explains a substantial part of global warming observed since 1850”. Professor Zichichi has signed the WCD.

German scientist, the distinguished experimental physics specialist Professor Hermann Harde, recently dismissed the idea that humans control the climate via carbon dioxide emissions as an “absolute delusion”. He warned politicians that it would be an irresponsible energy policy to continue to ignore more serious peer-reviewed scientific publications that show a much smaller human impact on climate than previously thought.

The Declaration notes that the Earth’s climate has varied for as long as the planet has existed, with natural cold and warm periods. “It is no surprise that we are experiencing a period of warming,” it continues. Climate models have many shortcomings, it says, “and are not remotely plausible as global policy tools”. They blow up the effect of

The facts about climate change: What the WCD found

Natural as well as anthropogenic factors cause warming

The geological archive reveals that Earth’s climate has varied as long as the planet has existed, with natural cold and warm phases. The Little Ice Age ended as recently as 1850. Therefore, it is no surprise that we now are experiencing a period of warming.

Warming is far slower than predicted

The world has warmed significantly less than predicted by IPCC on the basis of modeled anthropogenic forcing. The gap between the real world and the modeled world tells us that we are far from understanding climate change.

Climate policy relies on inadequate models

Climate models have many shortcomings and are not remotely plausible as policy tools. They do not only exaggerate the effect of greenhouse gases, they also ignore the fact that enriching the atmosphere with CO2 is beneficial.

CO2 is plant food, the basis of all life on Earth

CO2 is not a pollutant. It is essential to all life on Earth. More CO2 is favorable for nature, greening our planet. Additional CO2 in the air has promoted growth in global plant biomass. It is also profitable for agriculture, increasing the yields of crops worldwide.

Global warming has not increased natural disasters

There is no statistical evidence that global warming is intensifying hurricanes, floods, droughts and suchlike natural disasters, or making them more frequent.

However, there is ample evidence that CO2 mitigation measures are as damaging as they are costly.

Climate policy must respect scientific and economic realities

There is no climate emergency. Therefore, there is no cause for panic and alarm. We strongly oppose the harmful and unrealistic net-zero CO2 policy proposed for 2050. Go for adaptation instead of mitigation; adaptation works whatever the causes are.

greenhouse gases, such as carbon dioxide, but ignore any beneficial effects. “CO2 is not a pollutant,” it says. “It is essential to all life on Earth. Photosynthesis is a blessing. More CO2 is beneficial for nature, greening the Earth; additional CO2 in the air has promoted growth in global plant biomass. It is also good for agriculture, increasing the yield of crops worldwide.”

In addition, the scientists declare that there is no statistical evidence that global warming is intensifying hurricanes, floods, droughts and such-like natural disasters, or making them more frequent. The WCD is the latest sign that the ‘settled’ fantasy surrounding climate change science is rapidly breaking down. Last year, Steven Koonin, an Under-Secretary of Science in the Obama Administration, published a book titled *Unsettled* in which he noted that, “The science is insufficient to make useful projections about how the climate will change over the coming decades, much less what our actions will be.” He also noted that rigidly promulgating the idea that climate change is settled demeans and chills the scientific enterprise, “retarding its progress in these important matters”. In 2020, the long-time green activist Michael Shellenberger wrote a book called *Apocalypse Never* in which he said he believed the conversation about climate change and the environment had in the last few years “spiralled out of control”. Much of what people are told about the environment, including the climate, is wrong, he wrote.

Of course, green extremists in academia, politics and journalism will continue to argue for the command-and-control they crave through a Net Zero policy. In the end, their warped view of the scientific process will fade, leaving a trail of ludicrous Armageddon forecasts, and yet more failed experiments in hard-left economic and societal control.

■ **You can read the World Climate Declaration here**
<https://clintel.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/WCD-version-06272215121.pdf>

How data capitalism makes YOU the product

by **ANDY THOMAS**

Big Tech's goal is to automate human beings

Until the late 1970s, computers were large and expensive contraptions and, as such, they were the preserve of governments, universities and corporations.

Computers were often referred to as mainframes, a term derived from the fact that they were housed in large metal cabinets. A single mainframe would typically be used by many people via dumb-terminals from within the same building.

By the 1980s, however, the PC had arrived on the scene. The significance of the word is lost now, but it was revolutionary at the time because "PC" once stood for "personal computer". This meant that it wasn't shared with others, but was yours and yours alone.

Unlike the 80s, the computing devices we use today are not really ours any more - even though we are the ones who pay for them. For example, the continuous software updates common in modern devices facilitate a backdoor allowing any change to be made or restriction imposed, at any time, without our knowledge, consent or control.

In reality, our smartphones and laptops are tools used to monitor what should be our private communications and interactions. Android phones, as a case in point, typically upload between 4.4 and 13.6 MB of tracking data to Google servers per day.

Where people once used terminals to access a central mainframe, modern computing devices are now the terminals used to access people.

How on earth did things come to this?

If there is one thing that computers do well, it is automation. Although it was once widely held that the internet would engender freedom, with the benefit of

hindsight, it should be no surprise that the large-scale automation it actually facilitates lends itself to economies of vast scale rather than those of small or medium sized enterprises.

As a result, the traditional model of capitalism, in which companies compete for paying customers in an honest market, is breaking down. In its place has arisen surveillance capitalism - a phoney capitalism in which the product may ostensibly be free, but behavioural data is harvested from its users in order to exploit them in other domains.

Such data may not only be used for the targeting of ads, but also in highly manipulative ways through the use of subliminal cues, rewards, and punishments in order to nudge people into profitable behaviours.

Where people once used terminals to access a central mainframe, modern computing devices are now the terminals used to access people

In order to succeed, this must happen below the level of everyday awareness.

Indeed, it has succeeded and has done so beyond the dreams of avarice. We can see now the inspiration behind so called "Smart Cities" and the UK government's "Nudge Unit".

While a cost-benefit analysis may be out of scope here, it is far from clear that the internet has universally improved the quality of human existence. Rather, it threatens us now with a new kind of tyranny - one which aims to subject every aspect of human existence to automated surveillance. We need




Photo: Luke Chesser

only look to the Chinese Social Credit System to see what such a future would look like.

It may be a tad depressing to realise that the primary motivation behind the worldwide rollout of 5G is not to simply provide everyone with cheap internet on the go, but to facilitate the so-called "Internet of Things" in which even the most trivial of devices will be online and under centralised control.

History, however, is full of upheavals in which all that once seemed so certain is uprooted in short order. The sudden and very unexpected collapse of the Soviet Union is a case in point.

I'm not about to suggest that the internet will suddenly cease to exist and that we will somehow be transported back to the 1980s. What I am suggesting is that the business model of surveillance capitalism - which has succeeded thus far by quietly looting everyone - is unsustainable long-term.

In her seminal work, *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism*, Professor Shoshana Zuboff writes that Google - the first company to exploit human behavioural data - understood that users would never accept the unilateral claiming of their raw human experience in exchange for

being able to use free online apps. Therefore, it was necessary for Google's services to act as a one-way mirror in order to keep people from realising the true nature of what was being taken from them.

It is the compulsion of every technology company, however, to automate the very thing at the heart of their value generation activity, and it is this which will ultimately kill the goose which lays their golden egg.

"It is no longer enough to automate information flows about us; the goal now is to automate us." - Shoshana Zuboff.

In the end, people will own nothing and there will be nothing left for surveillance capitalism to plunder.

As we now belatedly wake up to surveillance capitalism and begin to see it for what it is, we must reject it and reclaim our sovereignty. Moreover, we must reject the "everything free" model as being too good to be true, and expect to conduct honest transactions in exchange for things of real value, without surveillance and manipulation.

Computers and smartphones won't be going away, but we can reimagine the "personal computer"

for the 21st century as a privacy-centric device under the control of individual human beings, rather than a big tech singularity.

De-Google phones, for example, running alternatives to Android and iOS are now available and demand for them is starting to grow. For laptops and desktops, Linux represents a perfectly viable operating system for everyone - even for the most non-technical of users.

The real game changer, however, may be the widespread adoption of open source software such as NextCloud and Mastodon. These are "back-end" applications which allow individuals, groups and small businesses to host their own private clouds and social media networks.

In the future, we shall have no need for surveillance-based services.

■ *Andy Thomas is a programmer, software author and writer, living in the north of England. He is interested in the philosophical implications of science, the nature of nature, and the things in life which hold value.*

■ *<https://kuiperzone.substack.com> and you can email him here: andyt@kuiper.zone*

Truth is treason in an empire of lies

by **DARREN SMITH**

THE rulers have built a world of fiction and fantasy, and want to make anyone pointing this out a criminal.

No one is allowed to pierce the narrative of the show, even such an often badly-made one, because pointing it out ends the façade like the classic story of the emperor's new clothes.

This is the ultimate expression of totalitarian control – over people's thoughts, ideas, concepts and in the end, our minds.

In Orwell's *Nineteen Eighty-Four*, The Party wanted to eliminate any potential for rebellion by making it literally unthinkable. Not only are those who really rule via the criminal banking system actually attempting this with laws which will outlaw speech critical of government and corporate policy, but they use as many divide-and-rule topics as possible to drive a wedge between people on their emotive subjects, while we all should be punching upwards at the real causes of division, injustice and vast inequality across the world.

We are proud that *The Light* paper is still a shining beacon of truth in this empire of darkness, deception and division, and that we poke holes in the fabricated stories and distorted versions of reality presented to the general public en masse through what is now a unified media propaganda machine. Not a single one of the newspapers or broadcast news outlets have questioned in any depth what the government, and those giving them their orders, are doing to the population. All dissenting voices are censored regardless of qualification.

Today, this has accelerated to a point where people's minds have been taken and they have been all but turned into nodding robot dogs. It is almost as if politicians have never lied; media have never covered up the truth; and corporations have never polluted, harmed or defrauded anybody before.

How the population willingly accepts a trial injection of an unknown substance by a

It is almost as if politicians have never lied; media have never covered up the truth; and corporations have never polluted, harmed or defrauded anybody before

corporation with the biggest fine for negligence in U.S. history, \$2.3 billion, is a thing of psychologically-controlling awe. People are goldfished into trusting what comes out of the screen as authoritative information, even though it constantly contradicts previous advice, and is provably nothing but behavioural psychological manipulation, in order to get people to think and behave exactly as they want.

It should not be hard to imagine the end result of this lemming-like, collectivist herd mentality, if people continue to give their rights and thoughts over to a clearly criminal ruling cabal. Nietzsche's famous quote is apt here: "Madness is rare in individuals - but in groups, parties, nations, and ages, it is the rule."

For those in power, it is crucial to maintain the façade at all costs, and to this end, vast armies of online and offline agents are employed by both the state using your taxes, and corporations using your spending, with instructions to attack and smear everyone and anything daring to go against the prevailing narrative; who ask questions; and who refuse to comply.

This is usually by exaggeration, association and painting as being on the 'wrong' side of as many 'debates' as possible - the black or white logical fallacy that George Bush Jr. used so well: "You're either with us, or you're with the terrorists" - as they bombed countries to smithereens.

Therefore, despite all being ordinary family people of diverse backgrounds, different ages and from different parts of the world, *The Light* will often be tainted

as right-wing Nazi extremist, homophobic, antisemitic, islamophobic, misogynistic climate deniers – the same names called to most who try to expose some or other aspect of the fantasy world created through the ubiquitous and incessant information and nudges transmitted through screens of various sizes directly into people's minds.

The strength of the grip their machine has over people's psyches is evidenced by their somehow taking the politicians' and media's word over their own close friends' and family's, and even their own research and thoughts. Those who are in power have everything to gain by maintaining their positions and lying through their teeth to people. However, the people who create and distribute *The Light* have nothing to gain, except the satisfaction of sharing truth and the fact it has been going for two years now is testament to its approach of 'by the people, for the people'.

Almost everyone involved is voluntary, and our only aim is to alert enough people as to what the rulers' plans are, and therefore stop them: once enough people know, the game is over. How long would all those CCTV surveillance cameras, smart streetlights, and other digital prison infrastructure last once football fans figure it out?

Of course, we can be bundled up and dismissed in one fell swoop as a 'conspiracy theory' paper, the ultimate easy retort of those who *actually* conspire to defraud the world. The point about most 'theories' on what the government and corporations are doing is that they are more often than not correct, so as a news organisation it is a badge of honour to be called correct on our reporting and opinions.

The truth is elusive, and seemingly quite dangerous these days. We have no doubts that malicious and fallacious attacks on this paper and others shining light will continue, by those whose job it is to protect the rulers and help them achieve their ultimate aims of total control of mankind. We stand firm in the truth we print.


The Irish Light
relies on
public
donations
only...

**Please
support
us at...**

Irishlightpaper.com



**THIS WINTER,
KEEP WARM
BY BURNING
ALL THE PREVIOUS
ADVICE WE GAVE
YOU THAT TURNED
OUT TO BE BULLSHIT**



**THIS IS A PUBLIC HEALTH MESSAGE FROM YOUR
TRUSTED FRIENDS, THE GOVERNMENT**

Bob '22
© THE DISSENTARY FANS

Covid shots alter DNA

While they may try to deny it, another study shows covid mRNA can permanently alter human genome

by **DARREN SMITH**

They manipulated billions into receiving one or more experimental genetic injections, and may have now altered the human genome permanently, according to scientists

WHEN a Swedish study came out this year, it found that the messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) in Pfizer's shot was able to be alter DNA in liver cells.

This alteration, known as reverse transcription, is when mRNA writes to DNA, the opposite of the normal transcription process. Normally, it is a portion of the DNA that is transcribed into an mRNA molecule, which then forms the specified protein.

News agencies and fact-checkers played down the significance of the University of Lund research published last February, so as not to derail the mass injection programmes still under way across the world.

But in May, a team of scientists, including noted MIT biologist Rudolf Jaenisch, published a paper titled, *Reverse-Transcribed SARS-CoV-2 RNA Can Integrate Into The Genome Of Cultured Human Cells And Can Be Expressed In Patient-Derived Tissues*.

They wrote: "We investigated the possibility that SARS-CoV-2 RNAs can be reverse-transcribed and integrated into the DNA of human cells in culture, and that transcription of the integrated sequences might account for some of the positive PCR tests seen in patients.

"In support of this hypothesis, we found that DNA copies of SARS-CoV-2 sequences can be integrated into the genome of infected human cells.

"We show here that SARS-CoV-2 RNA can be reverse-transcribed and integrated into


'We found that DNA copies of SARS-CoV-2 sequences can be integrated into the genome of infected human cells'

the genome of the infected cell, and be expressed as chimeric transcripts fusing viral with cellular sequences. Importantly, such chimeric transcripts are detected in patient-derived tissues. Our data suggest that, in some patient tissues, the majority of all viral transcripts are derived from integrated sequences."

If researchers have found that RNA from the supposed virus can alter DNA, then what is there to prevent RNA from the supposed vaccine doing the same? Scientists steer clear of asking this question, for obvious reasons.

It can perhaps be argued there is little about these experimental products that Big Pharma has been honest about. If these covid injection makers let people know the shots can change their DNA and that of their offspring, potentially permanently, no one would go near them again..

One needs to ask the question - as it would be naive to assume ignorance or incompetence on the



Non-human proteins inserted into the human genome



part of the world's biggest drug companies - why are they doing something so shocking, and getting away with it?

We have already seen tens of thousands of reported deaths after the covid shots, with millions of injuries worldwide. It is also clear

the authorities and Big Media want to cover up the size and scope of the adverse reactions to the 'vaccines'.

There is already a plethora of evidence to suggest the covid shots are interfering with reproductive systems, with miscarriages, menstrual problems and heart problems in newborns all reported from mothers who have received one or more mRNA injections.

The scandal of this keeps growing, as does the deafening silence on the issue from the 'pro-vaxxers'. Why is there no live televised debate with those who stand by this experimental medical procedure?

It is perhaps even more worrying that those trying to warn people about the injections are often ignored, banned, censored, ridiculed, refuted without chance of reply and/or are accused of peddling 'misinformation'.

And the favourite tactic for those trying to silence those urging caution about the covid injections?

It is to brand them as conspiracy theorists.

Renowned American heart specialist Dr Peter McCullough is a 'conspiracy theorist' for asking questions and reporting studies that show serious problems after the covid shots. As are those who themselves have suffered serious injury, or the permanent loss of loved ones after the covid shots.

Such is the degeneration of public discourse today, there is just one message coming from nearly every orifice of the media machine. And, as the tech giants have a stranglehold on what information we see, everyone is either talking in an echo chamber or blissfully unaware of what is really going on.

■ **MIT Study** - <https://www.pnas.org/doi/full/10.1073/pnas.2105968118>

■ **Swedish Study** - <https://www.mdpi.com/1467-3045/44/3/73/htm>

Ditch the enemy in your pocket

by **HARRY HOPKINS**

Mobile phones a stepping stone to humanity's slavery

ALL of us are well aware of the central role that smartphones now play in people's lives.

Haven't we all looked in wonder at the young folk walking along the street gazing at the screen in their hand, completely oblivious as to what is going on around them. Aside from the physical danger that this disconnect with reality causes, it is a cast-iron indication that the person concerned has surrendered their inner life to something that has captured their attention.

It is as if a spell has been cast, leading that person from one distraction to another. Can this be a good thing? Can the random capture of a person's thought processes ever be healthy?

How many times have you seen people on public transport, waiting at bus stops, sitting together in groups in the pub, cafe or restaurant with their eyes fixated on their phone? Groups of people who come together supposedly for friendship and the joys of intimate conversation retreating into the virtual world contained in their latest technological gadget.

There can be no doubt about it, Silicon Valley engineers have designed, and are constantly redesigning, applications that are as addictive as gambling, alcohol or drugs. When something cannot be done without and the loss of which is compared to a bereavement (as the loss of a smartphone is to many young people today) it surely is an addiction.

All of this is intentional of course. If there were those who would seek to control every one of us and, indeed, every aspect of our individual lives, how would they go about it?

They would seek to make us totally dependent on their highly developed technology, so that any kind of acceptable and worthwhile life would be impossible without it. Are these this the ravings of a modern-day Luddite? Well, I love technology. I have a computer and an iPad, but I have an old-



Photo: Tara Winstead

I firmly believe that 'smart technologies' - and by this I mean not just phones, but anything with the word 'smart' in its description - are being pushed not for our benefit, but because they are all part of the Big Brother society that we are being herded towards

fashioned mobile phone, the kind whereby you talk to people and send the occasional text.

I use technology as a tool when it suits me. I'm like the person who drinks occasionally, but knows that overindulgence might lead me down the slippery slope to hell. I firmly believe that 'smart technologies' - and by this I mean not just phones but anything with the word 'smart' in its description - are being pushed not for our benefit, but because they are all part of the Big Brother society that we are being herded towards. It is a vision of a totalitarian state that is a danger to us all.

Consider this: The loss of bank branches nationwide has been going on for years now and is gathering pace. The push for 'apps' to pay for everything rather than use cash is all around us.

Supermarkets are champing at the bit to accept card/phone app payments only. Public transport,

public car parks and public conveniences are moving to app payments only. And right now some shops will not accept cash at all.

What are the repercussions of this rush to a technological, digitalised world whereby we are what we have on our smart phone? Life is becoming increasingly difficult for millions of people who cannot or will not take on board the technological revolution that is gathering pace.

Many older people have no idea about technology. They cannot access banks because they are not there. They cannot contact their banks because of the computerised systems replacing human beings. 'You are number 27 in the queue' is enough to dishearten and depress many people who live alone and are with minimum support. In short, there are millions of people who are excluded from society because they are not up to speed

with technology and this will only get worse.

But where is this headed? I'm afraid that those who see the swish of a phone at a checkout or the admission to a loo by that same seamless motion, or the monitoring of their digitalised money online. are in for a huge shock.

Rather than a utopian dream of having life simplified by technology, we are facing the exact opposite: a complete and total loss of freedom.

A life where your every living moment is monitored and controlled by the phone in your pocket. Your phone knows where you are; your car knows where you are going (if you are one of the fortunate few who can afford one); they will know how much digital money you have and what you spend it on; when and where you access medical care (not in person of course - the digital doctor will see you now); where and when you

holiday; if and when you can work. And much, much more.

And once your 'cash' is just an online number completely outside of your control, you will be totally and utterly dependent on the state to allow you to function at all. When this situation manifests itself, you will be owned, and subject to any qualifying conditions which the authorities impose on you in order to live. It is already this way now for millions of people in China.

So what can you do about this? How can you say: "Enough is enough, I want a better world for my children and grandchildren"?

A difficult question. But you can make a major start right now. You can ditch 'the enemy in your pocket', because if it is allowed to control our lives, then it becomes the self-inflicted instrument of our own incarceration. When that happens, life as we once knew it will be but a distant memory.

An unhealthy obsession with safety

by **RICHARD HOUSE, PH.D.,
C.PSYCHOL.**

Keeping us safe is OUR job, not that of the state

I HAVE a bit of a reputation in my local railway station. Step onto the stairs there, and a canned electronic voice implores you to ‘please hold the handrail, and take care’ – at which point, in the absence of a sick-bag easily to hand, I volubly tell this robot to shut up.

In 2019, Greg Lukianoff and Jonathan Haidt wrote at length about censorial ‘safety-ism’ in their book, *The Coddling of the American Mind: How Good Intentions and Bad Ideas Are Setting up a Generation for Failure* (Penguin). The safety-ism term has also been aptly applied to the extreme social distancing measures imposed during the so-called covid ‘pandemic’.

In their deployment of the term, Lukianoff and Haidt show how a culture of ‘campus safety’ has engulfed universities, with an ever-growing army of administrators aiming to ensure students are ‘comfortable’ consumers, even if this means severely restricting their exposure to new or controversial ideas.

For these writers, then, the rise of safety-ism in American society has generated beliefs and practices that hinder students’ healthy socio-emotional development. And it’s easy to see how these insights can apply more generally right across a society unhealthily obsessed with safety.

The insufferable safety-ists who are imposing this infantilising ideology seem to have forgotten (or are incapable of understanding) the great William Blake’s urgent injunction from 1793: “Without contraries is no progression.

Attraction and repulsion, reason and energy, love and hate, are necessary to human existence.”

Blake could easily have added ‘danger and safety’ to his list. The key point is that in a world where all is ‘safe’, whatever happens to our development and learning?



Photo: Shawnanggg

And rather than seeing safety as just one concern amongst many that need careful balancing in living a responsible life, safety-mindedness becomes a self-fulfilling, quasi-sacred value, insinuating itself into modern culture, and colonising the very way people think about themselves in relation to the world. Positioning, and even defining, us through its fear-driven language. You know what happens when a politician tells you that they are ‘keeping you safe’.

Humanistic Psychology’s Karpman ‘Drama Triangle’ is also relevant here. Start out from the fallacious assumption that the world is inherently unsafe, and it (consciously and unconsciously) becomes a dangerous persecutor from which a rescuer needs to protect us – in this case, the nanny technocratic state – lest we become hapless victims of it. A culture of ‘victimhood’ is thus surreptitiously created, whereby the very ways we think about ourselves and our relation to the world are contaminated by this ideology.

Before critics robotically deploy their ‘far right’ trope, it’s clear that indiscriminate, industrial-scale mass infantilisation is an issue relevant to everyone, irrespective of conventional politics. Those on the political left sometimes refer to ‘anti-safetyism’ as reactionary – a preoccupation with freedom at all costs, even if (as they disgracefully claimed during covid) it means sacrificing granny.

Such woke criticism abjectly fails to comprehend just what is at stake here. Safety-first obsessions have all manner of toxic unintended consequences – one being to render us far more susceptible to control, manipulation and the colonisation of our psyches by those happy to

ride rough-shod over individuals’ best interests.

The parallels with industrial-scale mass vaccination, where everyone is fallaciously assumed to require ‘the jab’ irrespective of individual needs and differences, will be obvious.

What is at stake here is personal responsibility. Malign forces are at work across modern culture actively wishing to infantilise us – keeping us in a ‘victim’ level of consciousness, promoting fear-driven dependence, determined to convince us that we are incapable of looking after ourselves, and thus rendering us far more malleable and amenable to the ‘Agenda 2030’ technocratic control systems they are building right now.

Technocrats want us to meekly collude with our positioning as hapless and helpless victims who need others (i.e. the state) to Keep Us Safe™. But we emphatically do not need to succumb to this poisonous ideology.

We urgently need a concerted push-back against infantilising safety-ism, wherever and however it manifests. Every piece of resistance matters, however small. If we can all start embracing mass, principled non-compliance with this toxic zeitgeist then the safety-ist ideology will soon begin to disintegrate.

Our derision and contempt are things we need to start proclaiming, rather than meekly colluding with this infantilising madness.

I cannot wait for the day when I hear another fellow traveller swearing at the nanny state robots at Swindon railway station. For the sake of our healthy evolution, please join the pushback against robotic, intelligence-insulting safety-ism.

■ independentviewpoints.net

The individual doesn’t count

Totalitarianism has sneaked in disguised as benevolence

INDIVIDUAL rights, as seen in the reaction to the overturning of the Roe versus Wade judgement by the U.S. Supreme Court, are subject to double standards.

Justin Trudeau promised American women an abortion in Canada, but they cannot cross the border unless they have had the covid shots. To pro-choice activists, bodily autonomy does not apply to a state-enforced vaccine. As Groucho Marx said: “These are my principles; if you don’t like them, I have others.”

Victims of covid injection injuries receive little sympathy from the compliant majority, partly due to media censorship but also because any reported cases challenge the narrative that the vaccines are safe and effective. Here is a random but revealing exchange on Twitter:

“My brother died suddenly 2 days after the booster, my husband died with covid as a contributing factor and was fully vaxxed. My hairdresser had covid got vaxxed after and is now in renal failure waiting on a kidney. I had it in the beginning pre vax and lived to tell no vax.”

“Here’s the thing about ‘science’... your anecdotes are statistically insignificant and therefore, not information reliable enough to base future decisions on. Your anecdotes then become what we call ‘dangerous misinformation’. Please stop.”

Joseph Stalin would have liked that reply, having once remarked that “a single death is a tragedy; a million deaths are a statistic”.

Silencing and smearing of people with vaccine injuries, or even fatalities, is incredibly insensitive. This general hatred was apparent when James Delingpole was invited to Michelle Dewberry’s GB News show to discuss the government’s reluctance to compensate citizens who followed guidance to take the experimental injections.

The debate drew publicity and an exchange between Delingpole and think-tanker Joanna Williams who responded to a catalogue

by **NIALL McCRAE**

of harmful outcomes from the injections with a “you’re being very conspiratorial, James” comment. Williams is a regular contributor to Spiked website, which offended many readers with its alleged shilling for Big Pharma’s lucrative but ineffectual inoculations.

The debate highlighted the ascent of collectivist ideology. As a vaccine proponent, Williams claimed that “for millions of people it’s been safe and it’s actually saved a huge number of lives”. Former editor of Labour List, Peter Edwards, argued that ‘rare’ vaccine harm should be put into context, declaring that “I want to support public confidence in the vaccine, not undermine it”.

Dewberry challenged Edwards on how the majority who were not seriously harmed overrides any concern for those whose health has been significantly impaired. This would be like a car manufacturer shrugging his shoulders at a serious fault in the braking system, because only a few people had died. Why is Pfizer treated preferentially?

On Conservative Woman website TCW, Gary Oliver noted: “The hapless victims are hitherto healthy people who had no need for injections which have proven much less effective but far more dangerous than had been promised. Yet still Peter Edwards and other zealots obstinately hail the unnecessary mass vaccination as having been for the greater good, with the resultant deaths and disablements blithely brushed aside as collateral damage.”

Such denial of individual rights and fundamental freedoms is supported by a puritanical minority, and facilitated by a gullible majority. The growth of communistic attitudes did not begin with covid, having been nurtured in schools and universities for decades, but compliance with lockdown, masking and vaccination show the great strides made in a short time. Secularism has largely replaced Christian faith and the concept of free will. Worship of the state, however much it oppresses and harms, is endemic. Totalitarianism has sneaked in the door, disguised as benevolence.

Latest Pfizer documents: even more adverse events

by **MICHAEL NEVRADAKIS, Ph.D**

Why were so many participants with ‘minor’ issues withdrawn from the trial?

JULY’S release of documents covering the Emergency Use Authorization granted to the Pfizer covid-19 vaccine included reports of a significant number of people who withdrew from the trials - and the reasons for these withdrawals.

In some instances, participants withdrew or were withdrawn from the trial due to serious adverse events, which, again, were usually determined to be ‘not related’ to the vaccination, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) data dump reveals.

In other instances, participants ended their participation in the trial over seemingly minor adverse events, such as injection site pain, without any further explanation provided in the documentation about other factors that may have been at play in the decision to withdraw.

A greater proportion of these minor adverse events were determined to be ‘related’ to the vaccination, as compared to the instances of serious adverse events, which usually were deemed ‘not related’ to the vaccine.

The document cache also reveals a large number of trial participants who contracted covid-19 during the trial period, and a notable revelation regarding the covid-19 vaccine and pregnant women.

The documents were released on July 1 as part of a court-ordered disclosure schedule stemming from an expedited Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request filed in August 2021.

Public Health and Medical Professionals for Transparency, a group of doctors and public health professionals, submitted the initial FOIA request.

In several instances, the participation of subjects in the vaccine trial ceased following the onset of new medical conditions that did not correspond with anything in their medical history. Nevertheless, study investigators determined these adverse events were not related to the vaccine



The next 80,000-page cache of FDA documents pertaining to the vaccine is set to be released on August 1.

A total of 34 withdrawals are detailed in a massive 3,611-page ‘confidential’ document with no title - only the file name ‘fa_interim_narrative_sensitive.’

All participants listed in the document received the 30 µg dose of the BNT162b2 candidate vaccine, which was the one ultimately issued an Emergency Use Authorisation by the FDA.

Of these 34 withdrawals, four can be identified as being the result of seemingly serious adverse events that were also classified as “related” to the vaccination.

They include:

- A 56-year-old white female in the U.S. who was vaccinated with her first dose on August 11, 2020, and discontinued from the study the following day due to generalised pruritus (nerve disorder) and tachycardia (heart-rate issue). She

had no ongoing health conditions listed, nor any medical history involving heart conditions. According to the study investigator, “there was a reasonable possibility that the pruritus and tachycardia were related to the study intervention.”

- A 61-year-old Hispanic/Latino female from the U.S. received her first dose of the vaccine on September 25, 2020, but subsequently experienced unilateral deafness on October 14, 2020, and was withdrawn from the trial the following day. Her condition lasted until October 23, 2020. Again, there was a ‘reasonable possibility’ the problem was related to the study.
- A 71-year-old white male from the U.S. who was vaccinated on October 28, 2020, but withdrawn from the trial the following day “because of worsening of the depression that was ongoing at the time of the last available report”.
- A 36-year-old white female from

the U.S. who was vaccinated on August 26, 2020, and in the days immediately following, experienced adverse events such as chest tightness, worsening headache, pain at the injection site and left arm pain, leading to her discontinuation from the trial on August 30.

Notably, all four of these instances came from participants based in the U.S., even though the 3,611-page document also contains participant data from trials in Argentina, Brazil and South Africa - with Argentina being home to the largest Pfizer vaccine trials in 2020.

In several instances, the participation of subjects in the vaccine trial ceased following the onset of new medical conditions that did not correspond with anything in their medical history. But study investigators determined these adverse events were ‘not related’ to the vaccine.

A separate document providing a broader picture of trial withdrawals

is 16.2.1.1 Listing of Subjects Discontinued From Vaccination and/or From the Study – All Subjects ≥16 Years of Age, which contains a 232-page list that was part of July’s document dump, and which appears to list all patients who withdrew from the trial, as well as the date and reason of their discontinuation.

On average, approximately ten to eleven patients per page are listed in this document as having withdrawn from the trial.

Pages 1,059 to 3,611 of the ‘fa_interim_narrative_sensitive’ document list a long series of trial participants who were diagnosed with covid-19 during the trial period, with the records for each patient averaging eight to nine pages in total length.

The list includes participants in the placebo group.

In one example, a 51-year-old white female from the U.S. received her two doses of the vaccine on July 29 and August 19, 2020. On October 17, 2020, she reported a “loss of taste or smell” and “new or increased sore throat”. She tested positive for covid-19 following a nasal swab test.

This high number of covid-19 cases among trial participants is further confirmed by a separate 430-page document listing trial participants who tested positive for covid-19 following either the first or second dose of the vaccine.

Overall, an average of three to nine patients per page is listed in this particular document, and although a majority of the listed covid-19 cases are in the placebo group, there are approximately 215 trial participants who received the candidate vaccine and who later tested positive for covid-19.

Questions therefore arise as to whether the study was conducted in such a way that placebo recipients were more likely to be tested for covid-19 at the first sign of relevant symptoms, as compared to participants in the vaccine group, for whom similar symptoms may have been attributed to other causes.

■ *by kind permission of Children’s Health Defense. <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/fda-pfizer-document-dump-minor-adverse-events-withdraw-trial/>*

Should we own nothing and be happy? Schwab thinks so!

Technocracy
- trusting big government to make the big decisions

TO quote Klaus Schwab in the final paragraph of his book, COVID-19: The Great Reset: "We are now at a crossroads. One path will take us to a better world: more inclusive, more equitable and more respectful of mother nature. The other will take us to a world that resembles the one we left behind - but worse."

In my previous article, I gave an overview of what economics is and the fundamental economic problem of infinite wants and needs, but limited resources. This dilemma causes us to make choices with the resources we have. These choices are what to produce, how to produce and whom to produce for.

The resources that we have at our disposal are categorised as the factors of production; these are natural, human and man-made resources. When managing an economy, the first decision we need to make is: who should own these factors of production?

In this article, we will investigate the idea of a technocracy, which is a way of managing the economy that the World Economic Forum is so keen to push and is described, but not named, in Klaus Swab's book. So, what is a technocracy? And why do they think we need it so badly?

The majority of the population agrees that there are some deeply concerning problems with our current economic system. These include the increasing inequality, destruction of the environment, biodiversity loss and rising inflation across the world.

To understand how to fix these problems we must first discuss what the causes of these problems are. This brings us to the idea of the economic agents; the households, businesses and governments within the economy.

To model the economy, we need

by **LLOYD JAMES**


to make some assumptions on how they act. We assume that households will maximise their benefits, businesses will maximise their profit and governments will maximise the welfare of their citizens. This is how the neo-classical mixed economy is supposed to play out, with the households and businesses playing nicely together under the watchful eye of the government, who ensures that no one is being exploited and the environment is protected.

One of the biggest changes in economics in recent years is the idea of irrational behaviour. When Adam Smith first modelled the economy, he assumed that consumers were perfectly rational. They would always make choices that would be the best for themselves and society. They would not be influenced by others, would have perfect information about the products they buy and their impact on the environment. Businesses were also assumed to behave ethically; if they didn't then consumers would find out and stop buying their products which means that they would lose profit.

Behavioural economists predictably found out that consumers were not as rational as hoped and continuous scandals involving big businesses shows that we keep buying products from companies despite their unethical behaviour.

With these assumptions, it is the irrational behaviour of households and the unethical behaviour of businesses that have created the problems of the world. The destruction of the environment is caused by our irrational behaviour and we are to blame for the inequality as households like to buy the cheapest products from larger businesses.

This brings us to how a technocracy is supposed to solve this problem. You will notice that governments got a good deal as an economic agent, as they are assumed to benevolently maximise the welfare of their citizens. Logically with this assumption, a bigger government would be better to allocate resources and solve the problem of irrational consumers and unethical businesses. Businesses have grown much bigger than when



Adam Smith first invented the term capitalism and a bigger government is needed to keep the bigger businesses in check. Competition within markets has decreased as there are now fewer firms that dominate almost all of the major industries.

Businesses are also now worldwide, so a world government would also logically be needed. This ever-increasing business size and bigger government is also coupled with an ever-increasing concentration of ownership of companies by investment firms. This means that under corporate governance, many business decisions will be influenced by their shareholders, and the same shareholders own most of the large firms.

A technocracy is formed by the natural progression of the factors of production to be owned by the governments, the large multinational businesses and the large investment companies. This progression occurs as large businesses and investment companies gain more money as their profits rise in comparison to small businesses and households, giving them an advantage when buying

assets. Therefore, eventually we will own nothing and be happy.

The large businesses and investment firms are considered best at deciding how and what to produce in a technocracy due to their economies of scale.

Economies of scale are the advantages that large businesses gain, such as the ability to lower the costs of production as they are able to include more technology; they have better management and can gather more information about their customers than small businesses, so arguably they can offer better products.

Large investment firms gather big data - such as Aladdin created by Blackrock - and keep the large businesses efficient through the threat of selling shares if efficiency falls. This creates a system where big data and economies of scale mean that we no longer need the information from free markets to decide the allocation of resources, because they would already have all the information they need.

The problem of environmental damage can be solved by world government agreements or a world governing system. The idea is

that with so much technology and regulation, the average person will work less and make fewer irrational decisions. We will have more time for relaxation and leisure. We will be kept controlled, safe and entertained by the experts.

As Schwab suggests, we are at a crossroads. On one hand, a technocracy can offer us an easy way out of the problems that we have. We can pass on the responsibility for our economic choices and allow the government and technical specialists to decide what goods and services we use. The problems of inequality, climate change and inflation are solved, as governments and large businesses can closely monitor what, how and who we produce for. According to those at Davos, this increase in efficiency and safety is worth the loss of freedom and individual choice.

On the other hand, is there an alternative system that could work without our losing our freedoms and choices? It will be more work, but the result could be much, much better. To do this, we will next month explore the opposing system suggested by the Austrian school of economics.

Build new
communities
as well as
getting others
to 'wake up'

Perhaps we wrongly believed we were engaged in the final battle for our rights during the height of the covid coup, but it turns out this was just part of a much wider war

A cartoon illustration of a man with blonde hair, wearing a red sweater and blue pants, running away from a brick building. The building has a yellow sign that reads "VACCINATION CLINIC". The man is running towards the right, looking back over his shoulder with a worried expression. The building is made of red bricks and has a blue door. There is a green bush in the foreground and a large green tree in the background. The sky is blue.

"GO TOWARDS THE LIGHT"



 This is misleading. Find out why health officials consider COVID-19 vaccines safe for most people. 

The Creation Of Soviet Ireland

THE phrase 'colour revolution' originated with the Ukrainian 'Orange Revolution' of 2004, the orange element deriving from the election colour of Viktor Yushchenko, the pro-Western challenger, whose supporters staged a mass protest following his defeat in an election, alleging voter fraud, resulting in a second vote, which saw Yushchenko elected as president. Since then, many revolutions of recent years - including earlier ones, the 'Velvet Revolution' in Czechoslovakia in 1989 - have been recast as 'colour revolutions'.



The culmination of the colour revolution: celebrating the slaughter of the unborn in 2018

JOHN Waters on the colour revolution that has brought totalitarianism to Ireland

But gradually the term 'colour revolution' has come to mean something more precise: the intervention of Western powers, especially the United States, in the political affairs of other countries - for example the more recent Ukrainian coup of 2014. Generally, these revolutions are seen as having been fomented by the American Deep State as a way of undermining governments perceived as unfriendly, in countries offering strategic or economic advantage to the US government and/or the West more generally.

Sometimes these - in effect - coup d'états, involved forms of military intervention, but mostly they were 'soft' revolutions in which the political system of a country was, in effect, captured and adapted to the needs of the superior power. Something along these lines happened in Ireland in 2011, a year that began a decade of unprecedented political, cultural and constitutional change for a country that, up to that point, had believed itself to be a stable modern democracy, culminating in

the Covid psyop of 2020.

Ireland's colour revolution was as soft as a summer breeze. It occurred almost immediately after the historic humiliating 2010 visitation of the 'Troika', the representatives and the IMF, World Bank and the European Commission, who arrived to accept the surrender of Irish economic sovereignty in the wake of the financial meltdown of 2008.

The purpose of the 'unseen revolution' was to complete the transformation of Ireland into an embryonic communist country. In the wake of the humiliating visit of the Troika, Ireland was ripe for such a takeover, having for years hosted multiple US transnationals, including pharmaceutical companies stretching back to the 1970s.

More recently, Dublin had for tax reasons become the European HQ of most of the American tech companies, including Google, Twitter, Facebook and Apple. By then, mass migration into Ireland had been in train for a decade and was accelerating steadily beneath the radar of public attention.

A colour revolution has five

phases. The first is aimed at spreading a mood of crisis - leveraged or contrived - in which a narrative of failure is sown, oppositional voices are attacked and marginalised, and further crises are orchestrated to maintain the pressure.

There follows the 'chaos, confusion and fear' stage, essentially a sustained information war, involving propaganda, demonisation of past values and alternative voices, and fake whistleblowers to undermine potentially unfriendly institutions.

The third phase instils division and polarisation, by means of labelling, gaslighting, and partitioning of the community into different imposed mindsets, targeting in particular bonds community and family.

All this is calculated to create a mood of apathy and disillusionment phase, in which the population is well and truly divided and silenced. Finally comes the 'crescendo' stage, where a major crisis is orchestrated and extremists are let loose into the public square to accelerate the mayhem. These phases can occur

sequentially or together.

It is possible to track these processes through several significant events of 2011, the year when Enda Kenny ascended to the office of Taoiseach. These events together form a convincing picture of a choreographed management of events to coach the Irish people into an appropriate mindset and ensure that nothing happened to divert the plan.

A key incident at the beginning of the year was the sudden collapse of the promised new party, Democracy Now - centred on several high-profile media personalities - which, having led people up the garden path to believing there would be a viable non-political alternative to the mainstream parties, blocked up the hallway of hope right up to the triggering of the election campaign in January, and then folded its tent and walked away leaving the field to the usual suspects. Thus, the potential for any lasting impact on the shapes of Irish politics arising from the economic collapse of 2008, and its horrific aftermath and consequences, was lost. The status quo still reigned.

But the true initiating event of the Irish colour revolution was Enda Kenny's consequent coming to power - or rather, to office - in February of 2011. Kenny was a political figure of 35 years' familiarity in Irish public life, a figure utterly devoid of philosophy or principle, and therefore a perfect figurehead for the final sell-out of Ireland. It was notable that, in the summer of that year, Kenny made a new mark for himself by appearing to refute his previous image as a conservative Catholic by launching a series of attacks on the Church, including his peremptory closure of the Irish embassy to the Vatican in November.

Another crucial week occurred from Tuesday 17th to Sunday 22nd of May, six days in which Ireland hosted two state visits, one by the Queen of England, the other by US President Obama. Both visits carried profound messages concerning the past and future of Ireland, and together result in a sort of 'mass formation grind', as though directed at correcting Irish backwardness for its 'elevation' to a new level.

The explicit theme of Queen Elizabeth's visit was something like 'Out with the old/In with the new'. Her speeches seemed tailored to provide a new psychological road map for the Irish population, being sprinkled with weasel words, evasions, euphemism and circumlocutions designed to depict the Irish historical experience as some kind of mutual misunderstanding.

She spoke about the 'painful legacy' affecting Ireland and Britain, and about 'the complexity' and 'the weight' of 'our history', as though Ireland and Britain were still one country. She spoke, too, about the importance of 'being able to bow to the past but not being bound by it'.

On becoming US President, Obama had launched an unprecedented attack on the American Constitution, and so

was a perfect ally for people with designs on Bunreacht na hÉireann.

An odd episode occurred shortly after Obama's departure, when Enda Kenny was accused of 'plagiarising' his guest's victory address of 2008 in his speech of welcome at Dublin's College Green.

The plagiarism accusation was ludicrous: Kenny, or rather his speechwriter, was merely openly reprising Obama's first statement as US president-in-waiting, as a nod of homage, a playful pastiche. But he was also doing something more dubious: deferring to Obama as the symbol and leader of something like a millennial utopia of 'diversity', to which he was pledging Ireland's allegiance. 'If there's anyone out there, Kenny said - without referring to the origin of the sentence he was speaking, 'who still doubts that Ireland is a place where all things

are possible, who still wonders if the dream of our ancestors is alive in our time, who still questions our capacity to restore ourselves, to reinvent ourselves and to prosper, well, today is your answer.'

The idea that a black American president might represent the 'answer' to the dreams and sacrifices of Ireland's dead generations seems absurd on its face. But the idea of Ireland requiring to 'reinvent' itself for this is actually sinister. In retrospect, Kenny's borrowing of Obama's own words reveals itself as some kind of pointed pledge - not so much to the people of Ireland or the world in general, but to the visiting US president himself. 'We are with you', it seemed to say. 'We will follow, we will change to meet your demands of us.'

That same summer, incidentally, the Irish Times appointed a new



The 2011 visit by Queen Elizabeth was designed to rewrite our painful history

editor to replace the retiring Geraldine Kennedy - the weak, incompetent nonentity, Kevin O'Sullivan, who, like Kenny, offered outside interests a malleable instrument by which to manipulate Irish public opinion.

It is notable that Fine Gael has pretty much dominated all governments of Ireland since 2011, certainly up to summer 2020, after which it entered a coalition with Fianna Fáil. In this period, an entirely new dispensation, ideologically speaking, existed in plain sight, lightly disguised as some kind of 'progressive' awakening of the populace, but in reality pursuing the predatory ambitions of outsiders.

By 2020, of course, the leader of Fianna Fáil, Micheál Martin would have become fully aware of the new facts of life - that Ireland would never again be run in the old way - which is in part why he glided unprotesting into the role of Number 2 to Varadkar, even while bearing the title 'Taoiseach'.

The election of Michael D. Higgins as President the following November, following the evisceration of the leading candidate, Seán Gallagher, delivered an able accomplice to Fine Gael. At a moment when politics had fallen into previously unimaginable levels of disrepute, Gallagher emerged as favourite because he was the nearest viable candidate to a non-politician.

He was as though a blank slate on to which the voters might sketch their visions of the future. But, as he coasted ahead of the pack with less than a week to go, his chances were scuppered by an ambush on an RTÉ current affairs show involving the host, Pat Kenny and the Sinn Féin candidate, the late Martin McGuinness. Gallagher was fatally damaged and Higgins sneaked up

on the left flank to win.

The following year, 2012, saw the so-called Children's Referendum, the first seismic hammer-blow to the Constitution of Ireland in a decade-long onslaught that was to see two further referendums within six years. The cumulative effect was to decimate the meanings of the Fundamental Rights section of the constitutional text. These assaults were followed by the Covid coup of 2020, which more or less finished off the last residues of Ireland's independence and constitutional autonomy.

This breakdown provides context for pretty much everything that has happened in the public life of Ireland in the past decade or so, all of which otherwise seems to be mere derangement. No matter what the event or episode, you can place it on the chart. The Pantigate affair, for example, in January 2014, which launched the LGBT assault on marriage, parenthood and family, might be identified as part of the second phase, the 'shock and awe' stage of the information war, involving propaganda and the demonisation of alternative voices, all leading to the planned biological/psychological assault of the transgender agenda.

This is why nothing that has since happened in our public realm makes sense - why everything appears contradictory and tending towards meaninglessness. The coming winter will see the culmination of a dozen years of plotting, scheming and undermining of Irish constitutional and democratic structures, to be followed up next year with two referendums targeting private property and ownership of water, marking the beginning of the final stage of the unseen revolution, ultimately leading to the birth of Soviet Ireland.



Pantigate launched the LGBT assault on marriage, parenthood and the family

Digital Weapons

The only way to
control the entire
all of nature to
and all products
only available
electron

Social Credit Scoring

Rolling out in China and Italy, and companies are already having to deal with this coercion in the form of 'ESG investing' - if they don't play along, they go bankrupt. Now take it to a personal level - if you don't want to clap at 8pm every Thursday for example, you will be docked points and lose privileges.

RESIST: All attempts at collectivisation. Be an individual.

24/7 Surveillance

There are already more cameras everywhere than ever before, but biometrics such as facial recognition will turn the world into a panopticon prison with nowhere to hide, and georestriction becomes entirely possible with armed drones.

RESIST: Organise to stop councils erecting 5G towers and cameras.

Automation/AI

Those who don't value privacy because they 'have nothing to hide' are missing the point: Human Online censorship: From IRELAND and Europe. Computer code will monitor everything you do, and restrict you according to the live data from your digital ID.

RESIST: Refuse to use and allow automation wherever possible.

Digital Currency

If we have a completely cashless society, then everything will be enforceable by simply tying your digital wallet to your ID, allowances and scores. If you've run out of credits for what you want, you will not be allowed to purchase it.

RESIST: Use cash all the time to keep the infrastructure in place.

Personal Carbon Allowance

As in ancient times, today people are expected to make sacrifices to appease the weather gods. Now, as then, it is based on a myth in order to control the people and keep the priesthood in increasing power and luxury.

RESIST: Research the faulty 'settled science' that CO2 causes climate change - 'the great global warming swindle'.

Online Censorship

From Britain and Europe to Canada, Australia and elsewhere, governments are either passing or are trying to pass laws which will limit the power of the government and big corporate views. Here is the

RESIST: Lobby your representatives to

Smart technology is not for our benefit - a dig

For A Silent War

to monitor and
fire world is for
to be digitised,
ts and services
ble through
ic means

Digital ID From Birth

Governments claim digital identities will be as secure and trusted as official documents such as passports and driving licences but this is not the case. Your ID will tell the world exactly who you are and how compliant a community member.

RESIST: Lobby against all moves for a digital ID.

Automated Vehicles

The government plans to phase out petrol/diesel cars and replace them with electric vehicles which will facilitate their plans for connected and automated mobility. In other words, you will only be able to go where they say and no further.

RESIST: Don't drive electric cars and avoid automation.

Social Impact Investing

Here's where data capitalism gets really sinister. A market where AI would farm millions of people as livestock data commodities, using 5G and the Internet of Everything along with 360 biometric surveillance and your entire digital twin data from your ID, in real time.

RESIST: Stop giving your data freely to giant internet companies.

The Internet of Everything

The internet is a great tool, but if everything is online, including us, then there will be literally no escape. Smart streetlights, smart motorways, smart tv's, smartphones - replace 'smart' with 'spy' to see the truth of a nightmare dystopian world being put in place to fully track and control us.

RESIST: Stop using devices that can watch and listen to you.

Smart Cities

Imagine everything in your city is automated, from supermarkets to car parks to venues and restaurants. Your face is the only way to get in, which AI scans to access your ID file and see if you have enough carbon and social credits. If you don't, you will simply be refused, and there is no arguing with a computer programme that says no.

RESIST: This is Agenda 2030 - lobby councils in numbers.

Laws

and New Zealand, governments everywhere have will restrict any speech which goes against official the one Orwell specifically warned us about.

maintain freedom of speech.

ital prison from which there will be no escape

The U.S. may be the final battleground for freedom

by **SERENA WYLDE**

The covid-19 military project will unravel where it started

IN 1961, President Dwight Eisenhower issued this warning: ‘In the councils of government, we must guard against the acquisition of unwarranted influence, whether sought or unsought, by the military-industrial complex. The potential for the disastrous rise of misplaced power exists and will persist’.

Reiner Fuellmich, the consumer protection trial lawyer, and his legal colleague Viviane Fischer, who together founded the Corona Investigative Committee, have for the past two years interviewed hundreds of scientists, physicians, economists, researchers and statisticians to gather evidence to hold to account the perpetrators of a mass illusion. This illusion was projected on society using psychological terrorism and physical threats, and culminated in the coercive administration of dangerous injections. Reiner believes the unravelling of the web of lies and deception will occur first in the U.S. He is not alone in predicting the house of cards will collapse at the place of its conception.

Reiner’s reasons are of a practical nature. There has been a constant stream of lawsuits filed against illegal mandates resulting in some well-written decisions by judges, as he believes that in the U.S., ‘at least part of the judiciary still functions’. One of the most successful legal challenges was the one brought by Public Health and Medical Professionals for Transparency (PHMPT) against the FDA, which forced the release of the Pfizer clinical trial documents they had wanted to hide for 75 years.

Across the country, organisations and groups of



individuals and employees have generated a critical mass of class actions against district, state and government authorities, public health and school authorities, hospitals, airlines, and any authority acting ultra vires (beyond its powers) to impose illegal requirements. The plaintiffs have argued government overreach, discrimination against religious beliefs, violations of the Constitution and, thanks to the whistle-blower, Brook Jackson, Pfizer is having to answer allegations of fraud under the False Claims Act.

This is how momentum builds. The stream becomes a river and then bursts its banks, and civil lawsuits, and even criminal prosecutions, may soon flood the courts. The judiciary itself will then naturally align with public opinion. For a year, the Biden administration has been pretending that the Pfizer BioNTech covid-19 vaccine being administered to the American people is fully approved by the FDA. But like so much in this illusion, it is sleight of hand. What was approved by the FDA on 23rd August 2021 was a different version of the shot, to be marketed as the Pfizer Comirnaty covid-19 vaccine, which was not, and is not available to the American population. But to allegedly overcome the “vaccine hesitancy”

of 30% of the population, Biden himself announced that the Pfizer covid-19 vaccines were now fully approved, and this deception was used to reinforce certain mandates. All four covid-19 vaccines in use in the U.S. remain under Emergency Use Authorisation (EUA).

Also underpinning the legal endeavours, Reiner Fuellmich opines, is the 2nd Amendment of the U.S. Constitution (the right to bear arms); and whilst he never warmed to it, in this particular moment it constitutes a balance of power, because he estimates that between 40-50% of the American population will not tolerate more mandates, possibly planned for this Autumn.

In 1776, the colonists felt oppressed by onerous taxes imposed by a remote government in which they had no representation, and so they asserted their values, set out in three simple truths, that were to become the founding principles of a new independent nation, providing recourse for any future abuse of power or deviation into tyranny:

- That all men are created equal
- That all men have their rights given by God
- Those rights are of life, liberty

and the pursuit of happiness

And for those who place their trust in the laws of natural justice, there is something intrinsically just in the notion that where the evil began, there shall it be first repelled.

The final two chapters of Robert F. Kennedy Jr.’s *The Real Anthony Fauci* explain the dark mechanisms of America’s post-war domestic and foreign policy. Chapter 11 is entitled *Hyping Phony Epidemics: “Crying Wolf”*, and chapter 12, *Germ Games*. In 2001, a smallpox simulation named ‘Dark Winter’ was staged. Issues of public health never entered the equation; the focus was the quandary about how to impose control over the U.S. and world populations during public health emergencies, and how to sweep away civil rights and impose mass obedience to military and medical technocrats. In essence, how to impose martial law on long-established democracies with built-in checks and balances.

In January of 2003, and again in 2005, a cabal of U.S. and European military, intelligence, and medical officials germ-gamed another exercise they called ‘Atlantic Storm’. Characteristically, it designed militarised strategies including how to use police powers to detain and quarantine citizens; how to control messaging

by deploying propaganda, and imposing censorship to silence dissent; how to mandate masks and lockdowns, conduct track-and-trace surveillance of potentially reluctant populations; and how to suspend civil rights and due process rule-making, in favour of diktats by health authorities, all aimed at coercive vaccination of the population. These rehearsals continued throughout the 2000s.

Important to understand is that the term ‘bio-security’ is a euphemism for biological warfare, and that the Pentagon/Fauci gain-of-function programmes are predatory in nature. Coercive vaccination is a CIA military objective, a foreign policy tool in the U.S. 21st century empire ambition. Between 1947 and 1989, the CIA were involved in more than seventy coups d’état across a third of the world’s countries. Many of these were functioning democracies.

In 1998, Robert Kadlec created an internal strategy paper for the Pentagon, promoting the development of pandemic pathogens as a stealth weapon to be used against its enemies without leaving fingerprints:

“Biological weapons, under the cover of an endemic or natural disease occurrence, provide an attacker the potential for plausible denial. Biological warfare’s potential to create significant economic losses and consequent political instability, coupled with plausible deniability, exceeds the possibilities of any other human weapon.”

The lull in restrictions on everyday life over the summer should not blind anyone to the fact we are at war. The autumn will likely bring more planned disruption to our lives, whether by financial and economic collapse, renewed manufactured virus outbreaks, or other grand deceptions, leading to more draconian laws and restrictions.

But these are signs of desperation. We can and must weather the storm. The U.S. may lead the way, the UK could be next, and the EU countries might take a bit longer but will follow, moving us closer to freedom.

Norwegian woman faces jail for stating ‘men cannot be lesbians’

by PAUL BENNETT

Police investigating tweets claiming men cannot be lesbians

A NORWEGIAN self-described feminist is facing up to three years in prison due to a number of no-nonsense common sense tweets, asserting that men cannot be lesbians.

Between February 2021 and January 2022, Christina Ellingsen, a member of the global feminist organisation Women’s Declaration International (WDI), tweeted, “why (does) FRI teach young people that males can be lesbians? Isn’t that conversion therapy?”

Her not-so-controversial tweets were directed at Christine Marie Jentoft, a representative for a Norwegian trans-female activist group Foreningen FRI. Jentoft was born a biological male but now identifies as a lesbian woman.

Another tweet that has reportedly being deemed as hate speech read: “Jentoft, who is male and an advisor in FRI, presents himself as a lesbian - that’s how bonkers the organisation which supposedly works to protect young lesbians’ interests is. How does it help young lesbians when males claim to be lesbian, too?”

In response to the investigation, Ellingsen spoke with Reduux, a pro-woman, pro-child, safeguarding online news platform. She said: “I am under police investigation for campaigning for women’s rights, because to certain groups, the fact that women and girls are female and that men cannot be women, girls, mothers or lesbians, is considered hateful.”

“Women are not protected against hate speech in Norway, but men who claim to be lesbian and a woman, are protected both on the grounds of gender identity and on



the grounds of sexual orientation.”

“The fact that police are legally able to investigate and persecute women who engage in women’s rights is concerning. This is new territory in Norway, so the outcome of the investigation is important, both if the case is dismissed and if it leads to a trial.”

Faux do-gooders Amnesty International Norway also got in on the act by accusing Ellingsen of harassing Jentoft during a TV debate that centred around the topics of biological sex, language, and trans health. During the debate Ellingsen said to Jentoft: “You are a man. You cannot be a mother. To normalise the idea that men can be mothers is a defined form of discrimination against women.” Ellingsen alleges that Jentoft filed a complaint to the Norwegian police after the TV discussion.

Norway introduced gender identity into the hate crimes law in 2021.

Ellingsen told Reduux: “Women make up the majority of those who donate to charities. Do women realise what they support when they [donate to] Amnesty? I think that by backing the most

exploitative industries against women, and by supporting the erasure of women as a sex-class, Amnesty might be making a mistake.”

In an interview with Tucker Carlson, Ellingsen said: “You can’t defend women if you can’t define what a woman is.”

One of Ellingsen critics is a professor of ethics at Oslo called Ole Martin Moen. In 2015, he published a controversial paper titled *The Ethics of Pedophilia*, which was published in the *Nordic Journal of Applied Ethics*. In the opening abstract of the paper, Moen said: “Pedophilia is bad. But how bad is it? And in what ways, and for what reasons, is it bad?”. He also called for the normalisation of paedophilia to be taught in schools. The professor currently serves on the board of a trans-activist lobby group in Norway.

Once again, George Orwell was prophetic when he said, “the further a society drifts from the truth, the more it will hate those that speak it.” Speaking common sense and stating the obvious will soon be seen as a revolutionary, and criminal, act.



French farmer wins court case over 4G phone tower

by PAUL BENNETT

40 of 200 livestock died after mast installation

A RESOLUTE farmer in central eastern France recently won a battle in court, resulting in a court order requiring local authorities to temporary turn off a 4G antenna for two months, over animal welfare concerns on his farm.

According to Mr Frederic Salgues, since the installation of the 4G antennas in July 2021 - 200 metres from his farm - 40 of his 200-strong herd had died; his herd were feeding less; and milk production had decreased by nearly 20 per cent, within days of the cell phone tower being switched on. He added, “there are no medical elements that could [otherwise] explain this brutal drop in milk production.”

In May 2022, the administrative court in Clermont-Ferrand ruled in favour of the French farmer by stating that, “it is appropriate to order the temporary cessation of the operation of this antenna for a period of two months, taking into account its general impact, with monitoring, by the judicial expert, of the behaviour of the herd, and

of the dairy cows in particular, during this period.”

The mobile network operators who installed the 4G antenna now have three months to act on the court hearing recommendations. A judicial expert has been tasked with monitoring and supervising the entire process, to record data and compile a detailed report in their findings to see if there is a definite link between the antenna and the odd behaviour from his livestock.

In 2021, in a separate incident regarding 5G technology in France, two Catholic monks based near Lyon were arrested for setting fire to a 5G antenna. According to Agence France-Presse (AFP), the men admitted to the crime, telling a local prosecutor that they acted to “protect the population from the harmful effects of 5G.”

After the positive court ruling, Mr Salgues told AFP News, that he hoped his cows would be able to “recover as soon as possible” and called the case ruling, “a major relief, redemption and a victory.”

In Europe and beyond, farmers are leading the charge against authoritarianism, and are proving a force to be reckoned with.



‘Stalin’s nanny’ appointed to the World Health Organisation

by PAUL BENNETT

Michie takes on top role covering behavioural insights

BRITISH scientist and longstanding Communist party member, Susan Michie, has been appointed Chair of the World Health Organisation’s Technical Advisory Group on Behavioural Insights and Sciences for Health. Professor Michie was a member of a sub-group advising SAGE, the UK government’s health advisory body that imposed draconian lockdowns and mandates.

The health psychology professor was a keen advocate and zealot in trying to bring about stringent ‘zero covid’ communist-style restrictions in the UK, including a Christmas lockdown. Michie was a regular contributor on mainstream TV and radio, with constant doom-laden forecasts. She once likened opening up society again to building “terrifying new variant factories.”

In an interview on Channel 5 in 2021, when pressed about the timeline of masks and social distancing, she said: “I think forever, to some extent.” During the same interview, she compared and normalised covid restrictions to wearing a seatbelt and picking up dog poo in a park.

Michie was a key player in weaponizing fear by using covert psychological tactics on the population to push the covid narrative in the UK. It comes as no shock that the WHO would appoint the ilk of Susan Michie to their ranks. Recently re-elected to serve a five-year term, Director-General of the WHO, Tedros Adhanom, also has a questionable communist past.

Originally from Ethiopia, he



grew up in the northern Tigray region and became a member of the communist-leaning Tigray People’s Liberation Front (TPLF). He rose through the ranks of the TDLF party, leading to important roles in the Ethiopian government - first as health minister and then as foreign minister.

A former Nobel Peace Prize nominee, David Steinman, has accused Tedros of genocide for his alleged role in directing security forces to kill and torture its own people from 2013-2015. Steinman has lodged a complaint at the International Criminal Court in the Hague. Tedros has denied the allegations.

Steinman claimed that while Tedros was in a senior government position, the regime was “marked by widespread or systematic crimes against humanity by subordinates”. Steinman was a foreign advisor to the Ethiopian democracy movement for 27 years.

Tedros is a close ally of the communist regime in China, and heaped undeserved praise on their initial covid response back in January-February 2020. He hailed the Chinese response to covid as “beyond words”. It is no coincidence that China has made financial contributions to both the Ethiopian aid budget, and to the WHO while Tedros has been in charge.

It should therefore come as little surprise that the WHO have selected a self-confessed communist to their ‘Nudge Unit’. Susan Michie will fit right in with her new comrades.



Spanish courts pave way for future digital ID

Court rejects action to stop state coercion

What a difference four years can make. In the autumn of 2017, the Catalan regional government, the Generalitat, stood defiantly against its Spanish counterpart in its quest for self-determination.

Fast-forward to the winter of 2021, and its latest act of defiance was to introduce the vaccine passport, brazenly contravening the Spanish Constitution on the grounds of discrimination, curtailment of freedom, and deprivation of intimacy through the enforced divulgence of private medical data as a condition of entry to public establishments. Six months later, the Spanish High Court of Justice has effectively sided with them.

Catalonia was one of eleven out of the seventeen autonomous regions in Spain which voted to implement the illegal measure. When the digital covid passport was introduced on November 26, 2021, its inception had to be delayed for a week due to the overload of downloads.

Even those who disagreed with it felt they could not afford to be without it, prizing social inclusion and Christmas-as-usual above the sinister implications of state coercion. Fearing reprisals and financial penalties, the majority of establishments complied and immediately began barring their unjabbed clientele. Meanwhile, the jabbed packed into bars and restaurants like sardines.

The response among the awakened was swift: a weekly protest march, several thousand strong, severed the centre of Barcelona every Saturday night, along with similar demonstrations in most other major cities. Of course, the media ignored these, with only one short TV news item referring to ‘a couple of thousand negacionistas (deniers)’. But they were gaining support, people were cheering from their balconies and these supporters began to outnumber those abusing the protesters as they passed by.

We became organised, and within a few weeks, several

lawsuits had been filed. A Telegram group, started by a handful of people in our village, had more than a thousand members two days later. Forty of us came forward to file our own lawsuit against the Generalitat, citing the numerous national and international acts and treaties it was violating.

In fact we filed five - our lawyers felt that dividing ourselves into a five-pronged attack would be a stronger tactic. Given the rocky political relationship between the Spanish state and the Generalitat, particularly in the light of the 2017 push for independence, we hoped that the Spanish justice system may again seek to punish the Generalitat by revoking its passport.

We then formed an association, the Association for Resources for Freedom, to crowdfund legal costs and also offer resources and training to members in areas such as common law, children’s rights and social media censorship.

The lawsuit passed through its various judicial stages, the first of which consisted of our request for the immediate revocation of the passport, given its unconstitutional nature. This was not well received either by the Generalitat or the High Court, who responded by imposing costs of €2,000 on all five of our groups. This was a highly irregular and unusual move, given that no costs had been incurred by any party at this preliminary stage, but it sent out a clear message that they were going to play dirty. Crowdfunding €10,000 so early in the process would be a tall order, so on the advice of our lawyers, we proceeded with only one of the five lawsuits.

By this time, the vaccine passport had been revoked, having lasted a day over two months, the Generalitat being forced to admit it had not been effective. This slightly shifted the focus of our action. We were now campaigning to try and ensure that any punishment the Generalitat received would deter them from ever reintroducing the measure.

Unfortunately our story has no happy ending – the High Court ruled in favour of the Generalitat, that the measure had been taken in good faith, effectively applauding them for taking appropriate action.

The Constitutional Court,

by DAVE HOLMES

which in 2017 had condemned the declaration of Catalan independence as unconstitutional, resulting in the exile of the President and the ruthless imprisonment of nine Catalan politicians, made no criticism of the unconstitutional act of enforcing an experimental medical procedure.

They simply gave the Spanish government a slap on the wrist for breaking legislative protocol in allowing the regional governments to approve such measures. In our case, as a nod to the plaintiffs, no further costs were imposed by the High Court in recognition of our right to challenge a legislative impropriety, but this was worded in such a way as to imply that it was a deterrent not to pursue the matter further through the Supreme or European courts.

The 2022 reform of the Spanish National Security Law, granting the government powers to seize any goods or property in an arbitrarily-declared ‘state of emergency’, clearly illustrates that the central government is no friend of the people. Imagine how difficult it would be for them to enforce their own future vaccine passport had they condemned one of their regional governments for doing so.

The members of our group involved in the remaining lawsuit were advised by our lawyers that to continue would be to risk severe financial penalties, with the Supreme Court highly unlikely to overturn the ruling of the High Court or waive costs a second time. However, the costs we incurred for calling for an abolition of the passport are currently subject to an ongoing appeal.

The tide of public interest had also turned, with most people’s sense of outrage having waned since the passport had disappeared. The outcome of the case is simply another example of how political and ideological differences can easily be put aside among the ruling institutions when the people are the enemy. The people should act likewise.

■ **Dave Holmes, Asociación de Recursos por la Libertad (<https://recursosporla libertad.weebly.com/>)**

New initiative to combat hysteria of war

People don't want war but politicians do

WHAT is it that turns man into beast when a war breaks out?

In 1914, only five British MPs voted against declaring war on Germany, yet there were widespread suspicions of a secret deal between Britain and France in which Britain would send troops to France if it were attacked by Germany.

One of those MPs was Arthur Ponsonby, who later wrote a book called *Falsehood in War-Time*. He revealed not only the lies told during the war, but the lies told in order to get Britain into war in the first place. Had that been known for certain at the time, there would probably have been no war.

In 2012, an influential U.S. think tank, The Washington Institute for Near-East Policy, held a seminar under the title How to Build U.S.-Israeli Coordination on Preventing an Iranian Nuclear Breakout. In reality, it was about how to get the U.S. into a war with Iran.

The institute's research director, Patrick Clawson, stated: "I frankly think that crisis initiation is really tough, and it's very difficult for me to see how the United States President can get us to war with Iran".

He went on to summarise the traditional ways of getting to war, and concluded by saying: "We are in the game of using covert means against the Iranians" - and that they could get nasty with it.

It would appear that all wars are started by a Big Lie, which leads whole populations to believe that they are under an imminent threat from the other side. The invasion of Iraq was no exception, although Tony Blair was one of the few British Prime Ministers to get caught red-handed.

Even if there is no war, it seems some people are in a war mentality. They seem to believe they are acting out of patriotism, when that

by **IAN FANTOM**

sense of loyalty is not to the people of the country, but to the political and banking elite, who largely control the minds of the people.

Whole populations can believe different things, because they are trusting of those who hold authority in their respective countries. This is linked very much to language, which is not only a means of communication, but also a badge of identity.

The fighting in Ukraine is not explicitly about language, but language differences play an important role in the definition of 'two peoples'. When the language of one is suppressed by another, then one of the two peoples is being suppressed by the other. In Yugoslavia, Serbian and Croatian were previously thought of as two dialects of Serbo-Croat, until fighting broke out, when each of those two peoples had to be careful which words they used when mixing with the other.

Even in Northern Ireland, where they all spoke the same language, they didn't all identify with the same language. "Do you realise I don't speak my own language?" one Irishman once said to me.

Populations are kept separate, so as to minimise communication across boundaries. The authorities don't want their big lies to be revealed by their enemies. As former politician and social activist Arthur Ponsonby once said, lying is an integral part of warfare. The purpose may be to mislead the enemy, or it may be to harness the support of their own population.

But without the Big Lie preceding the outbreak of hostilities, there would be no war in the first place.

So to get even limited contact between people on both sides of a war can be quite challenging. Russia and the UK are effectively in a proxy war in Ukraine.

The August meeting of Keep Talking in London was possibly a first in bringing together people from both sides, with a video link to three Russians in Moscow and



Lord Ponsonby

St Petersburg, with a live audience in London. The three Russians had appeared in a 'List of Shame' produced in Poland, vilifying them as warmongers.

I later managed to get into some correspondence with the person who had drawn up that list, who told me that the names were given by 'others', and he couldn't himself give me the evidence. When I managed to contact one of the 'others' I was met with evasion and abuse.

All three Russians had been active in the Universal Esperanto Association, and the whole point of this movement was to enable ordinary folk on both sides of disputes to be able to communicate with each other outside the arena of language politics.

The founder of the movement, Dr L. L. Zamenhof, was dedicated to trying to understand the causes of war, and doing what he could to at least reduce the ferocity of the constant wars raging in Europe at that time.

He died in 1917, mystified at the cause of the First World War. In order to solve any conflict, one has to first listen to both sides. In practice, the present-day

Esperanto association is being nudged in the direction of typical peacenik groups in crying out "I'm against the war" without really understanding what that means.

The point of view of the Russians was that the war in Ukraine started in 2013, and was instigated by US sources in Maidan Square. That coup in Kiev was never accepted by the largely Russian-speaking population of Donbass, and so a civil war broke out. That was in theory resolved by the Minsk agreement, which allowed the largely Russian-speaking areas autonomy within Ukraine, and the right to use their own language. The Minsk agreement collapsed when Kiev put restrictions on the use of the Russian language, and bombed civilian populations in Donbass.

A video of the whole Keep Talking session, with constant interpretation between the two languages, can now be viewed online and it gives insights into how war hysteria is whipped up by some of the authorities, and how this can be combated by encouraging people to listen to both sides before being judgemental.

References

1. 'Arthur Ponsonby (1871-1946)', **Parliamentary website, UK** (<https://www.parliament.uk/globalassets/documents/WW1/Arthur-Ponsonby-1871-1946.pdf>)
2. 'FALSEHOOD IN WAR-TIME', **Arthur Ponsonby MP, 1929** (<http://www.vlib.us/wwi/resources/archives/texts/t050824i/ponsonby.pdf>)
3. 'How to Build U.S.-Israeli Coordination on Preventing an Iranian Nuclear Breakout', **Patrick Clawson, Dennis Ross, David Makovsky, The Washington Institute for Near East Policy, 2012-09-25** (<https://www.washingtoninstitute.org/policy-analysis/how-build-us-israeli-coordination-preventing-iranian-nuclear-breakout>)
4. 'How to Build U.S.-Israeli Coordination on Preventing an Iranian Nuclear Breakout', **video of [3]** (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fsvDWZTVP3E>)
5. 'Batalo pri la rusia ĉefdelegito', **La Libera Folio, 2022-08-02** (<https://www.liberafolio.org/2022/08/02/batalo-pri-la-rusia-cefdelegito/>)
6. 'Ukraine: What the Russians say / Ukrainio: Kion diras la rusoj', **video, 2022-08-04 [created], 2022-08-14 [posted], Keep Talking** (https://odysee.com/@iafantomoc/keep Talking_2022-08-04)

Contagion and infection - appearances can be deceptive

Take back responsibility for your health

THE most common question people ask when confronted with the statement that ‘germs’ are not the cause of disease is: if it isn’t a germ, then what is it?

This question is clearly based on the idea that there is a single identifiable causal agent for each disease, but this is not the case.

People also often ask about the contagiousness of diseases. This is based on a common experience in which a number of people in close proximity, such as family members, display the same symptoms at more or less the same time. This experience is believed to indicate that these people have all ‘caught’ the same disease. In other words, people believe that diseases are both infectious and contagious; but this too is not the case.

The origin of the word ‘contagion’ is the Latin *contagio*, which only refers to direct contact. But according to the Merriam-Webster dictionary, it is now regarded as involving indirect as well as direct contact. This definition allows the medical establishment to claim that so-called ‘infectious agents’ can spread both directly and indirectly, despite the fact that no mode of transmission has ever been proven.

The phenomenon of contagion is believed to be real, because of the common experience of simultaneous symptoms. But, as the adage states, appearances can be deceptive; an adage that holds true for the appearance of an infectious disease.

It is clear that this contradicts the ideas about infectious diseases promulgated by the medical establishment and the media. However, the medical establishment operates from the basis of a flawed understanding of the human body.

The symptoms associated with what are called infectious diseases represent the body’s efforts to expel toxins, repair damage and

by **DAWN LESTER & DAVID PARKER**

restore health. This is explained by Herbert Shelton in his book, *Natural Hygiene: Man’s Pristine Way of Life*, in which he discusses ‘acute disease’, a term that he refers to as, “vital action in some, one or all of the living tissues or organs in resisting and expelling injurious substances and influences and in repairing damages.”

The significance of this statement is that it demonstrates the true nature of disease and the purpose of the symptoms produced by the body.

It is therefore important to recognise that the symptoms each person experiences will be unique to them because of their unique exposures to the ‘injurious substances and influences’ that affect their bodies. However, within the same environment, many people may experience common exposures to them, explaining why they may experience similar symptoms when their bodies initiate the expulsion of those substances and influences.

Furthermore, contrary to the medical establishment view, the human body is an amazing, interconnected, self-regulating organism that functions holistically. Therefore, any substance or influence that injures one part can adversely affect the whole organism, as Herbert Shelton writes:

“The human organism is an indivisible whole, and anything that tends to interfere with the unity of its structure or the unity of its function becomes a factor in the causation of disease.”

Problems can only be solved by addressing and removing their root causes. But the methods employed by the medical establishment that involve the use of pharmaceutical products do not address and remove the root causes of disease, because they do not understand disease or the human body.

It is important to always bear in mind that each person is a unique individual who will experience exposures to a unique combination of injurious substances and influences that can cause their bodies to initiate the appropriate




Photo: kai Stachowiak

symptoms. The ability of each person’s body to initiate the symptoms required to expel toxins, repair damage and restore health will also depend on their unique health status.

There are clearly many injurious substances and influences to which people can be exposed. We refer to them in our book as the ‘four factors’, which are: inadequate nutrition, toxins, electro-magnetic frequencies and prolonged emotional stress.

The category of toxins includes a huge number and variety of toxic chemical compounds. We are often exposed to them on a daily basis, although mostly at low levels that do not necessarily produce symptoms. But many of these chemicals are bio-accumulative and slowly build up within the body to a level that requires expulsion. At this point, the body will initiate the appropriate symptoms to expel them.

Therefore, when groups of people experience similar symptoms at a similar time, which gives the appearance of contagion, it may be due to common exposure to ‘injurious substances or influences’. However, people may not all experience symptoms with the same severity and duration because this will depend on their

unique health status that will, in turn, depend on any other factors that may affect their health, whether beneficially or adversely.

There are other aspects to the category of ‘stress’ that need to be contemplated, because the term also refers to our belief systems, which include our thoughts, ideas and fears. This may even include a belief that diseases are contagious.

The placebo and nocebo effects are known phenomena that demonstrate the power of the mind; a topic that has been discussed by Dr Bruce Lipton PhD throughout his work for many decades. In his article entitled *Epigenetics*, he explains that we are all indoctrinated into the belief that we do not have control over our health. This means that we are made to feel that we are victims of germs that can infect us and cause diseases that can be spread to other people.

A belief in the transmissibility of disease gives rise to the anticipation of an adverse outcome when a person with whom we may come into contact displays symptoms of what is believed to be an infectious disease, such as sneezing or coughing, for example. The manifestation of symptoms will be taken as positive proof of the transmission of the germ

and strengthen the belief in the phenomenon of contagion.

But a belief in infection and contagion is not the only factor at play when people experience symptoms at a similar time. It is, however, an extremely important factor that has great relevance to our current situation, which is based on the idea that a deadly virus is circulating across the world causing untold harm and death, and can be transmitted between people.

This is an erroneous idea. There is no evidence to support it, nor is there any evidence that anyone can spread any condition of ill-health to another person. Each person’s health status is unique to them and to their beliefs and ideas, including their fears about diseases being contagious. This applies to all so-called infectious diseases and not just covid. There is no reason to continue to believe in such ideas.

This is key to bringing our current situation to an end, because people no longer need to be afraid of catching any disease or passing it to someone else. But we do need to learn how to take back control over, and responsibility for, our own health.

■ <https://whatreallymakesyouill.com/>

Uninformed Consent

‘It’s easier to fool people than to convince them that they have been fooled’ – Mark Twain

SO begins a two-part documentary by Matador Films, called *Uninformed Consent*. This is a must-watch film summarising the madness of the last two-plus years.

The first few minutes are shocking, told by an ordinary member of the public who has suffered an appalling tragedy due to the Covid tyranny. What has befallen him? You’ll have to watch it to find out.

The following two hours are a documentation of the horrors which have been inflicted on innocent men, women and children, most particularly the vaccine mandates issued in so many countries we had previously viewed as democracies, such as America, Canada, Australia and New Zealand.

We see footage of the tyrants in full flow; a particularly revolting moment is witnessing Justin Trudeau, Canada’s Prime Minister, talking to camera in a piece that urges four-year-old children to get covid ‘vaccinated’ as soon as they turn five. Watch him carefully as he issues this edict with a fake smile... he scratches his nose.

A seemingly innocuous gesture, but in poker players this would be known as a ‘tell’ - dictionary definition, ‘an unconscious action that is thought to betray an attempted deception.’

Dr Stephen Malthouse, one of the contributors to the documentary, reveals the shocking fact that in America there have been more adverse effects reported to VAERS (their version of our Yellow Card report) from covid shots than ALL other vaccines combined for 30 years. And yet still they don’t pull the it from the market, and indeed, continue to promote it!

As the story unfolds, we begin to see who is steering the ship. Trudeau tells us that “this pandemic has provided an opportunity for a reset”, presumably the Great Reset that his mentor Klaus Schwab talks about at the World Economic Forum. So


by **LOUISE K FRYER**

many world leaders singing from the same song sheet, all using the words ‘build back better’.

The documentary is peppered with famous quotes, some enlightening, others revealing. Benito Mussolini once said, “Fascism should more properly be called corporatism, because it is the merger of state and corporate

Stephen Malthouse, Dr Charles Hoffe and cardiologist Dr Peter McCullough. These professionals should be listened to and allowed to debate, but instead are censored and accused of being spreaders of misinformation. Please watch them and make up your own mind.

Maybe when the damage caused by the covid jabs builds ever more, the tide will turn. Dr Hoffe posits that what eventually ended the



power”, which fits completely with the agenda that is currently being followed. Dr Andrew Hill and Dr Tess Lawrie were working to make ivermectin an approved early treatment, hoping to prevent many deaths, but as the footage in the film shows, his enthusiasm dimmed and the conclusions of his review changed course. His university received 40 million dollars from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, but who knows if that had any bearing on it?

Gates, by the way, is the World Health Organisation’s biggest donor. The WHO is attempting to take unelected control of decision-making in the next pandemic.

Uninformed Consent features many eminent doctors who have been brave enough to speak truth to power, often at considerable cost to themselves. For example, Dr Mike Yeadon, Dr Robert Malone, Dr Byram Bridle, Dr

Vietnam War was public opinion; there were so many servicemen coming back in body bags that there was a tipping point, where the damage became too great to hide anymore.

Alan Cassels, author of *Selling Sickness* and former government drug policy researcher thinks one way forward is to “build demand amongst consumers to ask the hard questions” – in other words, let us begin the push to hold governments, big pharma and mainstream media to account. The time is long overdue.

The documentary ends with the epilogue ‘In Memory of Democracy’. Let us focus on retrieving it before it’s too late.

■ **Watch the film free here:**
<https://rumble.com/v1erlp3-uninformed-consent-matador-films-full-documentary.html>

No evidence that depression is caused by a chemical imbalance

by **PAUL BENNETT**

RESEARCHERS from University College London (UCL) have published eye-opening findings that may create a seismic shift in how we view and treat depression.

Joanna Moncrieff, lead author of the study, and professor of psychiatry at University College London said: “It is always difficult to prove a negative, but I think we can safely say that after a vast amount of research conducted over several decades, there is no convincing evidence that depression is caused by serotonin abnormalities, particularly by lower levels or reduced activity of serotonin.”

“The popularity of the ‘chemical imbalance’ theory of depression has coincided with a huge increase in the use of antidepressants...”

“Thousands of people suffer from side-effects of antidepressants, including the severe withdrawal effects that can occur when people try to stop them, yet prescription rates continue to rise.”

Co-author of the research, Dr Mark Horowitz, reacted to their findings by saying: “I had been taught that depression was caused by low serotonin in my psychiatry training, and had even taught this to students in my own lectures. Being involved in this research was eye-opening and feels like everything I thought I knew has been flipped upside down. It is high time to inform the public that this belief is not grounded in science.”

The UCL team also highlighted that many studies found that precipitating external factors, such as stressful life events, can increase the likelihood of depressive features. More pluralistic treatment options, such as psychotherapy, alongside practices such as exercise, self-care, and mindfulness, or addressing underlying perpetuating factors, such as poverty, stress and loneliness, can greatly help with low mood, said the researchers.

Dr Horowitz said: “One interesting aspect in the studies we examined was how strong an effect life events played in depression, suggesting low mood is a response to people’s lives, and

cannot be boiled down to a simple chemical equation. We believe the situation has been driven partly by the false belief that depression is due to a chemical imbalance.”

The ground-breaking umbrella peer-review meticulously examined all relevant studies and research published on depression and serotonin. The detailed review can be found in the scientific journal *Molecular Psychiatry*, under the title: ‘The serotonin theory of depression: a systematic umbrella review of evidence’.

The professor and consultant psychiatrist, Moncrieff, also questioned the efficacy of antidepressants by stating: “Our view is that patients should not be told that depression is caused by low serotonin or by a chemical imbalance, and they should not be led to believe that antidepressants work by targeting these unproven abnormalities. We do not understand what antidepressants are doing to the brain exactly, and giving people this sort of misinformation prevents them from making an informed decision about whether to take antidepressants or not.”

Irving Kirsch, an American psychologist, researcher and academic discussed the efficacy of antidepressants in his 2009 book called: *The Emperor’s New Drugs-Exploding the Antidepressant Myth*. He argued that the chemical imbalance theory of depression is wrong, and that antidepressants have minimal therapeutic effect on depression, but because of their common or serious side-effects, antidepressants can be powerful active placebos.

Antidepressant drugs rank third in pharmaceutical sales worldwide. According to a report from *Fortune Business Insights*, the global antidepressants market is projected to reach US \$18.29 billion by 2027.

It is high time that enlightened health professionals and service users question the psychiatric and Big Pharma industry over its inaccuracies, pseudoscience, and reliance on popping pills.

‘Still III’, a 1984 song by the band The Smiths, asked the curious question that we should all be asking: “does the body rule the mind, or does the mind rule the body?”

Awaken your inner warrior

Friend, you and I are not part of a new breed. We belong to a very old one, a proud and noble warrior race

MANY of us are turning our attention to what kind of future awaits us after the dust settles.

Of course, there are multiple ways this conflict could turn out.

If They manage to win, it would take a miracle. They do of course have many resources, but we know that those are mainly illusory. They control the mainstream narrative through the media and orthodox science.

All of that is, of course, built on another mass illusion: the entirely fake world of what is commonly known as money, where privately-owned central banks create imaginary credit out of thin air, then lend it to countries with interest. We are now beyond the point where all the assets of major countries could ever repay this debt, so the whole house of cards must soon come tumbling down.

But maybe They will find a way. Maybe They will bring in their central bank digital currency that threatens to switch off your ability to pay rent, buy fuel, or feed your family, if you criticise Big Brother or fall behind on your boosters.

Maybe the ever-present fears of invisible viruses and climate change will persuade enough of the public to resist that nagging doubt that their rulers are not really on their side... while the cost of living skyrockets, while their bank accounts are run dry, while their health collapses, while the last of their self-respect is drained away.

Maybe they'll keep a lid on their discontent. Maybe they will keep their heads down and obey.

Sure, it is a lot to imagine, a very long shot. But what else have They got? All they can do is double down and roll the dice one more time. There simply is no other choice, because fakery and lies are all they have. They have to try this one more time! They can only hope that enough of us step up and walk willingly into the slaughterhouse.

But I don't think enough of us will. Too many of us are already awake to the reality that we are being factory-farmed by psychopaths who do not, who cannot, care about us. What's more, many more are facing up to that reality every single day, and

by **BEN HUNT**

cutting their own cord.

Yes, we accept that They can only try the same old trick once again. It is all They have. But They need to accept that it is all too late, because we cannot submit once again. We just can't.

And I believe there can only be one outcome. We have to win, if for no other reason than there can be no alternative.

Of course, there is no alternative for Them either. We are now locked into this final battle, where, in fact, there is only one possible outcome. And yes, we must win.

I can back up this prediction with three simple, logical facts.

Fact number one: we are in the majority. We are the 99.99%.

Consider that the U.S. military employs two million personnel. How many people live in the United States? Three hundred and thirty-five million! That means civilians outnumber the military by over one hundred and fifty to one. And that's even assuming that all those serving personnel follow orders to commit war crimes against their own kin. Not likely!

Fact number two is that because we outnumber Them and all their minions by a staggering margin, we actually have all the real power. We can see this simply by observing the fact that all they use is propaganda, and all that propaganda serves to suggest that We The People must demand to be rescued from ourselves by a rescuer that will inevitably take the form of their New World Order.

Sure, the police and the courts occasionally exert some violence over the civilian population to remind us of our place. That's how bullies work: they have to make the odd example with a show of supposed strength. But imagine what happens when every other child in the schoolyard stands up together and says, "no, this stops now." That's right, it stops.

And now to the third fact, which is that something very significant has happened recently. This is something that They are surely aware of, but it is also something that they are now powerless to halt.

The third and final reason why we must win comes as a consequence of the way that They have repeatedly been forced to up the ante, piling more lies on top of their previous lies (Oh, what a tangled web they have woven!).

Because you know what they've done. They have pushed the bullshit



Photo: Gioele Fazzeri

too far. They have taken it beyond the realms of reason. They have forced us to wake up.

But wait, it gets worse, much worse... for Them.

You see, this is not like the normal way you wake up on a Monday morning after a relaxing weekend, and prepare for another week of wage-slavery. We are not waking up the same as when we went to bed the night before.

What is waking up is something far deeper, something that has slumbered for a very long time. By forcing us to face the truth about what They are and what They have been doing to us for millennia, They have inadvertently caused us also to wake up to a truth about who we really are.

When we truly accept this awakening, we find something remarkable when we look in the mirror. What we are faced with is not simply a refreshed version of who we were before.

It is a warrior.

You are a warrior. You come from a long line of warriors. Your ancestors were warriors.

Let's be clear. We are not talking about the modern warrior, the soldier who is psychologically conditioned to lose touch with their empathy, and employed to bully and to extort from

the weak, but quite the opposite.

A spiritual warrior does not avoid conflict at all costs, but will strive for the cause of peace and love and balance and justice... no matter the price.

Peace and justice are inseparable. You cannot have peace while there is injustice, so a true warrior cannot tolerate injustice. It is not a choice; it is who they are.

It is who you are. The injustice has infected human society to such an egregious extent that it has awakened your dormant inner warrior, and with it a new calling to fight for the cause of true, eternal, natural law. You have remembered who you are. Welcome home!

Your ancestors were warriors precisely because they knew their place in the world, and when you understand your place in the web of life, you realise that you are the web of life. You are the laws of nature. You are a living incarnation of justice and love, the whirling dance of life and death. You cannot tolerate injustice because it is against who you are at the most fundamental level.

Friend, you and I are not part of a new breed. We belong to a very old one, a proud and noble warrior race.

We are simply remembering the

truth that we are authentic humans, who always lived intimately with nature, plants and animals, the heavens and the seasons, with the cycles of life and with death.

I bet you can feel that quickening right now as you read these words. And if you read them again, you will feel it build, and that's because these words are speaking to a part of you that is real, ancient, and magical. A part of you that has always been there but has just been slumbering.

If there is any doubt that a true human is a warrior for justice, just reflect on all the movies about that very theme: from Bug's Life to Star Wars to Braveheart to The Matrix, the story of the rebel who stands up against tyranny is etched into our culture.

One reason for their popularity is that they speak to the true, archetypal human. Perhaps a more cynical reason is that it allows us to release our justice pressure valve by vicariously living out our warrior self-image through a fantasy.

Let's face the truth that we are warriors. we are now faced with a new kind of enemy. Also, we have little more to lose, which is bad news for Them.

■ <https://theredpillrevolution.com>

SIX LEGS GOOD
FOUR LEGS BAD



BoB '22

© THE DEMOCRACY FUND

Secrets of survival in the end times: A Biblical perspective

by **CHRISTOPHER SPARKES**

SLAPPED across the description of a YouTube video of The Daz Band performing ‘We Are The 99%’ in Glastonbury, was a fact-check sticker from Wikipedia stating: ‘The New World Order (NWO) is a conspiracy theory which hypothesises a secretly emerging totalitarian world government.’

The prophets of Elohim (The name of God as written in the original Hebrew bible) speak otherwise.

King David writes prophetically: “Kings of the Earth rise in rebellion and rulers conspire together” (Psalm 2/2, 83/3). Paul describes “prime rulers, forces of power, world rulers of this age of darkness, spirits of evil among the most eminent.” (Ephesians 6/12). World rulers. Kosmokrators. And John: “Kings of the Earth, the high-ranking, the military commanders, the rich, and the mighty” (Revelation 6/15-17, 19/17-18).

That these Kosmokrators and conspiring powers are contemporary is shown in the Apocalypse. On the imminence of Christ’s Kingdom the “magnates of the Earth” are committing genocide, deceiving the nations by “sorcery” (Revelation 18/23). Greek for “sorcery” is pharmakeia.

At the coming of the Kingdom of Christ, the Kosmokrator class will attempt pathetic warfare against Him. They end as feasts for birds: “Wherever there might be a carcass, eagles will be gathered” (Matthew 24/28, Revelation 19/17-18). The NWO has already lost.

The world governments and their brainwashing, hypnotising prolefeed-infomedia circus bombard us with the propaganda of fear and intimidation: domestic and international doom. Two lovely hot days in July are signalled as a CRISIS! Nanny State. The antidote, laughter: “He Who sits in the heavens laughs” (Psalm 2/4).

Jesus spoke of “wars and rumours of wars” (real wars, and media-created phony wars), “famines” (ruin of farmland, depleted shelves, anaemic soils), plagues (“pandemics”, cancer, wokeism, death and injury by pharmaceuticals), financed



revolutions (is there any nation without them now?), lawlessness (secularism, increased crime), love grown cold and betrayal (“eavesdropping little sneaks”: Orwell), false prophets (follow the pseudoscience). All this in tribulation greater than there has ever been (Matthew 24/4-21, Mark 13/7-13, Luke 21/9).

The four riders of the Apocalypse have been sent out to conquer the world for Babylon, the New World Order that Wikipedia maintains does not exist.

The first horse is red, I believe for the blood-lust of Communism. The Red Terror. The riders take peace from the Earth with a sword of destruction, causing war, unrest, collapse and chaos, food shortages, depressions, hyperinflation, economic ruin (small businesses), death through famine and persecution, take away pleasure (Revelation 6/4-9, Zechariah 1/8, 6/2).

Destructive policies through unelected quangos. Light for dark, lies becoming orthodoxy. And, we know now, geo-engineering of sky and soil. Restrictions. Wrongthink. Fake meat. The internet of total surveillance.

The masterminding totalitarian Dragon creates war against the faithful for 42 months. The Dragon will force on everyone “the Mark of the Beast”, with no trading for brave protestors. The mark is injurious: a foul and painful ulcer will break out on the compliant who take it. They’ll become hyper-restless and will be blaspheming. Many will fall

Unprecedented control over mankind is on the lips of Bill Gates and Klaus Schwab. Socialist distancing. The mask of the beast. Lockups.

and serve the beast, and refuse to unburden themselves of their folly (Revelation 13, 14/11, 16/2, 9/21, 16/11).

Non-compliance is the first step to survival. I have Russian underground literature, *Samizdat*, from the Soviet era. It was the testimony of many: comply and perish, or resist and survive.

The death cult’s mainstream prolefeed outlets relay relentless fake news, another pandemic of the Western world. Yet, with everything the Bible foretells, a reporting article of ‘World news’ in the *Sunday Telegraph* of 24 July, 2022 (page 15), is in alignment.

It is headed: “War, disease, famine: is the world coming apart?” It speaks of food and fuel shortages, revolutions, “wildfires”, “global pandemic”, “new level of turmoil”, “news cycle of gloom”, “like the opening scenes of a post-apocalyptic movie”, “cascade of calamity”, “venomous” politics, hyperinflation,

“famine”, “global emergency”, “environmental degradation”, “deadly heat stress”. Its cure-it-all for all these? “The only thing that makes sense is to try to stop climate change”. Virtuous psychobabble.

Depopulation is the agenda of the NWO, as the recently collapsed Georgia Guidestones were broadcasting in plain sight. Unprecedented control over mankind is on the lips of Bill Gates and Klaus Schwab. Socialist distancing. The mask of the beast. Lockups.

It’s not all going to go away. Suddenly the biblical prophecies are coming into close perspective. How will it end? The prophecy of deception and depopulation through pharmakeia at the end of Revelation 18 is followed by the coming to Earth of Christ. Jesus describes this: “They will see the Son of Man coming on a cloud, with power and immense glory” (Luke 21/27, Revelation 19).

Christ’s Kingdom is one of perfect fairness, justice, love and mercy. It’s that ideal yearned for in the heart of every noble-minded human. Christ is “the one raised up to rule the nations” (Romans 15/12, Isaiah 11/1-10).

I will not be among those complying with the compulsory worship of the image of the beast (Revelation 13/8, Daniel 3/12). We who read this newspaper are learning independence from government institutions, and individualism, that which socialism detests.

There is no understanding of modern politics unless we perceive that we are governed by those who

hate us. The father of John the Baptist, Zacharias, prophesied that Elohim has worked “deliverance from enemies, and from the hand of all who hate us” (Luke 1/71).

Set apart from this world system, I do not believe the death-dealing venom of the Kosmokrators’ propaganda. On this I stand: “My Elohim will supply all your need” (Philippians 4/19). “You prepare before me a table in the presence of my enemies” (Psalm 23/4-5).

Not only can we survive, but we can escape and be victorious. Jesus says, “Be watchful, therefore, praying... that you might be counted worthy to escape all the things which are about to arise, and to stand in the presence of the Son of Man” (Luke 21/36). And: “the one having endured until the end will be preserved” (Matthew 24/13).

All these words have been sinking down into my ears for decades.

In the seven letters to the seven golden lampstands in Revelation (chapters 2 and 3) there are individual promises to each who “comes off victorious”: “Because you have kept the word of my endurance, I will keep you from the hour of testing which is about to come over the world” (Revelation 3/10). We do not have to lose this war.

We come off victorious by non-compliance, holding our ground by endurance, giving glory to God. We have to ask. King David asked: “Hide me under the shadow of Your wings from the lawless” (Psalm 17/18-9, 64/2). He was confident: “I will fear no evil” (Psalm 23/4); “In the day of evil You will hide me” (Psalm 27/ 5); “You will hide them in the secret of Your presence from the conspiracy of man” (Psalm 31/20, 32/7, 23/4). Paul and Silas escaped from jail by praying and singing praises (Acts 16/26). The fish symbol was used by Christians because Noah escaped the waters of the flood for his obeying the just commandments of God.

May we be counted worthy.

■ **Christopher Sparkes, 2022 Translator of The Keys of the Kingdom Holy Bible ~ the organic restoration of the original Scriptures. Filament Publishing, London.**

■ **<http://www.keysofthekingdombible.com>**

Worldwide farmers protest while mass media remain silent

Thousands of farmers across Europe now rising up against government overreach and the green agenda

FARMERS in the Netherlands are leading the resistance against The Great Reset by strongly opposing draconian plans by the Dutch government to destroy the agricultural sector under the guise of reducing nitrogen emissions.

On June 10, the Dutch government announced a €25 billion national and region-specific environmental programme for a 50% reduction of nitrogen emissions by 2030.

The Netherlands House of Representatives released a stark statement in relation to the plans: “The honest message is that not all farmers will continue in business. Those who do will have to farm differently.”

The origins of the severe climate measures go back to 2019, when a court order from the highest administrative court in the Netherlands pledged to reduce the number of livestock in the country as the only way to considerably cut greenhouse gas emissions. The ruling was in response to a lawsuit from environmental organisations.

In some regions mapped out in the plans, farmers are facing 70% reduction targets. To add insult to injury, 500 million Euros have been set aside to buy out farmers by regional government. The Dutch government have shamefully called the environmental plans an ‘unavoidable situation’.

The emissions targets align with the 2015 Paris Agreement, the UN Agenda 2030 Sustainable Development Goals and the EU’s Natura 2000 network of vulnerable and endangered plant and animal habitats.

The Dutch farming union, LTO, which represents over 30,000 farms, estimates that the proposed measures

by **PAUL BENNETT**

could force 30% of farms out of business by 2030, and described the nitrogen reduction targets as ‘simply unfeasible’ and a ‘knee-jerk’ reaction to EU policy. The large union group says the government are fixated on buying up land and reducing livestock, and should instead be focusing on innovation and sustainable farming practices.

Farmers argue that they are being used as scapegoats and unjustly accused as polluters. Marcel Crok, a Dutch science writer and co-founder of the Climate intelligence Foundation, told Fox News Digital: “I really understand their anger. The farmers are also angry because they say, ‘we are the only sector who get all the blame. What about industry? What about all the traffic? Maybe we should just ban all the cars in the Netherlands because they also emit [nitrogen].’”

Radical environmentalists in the Netherlands have offered an expected compliant view to the plans. Andy Palman, director of Greenpeace Netherlands coldly said: “You rip a plaster off a wound in one go. Painful choices are now necessary.”

The Dutch government appear motivated to acquire high-priced and high-quality farmland cheaply and many believe the real goal may be a landgrab. Dutch social and political commentator Eva Vlaardingerbroek didn’t mince her words when talking about the crisis with Tucker Carlson.

She said: “This is literally communism. If the state says, ‘we are going to take your private property away for the sake of a greater good,’ then the state has the prerogative to create crises to strip you of your rights. That’s what’s happening here. This will definitely affect ordinarily citizens; it’s part of a global agenda, so everyone across the world, especially Western countries, should be aware that this is something that is not just about the Dutch government, this is part of Agenda 2030; this is part of the great reset.”

Since the Dutch government announced their ambitious targets in June, thousands of farmers across the Netherlands have mobilised together to protest. Farmers have protested by blockading food distribution centres, roads, and politicians’ driveways. They have taken their protests to busy motorways, some have dumped hay bales on roads and large gatherings have been seen all over villages, towns and cities in the country.

Farmers also sprayed manure over a town hall and dumped a truckload of manure in front of the home of Dutch Minister of Nature and Nitrogen Policy. Some Dutch farmers have brilliantly replaced road signs with signs of ‘boerenlanden’ (farmer land) in some rural villages. Even cows have been seen protesting outside the Dutch parliament.

Dutch fisherman also showed solidarity with the farmers by blocking the port of Harlingen with trawlers. Fishing crews were seen holding placards that read, ‘Eenrucht maakt Kracht’: Unity Creates Strength.

The Dutch freedom-loving farmers have inspired thousands of farmers across Europe and beyond to revolt against rising ‘agflation’. Farmers worldwide rightfully feel threatened that their agricultural way of life has been slowly eroded to suit the narrative of ‘the greater good’. The farmers have a very clear message: “No farmers, no food.”

Since the beginning of the

‘...be aware that this is something that is not just about the Dutch government, this is part of Agenda 2030; this is part of the great reset.’

protests, German farmers have staged huge blockades with their Dutch counterparts on the Netherlands-Germany border in solidarity. On July 6, farmers from both countries held a blockade near the border city of Heerenburg.

In Italy, farmers copied the Dutch by driving tractors through towns and cities in the country. The farmers chanted “We are not slaves, we are farmers,” as they drove through the northern powerhouse city of Milan. EuroWeekly News reported: “In Spain, farmers in Bdajoz, Granada and Albacete paraded their tractors down the streets in solidarity with the foreign farmers, as well as staging national protests at home over a lack of food and gasoline.” Polish farmers also got in the solidarity spirit by



Image from BrandNewTube

driving their tractors through the capital, Warsaw, to protest against rising inflation, interest rates, and accusing the political classes of destabilising production.

In South America, thousands of Argentine farmers and farming associations protested in Buenos Aires against government policies, high tax rates, currency controls, and shortage of diesel.

According to the Epoch Times, Canadian farmers organised convoy protests across the country on July 23 in solidarity with Dutch farmers. Protests also took place in several provinces across the country. In British Columbia, activists held a rally outside the Netherlands consulate in Vancouver.

The Dutch farmers are naturally horrified by the extreme green agenda regulations proposed, and feel that they will be forced out of farming. The Netherlands is a world agricultural powerhouse with a lucrative agriculture sector.

The Netherlands, despite its small geographical size, is one of the world’s top ten food exporters, Europe’s biggest meat exporter, and one of the top three countries in the world for fruit and vegetable exports. It has the highest density of livestock in Europe, with more than four times the food and animal population of the UK. Farming union LTO estimated in 2019, that nearly 54,000 Dutch agricultural businesses’ exports totalled 94.5 billion Euros.

It comes as no surprise that the Dutch government have pursued climate change and Agenda 2030 principles over food and job security. Prime Minister of the Netherlands, Mark Rutte, is one of the World Economic Forum’s (WEF) ‘Young Global Leaders’, and a regular

speaker at WEF meetings.

Rutte reacted to the farmers protests by saying: “You can demonstrate, but in a civilised way. So don’t block highways, don’t set off fireworks outside a minister’s house and spread manure and... scare two children and endanger families.” Some feel that Mr. Rutte is guilty of double standards here, as he made these comments while attending a NATO summit in Madrid.

Dutch farmers have responded to Mr Rutte by reminding him they will continue the protests and have the support of millions in the country and across the world. National pride in the farmers is evident across the country as the Dutch national flag is seen hanging on bridges and alongside roads in support for the farmers.

There are many similarities between the farmers protests and the Canadian trucker’s freedom convoy in Ottawa back in February. Both have become vanguards for freedom. The mainstream media have blatantly ignored them and in both cases, protesters have been subjected to the brute force of the police.


Rowan Dean from Sky News Australia observed by saying: “The similarities between Canada and Holland are as startling as they are disturbing.” Dean called Canadian Prime Minister, Justin Trudeau, and Dutch Prime Minister, Mark Rutte, the ‘golden pin-up-boys’ for Klaus Schwab and the globalist fantasists of the WEF.

Dutch farmers clearly didn’t get the Great Reset memo: ‘You will own nothing, and you will be happy’.

Fighting back is the new normal now!

Has man been

SHADOWS AND LIGHT



No lights were taken, so the only source was the sun. In both of these photographs, there is clearly more than one light source. On the left, we can see the front of Neil Armstrong fully lit up, while his shadow indicates the light source is actually behind him. The second photo shows two very different angles of shadows, again indicating a local light source, and staged sets.

VIDEO EVIDENCE OF FAKERY ABOARD APOLLO 11

Journalist and film-maker Bart Sibrel received a very revealing tape when he requested footage from NASA, as he shows in his documentary *A funny thing happened on the way to the moon*, available to watch free online.

It shows the three pilots faking being half way to the moon in 1969, by filming not far from Earth through a port window, giving the illusion they were far away from our blue home when in fact they were merely in low earth orbit. A giant fraud.



EXTREME TEMPERATURES? NO PROBLEM

	Daytime High			Nighttime Low		
	°C	°K	°F	°C	°K	°F
Mean Surface	107	380	225	-153	120	-243
Equator (0° Latitude)	122	395	252	-158	115	-252
Mid-Latitudes	77	350	171	-143	130	-225
Poles	-43	230	-45	-63	210	-81
Dark Polar Crater	-233	40	-387	-233	40	-387



Despite the average temperature for much of the moon ranging from minus 150 deg Celsius to over 100 degrees and water's evaporation point, all of the equipment worked perfectly, with no reported technical issues from either the Hasselblad cameras, the regular film used, or the 'moon buggy' which was taken for the last three missions.

Almost all 5,771 shots are intact (despite having only 4,183 minutes to take them and no viewfinder), and the car seemed to behave brilliantly in any temperature; perhaps NASA could share their technology with the Canadians and Saharan Africans?

VAN ALLEN RADIATION?



NASA seem to never have considered how to get safely past the intense radiation of the 'Van Allen belts that protect the Earth. NASA's Kelly Smith explained this to us on a video in 2012 - maybe it's part of the technology we once knew and have since forgotten?

YOU C YOU



Don't worry, t will definitely

If the same p Modules' p then you wou beings appa 3 days in ext a toilet, wast spacesuits, n three t


FAKE MOON ROCKS, MISSING T

In 2009, Curators at Amsterdam's Rijksmuseum decided to have their 'rock' tested and found it was petrified wood. A terrible mix-up, a the wood never been anywhere near the moon in the first

The same year, after dozens of Freedom of Information requests over t admitted to losing more than 13,000 reels of tape, including footage, t from 'man's greatest mission'. These and many more holes in the story weight of evidence pointing to its being staged, also explaining why n If the only thought stopping you accepting this is what this means for t truth, life, the universe and everything, then you would disregard the ev eyes in order to maintain a particular comfortable world view. That is

to the Moon?

AME IN THAT THING? 'RE BRAVER THAN I THOUGHT!



the curtain rods, parcel tape and gold foil hold together in the harsh environment of 'outer space'.

people who built the 'Lunar Excursion' out your kitchen cupboards together, would want your money back; yet human beings have lived in these contraptions for extreme temperatures, with no space for air, food or enough water to cool their bodies, or oxygen, though they did fit a car in the LEM for no discernable reason.

TAPES AND MUCH MORE

for highly-valued 'moon rocks', or had this piece of moon rock?

In the years, NASA finally released the telemetry and records of the mission, but no one has been back. The world, information, evidence in front of your eyes, not science or truth.



LOST IN SPACE?



NASA's Don Pettit said he'd go back to the moon in a nanosecond, but they don't have the technology any more. On the left is the computer from the Apollo 11 rocket - a 4k processor with 32k ram. It's 50 years since the last mission and they say we don't have the capability any more?

1970s SPECIAL EFFECTS



This is a still from the many videos of the LEM taking off during Apollo 16, available on most video sites.

You can see pre-Star Wars Special FX that could only be described as low budget to modern eyes, yet NASA still insist this is a real rocket taking off from the moon to rendez-vous with the Apollo rocket.

BODY LANGUAGE DOESN'T LIE

Anyone with any emotional intelligence can watch the press conference following 'mankind's greatest achievement' and see something very wrong with the demeanours of the three pilots.



They are apprehensive, fearful, lacking in spirit and confidence for the most part, yet they have supposedly just achieved the pinnacle of science and technology. 1973 film *Capricorn One* may give an insight as to their very strange behaviour in front of the world's cameras and journalists.

INSTANT COMMUNICATION!

Not only was President Nixon able to place a telephone call all the way to the moon in 1969, but there was also no delay in the conversation, nor that of Houston's and Apollo 16's crew when given instructions live.



The fact NASA have gone back to insert the correct delays shows they know we know they are lying, deceiving and cheating the world, and have been since their inception.



THE GOOD RESET

